

2. Welland Ship Canal (cont'd)

Estimated benefit to commerce - 40 cents per ton.
On $7\frac{1}{2}$ million tons - \$3,000,000

Cost of Operation.

Interest $4\frac{1}{2}\%$ on \$161,000,000 -	\$7,800,000
Amortization $\frac{3}{8}\%$ \$161,000,000 -	806,000
Operation & Maintenance :	
$1\frac{1}{2}\%$ \$115,000,000 -	1,725,000
	<u>\$9,330,000</u>
Annual deficit standing alone over	\$6,000,000

Note. Rates for Amortization, Operation and Maintenance based on figures given by U.S. Board of Engineers for Oswego-Hudson. Interest at rate actually being paid by the Canadian Government.

3. Traffic.

- (a) Annual Movement Great Lakes to Atlantic Seaboard over 250,000,000 short tons.
Presently interested in Waterway 15-20,000,000 tons.

In 1919 Railways south of Lakes incapable of handling traffic - relinquishment of Government control - more satisfactory conditions - margin of capacity still extremely small. In Canada railway development is still in advance of population and production.

Traffic is seasonal - waterway suitable for carrying peak loads - Cost of facilities by rail many times greater than Waterway and cost of transit higher in about ratio of 150-20.

- (b) Capacity required.

Initial at least 25,000,000 tons - must be capable of large increase later.

4. Dimensions of a ship canal to serve area in question.

Initial depth 25 feet preferably 27 feet and ultimate 30 feet. Length of locks 860 feet.

$27\frac{1}{2}$ feet will admit 87% of merchant tonnage afloat, and will only exclude large ocean liners and special purpose transports which would not use waterway in any event.

Channel width in cuts 220 feet, in submerged sections 460 feet. No curves less than $\frac{1}{4}$ mile are permissible and at least 1 mile radius should be provided. No reverse curvature.

5. Alternative Routes.

- (a) Georgian Bay Ship Canal.

22 foot project 1908 estimated cost \$100,000,000
27 locks - 26 miles canal - 66 miles dredging - 116 curves of which 59 are of about $\frac{1}{4}$ mile radius - 2 reverse curves. Length 440 miles - rise to summit 659 feet thence fall to Lake Huron 98 feet.

"Amable du Fond" Watershed limits capacity to 10,000,000 tons per annum - could be increased at great expense by about $\frac{1}{2}$.