have given

Archdeacon ever his inrstand how e which he nd who re-

e offending one thing only safe ur opinion

nod as it n for some me in my

ry of the

.879.

at the apman, Dr. essary for ot answer

hould exledged to on of the ave withl ask you ne Synod

.A., idon Tp." On my return home, I was told that my letter to the Secretary was not read to the Synod, and therefore wrote to him as follows:—

"719 WATERLOO STREET,
"LONDON, June 30, 1879.

" REV. J. B. RICHARDSON,

Clerical Secretary of Synod, Diocese of Huron:

"MY DEAR SIR,—On the 14th inst., I wrote to you, giving the reason why I should not be present at our Synod meeting on the 17th.

"Since my return home, I hear that my letter was not read as I requested. If this is the case, please to inform me why you did not read it to the Synod.

"I remain, my dear Sir,
"Yours faithfull

"Yours faithfully,
"J. WALKER MARSH.

"The bearer will wait for an answer."

Answer.]

"MEMORIAL CHURCH RECTORY,
"LONDON, ONT., 30th June, '79.

"REV. AND DEAR SIR,—Your letter was not read at the Synod, because it was considered that as it did not relate to any business before the Synod, the reading of it would have been irregular.

"Yours truly,
"J. B. RICHARDSON."

On receipt of this, I sent the following:-

"719 WATERLOO STREET, LONDON, June 30, '79.

"MY DEAR SIR,—In your letter just received, you say that my letter, which I wrote to you as Secretary, 'was not read because it was considered that as it did not relate to any business before the Synod, the reading of it would have been irregular.' May I ask you as Secretary kindly to let me know