

DIARY—CONTENTS—EDITORIAL ITEMS.

DIARY FOR JANUARY.

1. Mon. New Year's Day. Municipal election. Heir and Devisee sittings begin. County Court Term begins.
2. Tues. Toronto Assizes (Criminal Court), Wilson, J.
3. Wed. Gretna Green marriages abolished, 1857.
6. Sat. Epiphany. County Court Term ends. Chancery Christmas vacation, and vacation for Judges Queen's Bench and Common Pleas sittings singly ends.
7. SUN. 1st Sunday after Epiphany.
8. Mon. Hamilton Assizes. —Harrison, C.J.
10. Wed. Postal cards first introduced into England, 1870.
11. Thur. Toronto Assizes (Civil Court) —Wilson, J.
12. Frid. Sir Charles Bagot, Governor-General 1842.
14. SUN. 2nd Sunday after Epiphany.
15. Mon. Municipal Councils (ex County Council) holds first meeting.
16. Tues. Heir and Devisee sittings end.
21. SUN. 3rd Sunday after Epiphany.
23. Tues. County Councils holds first meeting. Law Society Primary Examinations.
28. SUN. Septuagesima.
30. Tues. Law Society, 1st Intermediate Examinations.
31. Wed. Earl of Elgin, Governor-General, 1847. 2nd Intermediate Examinations.

CONTENTS.

EDITORIALS:	PAGE
Railway Liability.....	1
Foreclosure Decrees.....	1
Council of Law Reporting.....	1
Worthless Digest.....	2
Unprofessional Advertisements.....	2
Contempt of Court—The Wilkinson Case.....	2
Practice of Conveyancers.....	4
Law Society of Ontario.....	5
Mechanics Lien Legislation.....	8
Dominion Bar Society.....	9
SELECTIONS:	
Chief Justice Whiteside.....	10
Privilege of Counsel.....	13
The Costs of Attorneys' Letters.....	15
CANADA REPORTS:	
ONTARIO:	
IN THE EXCHEQUER COURT OF ONTARIO.	
Wood v. The Queen.	
Petition of right—Application for security for costs. When to be made.....	16
CHANCERY:	
Re Shipman; Wallace v. Shipman.	
Deficiency of personal estate—Personal representation—Administration of Justice Act.....	17
ASSESSMENT CASES:	
In the matter of the Appeal of James Hamilton from the decision of the Court of Revision of the Township of Riddulph.	
Assessment—Road Company—Highway—Exemption—32 Vict., cap. 36, sec. 9, ss. 6. 18	
COUNTY COURT OF THE COUNTY OF ONTARIO:	
Jones v. Holden.	
Justice of the Peace acting <i>malafide</i> and beyond jurisdiction—Quashing conviction bad on its face.....	19
NOTES OF CASES:	
CHANCERY.....	21
QUEBEC REPORTS:	
SUPERIOR COURTS:	
McKay v. The Mayor et al. of Montreal.	
Militia called out—Payment to—31 Vict., cap. 40, sec. 27—Emergency.....	22
UNITED STATES REPORTS:	
SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS:	
Pittsburg, Fort Wayne and Chicago Railway Co., Cleveland, Col., Cin. & Indianapolis R.R. Co., Atlantic and Great Western R'y Co., and Erie Railway Co., Appellants v. Chester Hazen, Appellee.	
Appeal from Superior Court of Cook Co.—Liability of Railroad for delay in transporting—Acts of Employees—Acts of violence.....	23
REVIEWS.....	24
CORRESPONDENCE.....	25
FLOTSAM AND JETSAM.....	26

THE

Canada Law Journal.

Toronto, January, 1877.

IN view of the recent disturbances on the Grand Trunk Railway, a decision of the Supreme Court of Illinois, reported in the *Chicago Legal News*, is not devoid of interest. Regarding the responsibility which arose from delay in transporting freight, the Court laid it down that the company is responsible for the delay resulting from the refusal of the employees of the company to do their duty; but otherwise when the delay was attributed to the lawless violence of men not in the employment of the company. We print the opinion of the Court (from which three Judges dissented) in full in another place.

Armour v. Usborne referred to in our last has been reheard, but decides nothing except that where a plaintiff serves a bill endorsed with the special endorsement for foreclosure, mentioned in Sched. S. of the Con. Orders, and makes no mention therein of his intention to apply for the additional relief of a personal order for payment, &c., that such additional relief will not be granted on a hearing *pro confesso*, even though expressly prayed for in the bill. The full Court adopted the view of Blake, V.C., that a special endorsement might have the effect of misleading a defendant. What is the proper form of a decree of foreclosure, where a personal order for payment is granted, seems therefore to be still unsettled.

THE *Law Times* calls upon the Council of Law Reporting to call in the Digest that has just been issued, on the ground that it is utterly useless, and of a most mischievous tendency. This is certainly not "damning it with faint praise." A