

MUNICIPAL TELEPHONE SYSTEMS— <i>Con.</i>	PAGE.
Organized opposition to municipal ownership in Great Britain..Andrews	118
Port Arthur, Ont.Dagger	15
Port Arthur and Fort William, low rates in.Sise, 629, 630, 680, 682	682
Port Arthur, effect of municipal competition inDagger	41
Port Arthur finances, statement by Mayor in reply to the special Commissioner of the Ottawa <i>Free Press</i> re.Dyke	87-96
Port Arthur, Ont., financial statement.Dyke	112
Rotterdam, HollandDagger	15
Russia, St. PetersburgDagger	15
St. John, N.B., proposed system in, approved by Board of Trade. MacRae	301
St. John, N.B., City's Bill to establish municipal system in, defeated in Legislature.MacRae	305, 308
St. John, N.B., public opinion in regard to.MacRae	308
St. John, N.B., report of municipal telephone committeeMacRae	300
St. John, N.B., proposed municipal system in.(See MacRae)	
St. John, N.B., 1,000 subscribers for proposed municipal system, MacRae	300
St. Petersburg, RussiaDagger	15
Trondhjem, Norway.Dagger	14
Tunbridge Wells.Dagger	13
United States, not heard of a municipal exchange inWare	1037
<i>See also</i> Dagger, Dyke, MacRae, Webb and Willock.	

Municipalities:

Bell Co.'s exclusive franchise agreements, list of.Sise	660
Brantford, Ont., offered \$700 a year by 'Bell' Co. for exclusive franchise.Andrews	118, 142
Companies might be compelled to rent conduits constructed by municipalities.Atwater	760, 761
Control of streets, argument for giving municipalities . .Dewart	754-759, 787, 788
Control of streets, argument in rebuttal of municipalities contention as to.Aylesworth	764-771, 773-776
Control of streets by, argument in favour of.Atwater	759-763
Control of streets by municipalities advocated.Urquhart	726
Control of streets, franchises and privileges, ought to have. . .Atwater	759
Control in respect of purely local enterprises desired. . . .Lighthall	757
Dominion Parliament should restore to municipalities the control of streets taken away by Act of 1880.Urquhart	712
Hamilton, Ont., terms of Bell Co.'s franchise.Sise	660
Jurisdiction in purely local operations, should have absolute. . .Atwater	760
Metis, P.Q., granted franchise to Bellechasse Telephone Co. . .Demers	220
Montreal, city as owner of streets should have full control. . . .Ethier	880, 882
Montreal, City of.(See Ethier)	
Montreal, under present conditions City cannot obtain any revenue or secure advantageous terms for citizens from Bell Co. . . .Ethier	880
Ontario municipalities have power to operate telephone lines therefore why give them right to expropriate existing systems. . . .Aylesworth	777
Ottawa vs. Canadian Pacific Railway, case of <i>re</i> Richmond Road cited.Aylesworth	773, 774
Owen Sound vs. Bell Co., case of discussed.Lighthall	749, 750
Ownership of streets by, discussed.Aylesworth	766-771
Streets, all cables might be in one trench if city controlled. . . .Rust	744
Streets, objections to unrestricted use of by Bell Co.Rust	727, 728