



mugwump journal

By CHRISTIE WALKER

Welcome back to the thrills and chills at UNB. I hope everyone had a good time over the March break and is ready to conquer essays, midterms, etc...

Our illustrious president walked into the Bruns office on Wednesday morning and I have to admit it was really nice to see his cheshire grin once again. I think we were all a little lonely without him. (Ahhhh).

Something absolutely hilarious that I must point out about the SRC minutes, well we all got a big laugh out of it. When it came time to vote on the motion concerning censorship in the Bruns, and the rights of the Editor-in-Chief to edit, Mr. Bosnitch voted against the motion. Isn't that amazing, because wasn't it Mr. Bosnitch who spoke endlessly on the inherent problems involved with leaving censorship to the discretion of the editor? But no, my eyes did not deceive me, censorship was also one of the big updates on the new unity platform. What happened...did John suddenly see the light, or did he merely see that he was going to lose. Oh, the tangled web of politics.

The Brunswickan has made a decision concerning the production which will affect, to a small degree, the look of our paper. As of this week, The Bruns will be printed at The Gleaner here in Fredericton, at a considerably lower cost to the Bruns and the SRC. While there are many advantages connected with this move, there are also some disadvantages, the biggest being a change of deadlines. The Bruns must be at the Gleaner no later than 1:30 pm on Thursday. Naturally, other deadlines must change and below is our our new deadline list, and I must stress that no exceptions will be made.

Letters to the Editor .. Tuesday 5 pm
Entertainment .. Tuesday 5 pm
Sports .. Tuesday 5 pm
News (except for important Wednesday stories) Tuesday 5 pm
All columns .. Tuesday 5 pm
Photos .. Tuesday 5 pm
Classified and Upcomin' .. Tuesday 5 pm

Even though these are the new deadlines, we still believe the earlier, the better, and your help would be greatly appreciated.

Also, we must ask that all submissions be typed or printed neatly, double spaced and properly signed. Hand-written submissions will not be printed. If you have any questions please contact us at the Brunswickan.

The Brunswickan-CSL presentation of M*A*S*H has been postponed until next week as the movie was not available. Sorry to keep you waiting!

Finally, congratulations to our two UNB women's teams, the UNB Red Bloomers, and the Reds for their AUAAs. We're all with you for the CIAU's. Good luck.

soundoff

A zit on the backside...

Dear Editor,

I have a couple of questions to raise. What do you think SP follows? What do you feel are the aims of the SP?

The first question could be answered without much thought. If the uniforms and logos aren't obvious enough, perhaps our President should grow a moustache. I will give you odds as to what style he chooses. So why was this form of government, which blatantly emanates the virus of a few decades ago, elected in a society that is supposed to be enlightened? Are we suckers for punishment? Were six million screams of oppression, and over 20 million battle cries of defence not testimony enough that this system of government is not acceptable. If you think my last question was far too severe for this situation, you have given me part of the answer as to why SP governs: Apathy.

Let us examine the 'growth' of SP. I should mention I am fond of similes; that is why I compare SP rise to power to the maturity cycle of a blemish. A virus hovered over the face of the SUB. Any good doctor will tell you that too much chocolate apathy, a lack of protein in another party or

any gross amount of junk has a significant contribution to "Rock 'n Roll" but with a free floating virus will cause a zit. Because of our poor nutritional habits we are infected with one right in the middle of our forehead. In fact it is big enough to be an eye. Moreover our SRC has turned into a veritable Cyclops.

What are the intentions of this giant. It hasn't taken any obvious steps to purge the area of all who aren't blonde haired and blue eyed. It hasn't commandeered Head Hall to produce an arsenal. To my knowledge chemistry labs aren't experimenting with plague spreading germs and the physics building isn't involved in a Manhattan Project. Since we can rule out our worst fears, what can SP be trying to attain?

If you want to know its intentions, simply ask yourself what is the nature of a blemish? It just sort of sits there being noticeable. I suggest that is the crux of SP's ideology: sensationalism by any means.

Other than the obvious source of inspiration I think 'new wave' had an influence on SP ideology. This deviation of good old "Rock 'n Roll" - which has saturated our only salvation from AM: commercialism CHSR-FM -has the airways of certain similarities to SP. I feel a moderation of 'new wave'

My theory of 'Segotrit' can be easily tested. Ask someone off campus if they have heard of our President or his party. Now tell a Segotrit member the result. Observe. Are they trying hard to conceal their ecstasy over the unworthy publicity the party is getting. This guy was on ATV news. Why? Because of their efficient controversy propaganda machine. Their machine, no matter how efficient needs one all important piece: you. If a pimple generates an itch, and you scratch it, the pimple festers and spreads.

How can we stop the spread? Stop scratching and picking, we are stuck with our mistake; we deserve our plight, and all we can do is patiently wait out our punishment. The whitehead will emerge next election; once exposed we can squeeze it out with our ballots. In the meantime we should stop playing their game and we should apologize to our elders who had to fight to put an end to this act of infamy. I can only hope our elders don't learn through the media about the virus that hovers over our campus.

Mel

A sincere apology

Dear Editor,

Having carefully read the letters which appeared in the February 4th and February 11th issue of the Brunswickan in response to my article "SUB for use of all people?" (Jan. 28), I must confess that a wry slant has been accorded my previously upheld opinions.

Since writing my letter I have come to learn that I was wrong in two respects. Firstly, I was wrong in principle, in that I now recognize my letter as being a grand act of effrontery which completely ignored any observation of tact, so necessary in dealing

with a moral and social question of this magnitude. I now know that the act of expressing my opinion in such a tone was as wrong as any opinions themselves could be. Secondly, I wish to acknowledge to the writers whose letters appeared in the February 4th issue that I was indeed, quite unjustified in drawing unfounded connections between homosexuality, bestiality and incest. When writing the original I thought it added a certain amount of flavour to my expression, but now realize that it was distasteful and dealt with issues that had little relevance to the

usage of Room 26 by the Flag organization. This is not to say that my opinion regarding the issue has altered greatly, but rather to acknowledge my realization of having fractured the accepted codes of tact in dealing with such an issue.

Finally, I wish to make public my regrets, to those somewhat blatant remarks in hopes that they will recognize this letter as sincere.

Mark Keirstead