

sent residing at Vancouver," and ordering that service of the writ and statement of claim on the defendant be good and sufficient service of them on him, should be construed as an order giving liberty to serve the writ and statement of claim out of Ontario; and service is properly made at Vancouver.—Under Con. Rule 246, the defendant is entitled to eight days from the expiration of the time for appearance in which to deliver his statement of defence; and therefore a clause in the order which required the defendant to deliver his statement of defence within the time limited for appearance, was struck out. *Armstrong v. Proctor, Kenner v. Proctor, McCallum v. Proctor*, 1 O. W. N. 82.—MEREDITH, C.J.C.P. (Chrs.)

5. Service out of Jurisdiction with Statement of Claim—Time for Delivering Statement of Defence—Ex Parte Order of Local Judge—Power of Master in Chambers to Vary—Con. Rule 358—Time for Moving—Extension — Costs—Appeal. *McCammond v. Govenlock*, 1 O. W. N. 819.—SUTHERLAND, J. (Chrs.)
6. Substituted Service—Practice—Sheriff. *Colville v. Small*, 1 O. W. N. 857.—MIDDLETON, J. (Chrs.)

See Judgment, 22—Lis Pendens.

WRONGFUL DISMISSAL.

See Master and Servant, 1, 2.

YOUNG MEN'S CHRISTIAN ASSOCIATION.

See Assessment and Taxes, 3.