

2. In considering whether particular enforcement activities should be coordinated, either in whole or in part, the Parties' competition authorities shall take into account the following factors, among others:

- (a) the effect of such coordination on the ability of both Parties to achieve their respective enforcement objectives;
- (b) the relative abilities of the Parties' competition authorities to obtain information necessary to conduct the enforcement activities;
- (c) the extent to which either Party's competition authorities can secure effective relief against the anticompetitive activities involved;
- (d) the possible reduction of cost to the Parties and to the persons subject to enforcement activities; and
- (e) the potential advantages of coordinated remedies to the Parties and to the persons subject to the enforcement activities.

3. In any coordination arrangement, each Party's competition authorities shall seek to conduct their enforcement activities consistently with the enforcement objectives of the other Party's competition authorities.

4. In the case of concurrent or coordinated enforcement activities, the competition authorities of each Party shall consider, upon request by the competition authorities of the other Party and where consistent with the requested Party's enforcement interests, ascertaining whether persons that have provided confidential information in connection with those enforcement activities will consent to the sharing of such information between the Parties' competition authorities.

5. Either Party's competition authorities may at any time notify the other Party's competition authorities that they intend to limit or terminate coordinated enforcement and pursue their enforcement activities independently and subject to the other provisions of this Agreement.

Article V

COOPERATION REGARDING ANTICOMPETITIVE ACTIVITIES IN THE TERRITORY OF ONE PARTY THAT ADVERSELY AFFECT THE INTERESTS OF THE OTHER PARTY

1. The Parties note that anticompetitive activities may occur within the territory of one Party that, in addition to violating that Party's competition laws, adversely affect