TINENCY.

ooral estates;" and, teenth century the land. "Here," cony, to prove that al-VII. had subjected g the celibacy of the wards of a century sed to succeed their iastical benefices in to prove that " the cy) is traced to the the ambitious Hildeits establishment." produce the Newgate gery are now lawful. widence, I will place, e few of the proofs I ibacy was the general cond council of Carnent of this law to the decree that " all biin from marriage." (1) er councils referred to to him alone to offer ceasing and perpetual e same thing, arguing evitical priests, for the the Christian priesthree great patriarchates persons were received ngle men, or who were) The learned Church tance he had been able tending to marry was

servavit antiquitas omnibus abuxoribus contineant." If See also Concil. Illiberit, Arelat. can. 2. See also the a archbishop Plegmund presecond decrees of the Council See likewise the fifteenth e, t. x. p. 319. (2) Orig, I. (4) Advers. Vigilant. aced from a long list of other

GRIERS'S OBJECTIONS ANSWERED.

455

that of Angelric, a priest of Chalons, in the year 893; but that the people proceeded to acts of violence against him, and the bishop excommunicated him for so doing. (1)

Is it possible that the vicar should have been ignorant of all this doctrine of the fathers, and of all these canons of the councils, when he wrote that "the origin of this innovation celerical cellbacy) is to be attributed to the monkery of the dark ages, and the ambition of Gregory VII."? And yet he must stand charged with this gross ignorance, or with a fouler stain, that of publishing a known falsehood. Let us now hear the vicar's conjectures, on which he builds his revolting falsehood, of pope Gregory VII. being the author of clerical celibacy, in place of authorities to which, indeed, he does not lay any pretension. He says: "to such a man, Gregory VII. could it alone belong to subject the Church to the see of Rome, and then to compel temporal princes to submit to the Church. We know, gigantic as the enterprize was, how successfully it was executed. By emancipating the Church from the temporal power, this haughty pontiff was enabled to destroy the dependence of the ecclesiastics on their respective sovereigns. To no purpose did the German and French bishops denounce the papel decree, as requiring what was re-pugnant to the word of God and the doctrine of the apostles. In vain did they urge their liability to the same temptations and infirmities as other men : Gregory was inflexible," &c. What a mass of groundless imaginations have we here ! William the Conqueror, who reigned at this time, was so far from finding his power infringed by the observance of clerical celibacy, that he strongly supported it, as appears by the acts of different councils in his dominions, both in England and on the Continent, and by the testimony of the pope him-self. (2) The emperor Henry IV. though he strongly contested with Gregory the decree of the Roman council against simony, did not object to that respecting the continence of the superior clergy. On the other hand, the pope, in his letters on this subject to the emperor and the different bishops, so far from prefessing to introduce an innovation in this matter, every where appeals to the decrees of the ancient councils and fathers, and to the known laws of the Church concerning it. (3) Nor is there more truth in what the vicar says about

(1) Hist. Eccl. 1. 54. (2) Epist. 1. ix. Ep. 5. (3) Writing to the emperor Henry IV. concerning the council he held at Rome against simony and incontinency in clergymen, the pope asys, "Nibil novi, nibil ad inventione nostra statuentes, and primam et unicam Ecclesisation dis-