rentals or other dispositions of all lands comprised within such lands.

The complete schedule of such lands is attached herewith. See answer No. 1.

4. For townsite purposes.

DREDGE 'INDUSTRY.'

Mr. FOSTER:

1. When was the tug 'Industry' reported as ready for work in 1900?

2. What was the total cost to date, includ-

ing scow repairs and scow purchase? 3. When did she commence work,

wheref 4. What has she been doing since, and where is she now?

Hon. WM. PUGSLEY. I think the hon. gentleman means the dredge 'Industry'.

Mr. FOSTER. Yes, but she has had a hard tug to get along.

Mr. PUGSLEY:

1 and 3. The dredge was ready and commenced work at Toronto on 7th June, 1909. 2. \$223,990.84.

4. Worked at Toronto from 7th June to 26th June, at Port Burwell from 26th July to 6th October, and from 11th October to end of season at Port Stanley, where she is at present.

CANADIAN COINAGE.

Mr. FOSTER:

1. What is the amount of Canada's copper, silver, and gold coinage, respectively, for each of the last ten years, and the cost and profit of each year's coinage, counting the interest and depreciation of the cost of the Canadian Mint at 6 per cent, and the cost of mainten-ance and staff for the years during which it has been in operation?

2. How much United States silver, and at what cost, has been deported each year, and what is the estimated amount of United States silver current in Canada from year to

Hon. W. S. FIELDING. As this question covers a period of ten years the answer could more conveniently be given by way of a return and if the hon. gentleman will make a motion I will expedite the bringing down of the information.

Mr. FOSTER. I make the motion.

PORT COLBORNE.

Mr. FOSTER:

1. What is the total amount spent to date on the Port Colborne works by the Depart-ment of Railways and Canals and the Public Works Department, respectively, and are any further sums necessary to complete?

2. What are the main improvements made and the cost of each?

3. What was the cost of elevator and the equipment of the same, respectively, and what its capacity?

Mr. OLIVER.

4. When was the elevator first used? 5. How much grain was handled there in 1908 and 1909, respectively, and what is the rate per bushel for transfer?

6. What was the cost of operating the equip-

ment in 1909, calendar year?
7. What was the total revenue received in 1908 and 1909, respectively, from all sources?

Mr. PUGSLEY:

For the Department of Public Works:

1. (a) \$905,047.82. (b) The works, as they stand at present, are complete; but, eventually, the superstructure of the inner por-tion of the western breakwater, which was built of wood, will require to be renewed in concrete at an estimated cost of \$250,000. Representations have been made by the Department of Railways and Canals as to the advisability of constructing a spur from the western breakwater to the extremity of the Welland canal, which would cost in the neighbourhood of \$350,000; the matter is under consideration.

2. Construction of breakwaters, \$905,057.82. 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7. To be answered by the Department of Railways and Canals.

Mr. GRAHAM:

. Total spent to date on Port Colborne improvements:

Expenditure Railways and Canals Department only:

1901-2									\$202,881.72
1902-3									197,242.95
1903-4									124,296.01
1904-5									239,488.12
1906-7									287,586.16
1907-8									90,031.82
1908-9									60,333.16
1909-10.									58,566.17

Total.....\$1,700,946.73
The amount necessary to complete works now contemplated, not including elevator, \$104,000.

2. The main improvements made are: Deepening the harbour and canal entrance to 22 feet, cost \$870,602; building docks and

piers, \$816,571; building elevator siding, cost, \$36,707.

3. (a) \$817,734.94. (b), 800,000 bushels with provision for increase to 2,000,000 bushels, when justified by sufficient busi-

ness.

4. October 6, 1908.

5. 1908, 365,033 bushels; 1909, 1,747,550 bushels; 1908, rate per bushel, sc.; 1909, rate per bushel, ½c.

6. \$19,310.24. 7. Revenue, 1908, \$1,409.04; revenue, 1909, \$9,477.00.

COST OF SURVEYS, WELLAND CANAL.

Mr. FOSTER:

What amount has been spent in surveys to ascertain the most desirable route for the possible new or enlargement of the old Wel-