

truth of the matter is we supported the Indian resolution before the United Nations which will bring peace in Korea. We should tell them that.

Mr. MACDOUGALL: And the truth will make you fret.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: If it was told to them here it might have some effect.

Mr. CROLL: Pretty well voiced my views.

Mr. MACINNIS: We have been working with other members of the United Nations for the past two years to bring about peace in Korea. Some very constructive steps have been taken which we supported and it is not our fault nor is it the fault of the democratic countries in the United Nations that these steps have not succeeded in bringing peace in Korea. Surely we can make a constructive statement and if with all the power and influence of the government we cannot get it over to the people of Canada, there is certainly something wrong.

Mr. MCCUSKER: The only drawback as I see it is that we are giving them the recognition they are seeking. We are recognizing them as an official body putting forward claims for peace in Korea, which we know they do not want. We do not want to give them that recognition.

Mr. GRAYDON: Coming back to what I said, they are seeking to make representations to the wrong body. These people ought to be making representations to the Politburo and their friends behind the iron curtain, for that is where the real obstacles to a Korean truce lie, and if these people are as friendly as they say they are with these people behind the iron curtain those are the people to whom they ought to make representations and not to us. I do not understand why this committee should even bother about discussing the question of these people coming here because this is not the place they should come. They should go to the place where the obstacles lie.

The CHAIRMAN: I brought this matter up because it is a matter that should be dealt with by the Committee.

Mr. CROLL: Mr. Chairman, in view of the discussion this morning should we go on record on this matter? I think we have indicated to the Chairman what the reply should be. I think it would be a mistake now for us to put ourselves on record which is merely giving these people a further opportunity for propaganda. The Chairman can well deal with the matter. He knows our views. He can direct them to the appropriate body. I do not think we ought to deal with it here by way of a resolution. I see no advantage in having them go about the country saying they could not get a hearing before a Canadian public body. Why give them that opportunity, and why put ammunition in their hands? Our difficulties are resolved by directing them, as some have indicated, to the appropriate body.

Mr. MACDOUGALL: I think Mr. Croll will recall that last year we had a request from the head of the Canadian Peace Congress and it came up for discussion before this committee. This committee recommended that we do not hear them and they are in the same category in my opinion.

The CHAIRMAN: It is the same organization.

Mr. STICK: I do not know the wording of the resolution before the committee, but I think that if the Chairman replied saying that it could serve no useful purpose for them to appear before the committee it will answer our purpose. There is something to be said for Mr. Croll's statement. I do not want them here. If you reply pointing out the appropriate authorities and saying it will serve no useful purpose for them to appear before this committee I agree. I do not think we should have a flat refusal by this committee because, as Mr. Croll said, you give them a chance to make propaganda and say they were not heard.