ms by the subjects or late civil war in the ioners.

rator or arbitrators, to ed with such claims on an agreement among

ommissioners will differ The question will then sion will thus virtually

ear expedient further to a support of its position on which difference may to the arbitrator as it

Mr. Reverdy Johnson, and er 3, 1868.

revised at the meeting between This copy was sent privately to ned by him with a suggestion, as

the Government of the

, name Commissioners, tizens of either country ar in the United States,

to whose final decision ned upon which the

ite upon the so-called

tive Governments to fix

ne event of the Commis-

mentioned claims being aid, the amount of such adjudication, and, in the them under the second

unanimous. Otherwise

thers included under the ce out a case in support the in the official correct to the Commissioners, the Arbitrator, without the evidence or argument ac case may be.

Inclosure 4 in No. 20.

Lord Stanley to Mr. Reverdy Johnson.

Dear Mr. Johnson.

Dear Mr. Johnson, Foreign Office, November 4, 1868.

1 HAVE been in consultation with some of my colleagues respecting the proposal for referring the British and American claims to arbitration, and some verbal alterations have been suggested in the Memorandum drawn up at our Conference yesterday.

I inclose a copy of the Memorandum, with the revisions marked in red ink, and shall be obliged by your letting me know whether you see any objection to them. They are simply introduced for the sake of greater clearness.

Believe me, &c.
(Signed) STANLEY.

Inclosure 5 in No. 20.

Mr. Reverdy Johnson to Lord Stanley.

My dear Lord Stanley,

Legation of the United States, London,

December 5, 1868.

I HAVE just received your note of last evening, with its inclosure, and hasten to say that I have no objection to the alterations suggested in the latter. I would prefer however, that the words pro hac vice inserted in the HIrd Article should be omitted. I do not see that they affect the sense of the Article at all, but others may suppose that they do; and I may be asked for an explanation, which would lead to delay. I would suggest, therefore, that instead of those words we substitute "as to such claims." It is important, I think, that the Convention be signed at the earliest moment; and I will thank your Lordship to let me know when you can see me on the subject, as there are some matters of detail yet to be agreed upon.

With sincere regard, &c. (Signed) REV

REVERDY JOHNSON.

Inclosuse 6 in No. 20.

Lord Stanley to Mr. Reverdy Johnson.

Dear Mr. Johnson, Foreign Office, November 6, 1868.

1 SHALL be most happy to see you here on Monday at 12. I see no objection to the words pro hdc vice being omitted, and "as to such elaims" substituted.

Very truly yours, (Signed) STANLEY.

Inclosure 7 in No. 20.

Lord Stanley to Mr. Reverdy Johnson.

Dear Mr. Johnson, Foreign Office, November 6, 1868.

IN order to expedite matters, I am having the Memorandum as to the settlement of the claims put into formal shape, so that any further addition which may be adopted at our next meeting, may be inserted with the least possible delay.

For this purpose I should be glad to know whether you would be ready to sign an actual Convention on the subject, or whether you would still prefer to adhere to the form of a Protocol, similar to those in which the results of our former negotiations on the Naturalization and San Juan questions have been recorded.

I make the inquiry, as you use the word "Convention" in your note of yesterday.

Believe me, &c.
(Signed) STANLEY.