

out of the floods that are above \$1 per capita in the province, on the following basis:

Provincial Expenditures Eligible for Sharing per capita	Federal Share
First \$1	0
Next \$2	50 per cent
Next \$2	75 per cent
Remainder	90 per cent

2. No claim has been received; therefore no payment has been made.

\* \* \*

### QUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS FOR RETURNS

#### NATIONAL DEFENCE—CF-5 AIRCRAFT CONTRACT

Question No. 143—**Mr. Lambert (Edmonton West):**

1. Were tenders called for the production of the CF-5 tactical fighter bomber and, if so, on what date?
2. How many tenders were received, from whom and at what price—either on a per unit or total cost basis?
3. Was the lowest tender accepted and on what date?
4. How many aircraft were called for by the contract and what was the breakdown of quantity as to model or versions?
5. What was the tendering price for each model?
6. (a) What variations in any specifications were made calling for price changes (b) for how much (c) for what reason were the modifications made?
7. (a) Did the contractor apply for the extras (b) who agreed to these extras (c) on what date?
8. When was the first aircraft delivered under the contract in question and what was the scheduled delivery by number and model?
9. How many aircraft have been delivered under the contract by model, and what has been the disposition of any such aircraft?
10. How many aircraft of each model remain to be delivered and how will these be used?
11. During the course of the contract, did the Department of Supply and Services or the Department of National Defence or any other agency of the government maintain an internal audit as to the cost at the place of manufacturing and, if so (a) what reports were made (b) on what dates (c) were there indicated any additions to cost?
12. (a) Of the amount of \$71 million appearing on page 15.12 of Public Accounts 1969, Volume II, under the heading Aircraft and Engines, what amount was earmarked for the CF-5 contract, to how many aircraft did it apply and for what amount (b) what was the name of the payee (c) if the said amount has no reference to the CF-5 aircraft, what does this item of \$71 million represent, both as to the kind of aircraft, the number, and other pertinent detail?

Return tabled.

#### NATIONAL FILM BOARD PRESENTATIONS

Question No. 600—**Mr. Nowlan:**

1. What was the number of film bookings made by the National Film Board for distribution in each of the past twenty years (a) by the Canadian Broadcasting Company (b) by other Canadian Television networks (c) in commercial movie theatres?
2. What was the average number of hours a week of National Film Board presentations shown by Canadian Television net-

### Motions for Papers

works in each of the past twenty years (a) for French language films (b) for English language films (c) other than English or French language films?

Return tabled.

#### CMHC LOANS

Question No. 652—**Mr. Sulatycky:**

1. By type, how many CMHC loans were made and what was the total value of loans of each type made during each of the past five years in the Alberta communities of (a) Edmonton (b) Calgary (c) Red Deer (d) Lethbridge (e) Banff (f) Jasper (g) Blairmore (h) Coleman (i) Black Diamond (j) Turner Valley (k) Hinton (l) Edson (m) Drayton Valley (n) Vegreville (o) Vermilion (p) Fort McMurray (q) Grande Prairie (r) Peace River (s) Rocky Mountain House?

2. In Alberta, what portion of funds has been disbursed in each of the past five years for housing in communities of (a) more than 5,000 inhabitants (b) less than 5,000 inhabitants?

Return tabled.

**Mr. McCleave:** Mr. Speaker, I rise on a serious question of privilege which involves starred question No. 710, placed on the order paper by me on January 15 following a rather resolute or positive response by the Minister of Public Works with regard to some questions about the provision of winter unemployment for Canadians. Since the minister seemed to be so definite at that time, I ventured to ask a rather specific question. I see no reason why that question could not have been answered within at most ten days.

**Mr. McCutcheon:** Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. I put question No. 415, which is a simple request for information, on the order paper on November 12. I seriously think that it could have been answered before now.

**Mr. Jerome:** Mr. Speaker, those numbers have been noted. I have noted the comments of hon. members opposite. In the last few days I have asked all ministers holding questions for periods longer than ten days to two weeks to review them. We are looking at each one individually in the hope that all of them can be answered very soon.

### MOTIONS FOR PAPERS

**Mr. J. A. Jerome (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council):** Mr. Speaker, Notices of Motions for the Production of Papers Nos. 1, 150 and 164 are acceptable to the government subject to the usual reservations concerning confidential documents and to the authorization of the government concerned.

**Mr. Speaker:** Subject to the reservations or conditions expressed by the parliamentary secretary, is it the pleasure to the House to adopt these motions?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.