

VARIETY OF FORMS, INCLUDING THE USE OF INDICATORS, SUCH AS ENERGY EFFICIENCIES AND/OR RENEWABLE ENERGIES.

15. CONTINUING TO ADVANCE IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 4.1 ON NON-ANNEX 1 COMMITMENTS:

COP 1 AGREED THAT ALTHOUGH THE BERLIN MANDATE PROCESS WOULD NOT INTRODUCE ANY NEW COMMITMENTS FOR NON-ANNEX 1 PARTIES, IT DID REAFFIRM THEIR EXISTING COMMITMENTS AND THE CONTINUING ADVANCEMENT OF THOSE COMMITMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 4.1. MOST INTERVENTIONS FROM ALL SIDES DID EMPHASIZE THE ISSUE OF NO NEW NON-ANNEX 1 COMMITMENTS. WHERE INTERVENTIONS SPLIT BETWEEN NON-ANNEX 1 AND ANNEX 1 COUNTRIES WAS ON WHICH OTHER ELEMENTS OF THE BERLIN MANDATE DECISION TO PLACE EMPHASIS. ANNEX 1 COUNTRIES, INCLUDING CANADA, EMPHASIZED THE NEED TO ADVANCE EXISTING COMMITMENTS THROUGH OPPORTUNITIES SUCH AS SUCCESS STORIES IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES WHICH COULD BE DUPLICATED ELSEWHERE. DEVELOPING COUNTRIES EMPHASIZED THAT THE ADVANCEMENT OF COMMITMENTS WAS, IN THEIR INTERPRETATION OF THE BERLIN MANDATE, CONDITIONAL UPON ARTICLES 4.3, 4.5 AND 4.7 IN THE CONVENTION. THESE REFER TO COMMITMENTS ON DEVELOPED COUNTRIES TO PROVIDE NEW AND ADDITIONAL FUNDING AND TO PROMOTE AND FACILITATE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER.

16. A PROPOSAL BY MALAYSIA, TO HOLD A WORKSHOP ON DEVELOPMENT OF GUIDELINES FOR COMMUNICATIONS FROM NON-ANNEX 1 COUNTRIES, WAS A PRODUCTIVE OUTCOME OF THIS DEBATE AND IS TO TAKE PLACE IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE SECOND SBSTA IN FEBRUARY 1996. THE KEY OUTSTANDING ISSUES CONCERNING THIS WORKSHOP ARE THE SOURCE OF FUNDING AND WHETHER ANNEX 1 PARTY EXPERTS WILL BE PERMITTED TO PARTICIPATE. A CONSENSUS DOCUMENT SUBMITTED BY THE G77 AFTER THE DEBATE OUTLINING THE TERMS OF THE WORKSHOP, WAS NOT INCLUDED AS PART OF THE CHAIRMAN'S CONCLUSIONS BUT WILL BE INCLUDED IN A MISCELLANEOUS DOCUMENT FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION.

17. POSSIBLE FEATURES OF A PROTOCOL OR OTHER LEGAL INSTRUMENT: MOST PARTIES WERE OF THE VIEW THAT DISCUSSION ON THE FORM OF LEGAL INSTRUMENT MUST BE GUIDED BY FURTHER PROGRESS ON NATURE OF COMMITMENTS. ALTHOUGH EU TABLED PAPER OUTLINING ELEMENTS OF PROTOCOL, AND SAMOA ON BEHALF OF AOSIS, REFERRED TO ITS PREVIOUS PROPOSAL, MOST DELEGATIONS STRESSED THAT CONSIDERABLE PROGRESS WAS REQUIRED IN NEGOTIATIONS BEFORE ELEMENTS OF FUTURE LEGAL INSTRUMENT COULD BE CONSIDERED IN DETAIL. HOWEVER, GENERAL VIEW WAS THAT PRELIMINARY EXCHANGE OF VIEWS OF POSSIBLE DESIGN OF PROTOCOL WOULD BE USEFUL.

18. SEVERAL DELEGATIONS REFERRED TO THE NEED FOR LEGAL INSTRUMENT TO REFLECT ELEMENTS OF BERLIN MANDATE AND BE COMPREHENSIVE AND FLEXIBLE. USA REQUESTED SECRETARIAT TO COORDINATE REVIEW OF RELEVANT EXISTING CONVENTIONS, WITH EMPHASIS ON NATURE OF COMMITMENTS, DIFFERENTIATED RESPONSIBILITIES, AND INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS AND LINKAGES, WHILE NOTING THAT BERLIN MANDATE COULD POSSIBLY BE ACHIEVED VIA AMENDMENT. CHINA EXPRESSED PREFERENCE FOR AMENDMENT PROCESS AS WELL. RUSSIA STRESSED NEED FOR DIFFERENTIATED RESPONSIBILITIES WITHIN ANNEX I PARTIES. JAPANESE CONCERN WAS FOR NATURE OF COMMON MEASURES.

19. EU PROPOSAL WAS OF SOME INTEREST. IT SUGGESTS GENERAL