

Miss Sue Hill proposed deleting the reference to South America as the problem was universal. Agreed.

Mr. Wallace (Vice President, Consolidated Bathurst) sought clarification as to whether a percentage of cargo was identified, to which Mr. Stinnes responded that his wording was loose to allow maximum competition, as this had been the tenor of the meetings. Mr. Wallace stated his concern was the specific mention of Canadian ships or Canadian ownership, because from his company's standpoint he needed to move product, and did not wish any restriction whatever. He wondered if an opportunity should be given to allow more thorough review of this proposal however, supporting the motion, Mr. John Turpin, Canadian Transport, advocated action on the motion, rather than to delay matters to "a new meeting".

Mr. Toporowski endorsed Mr. Wallace's concern relating to identifying Canadian shipping lines and commented that the events of the afternoon had shown the need for shippers, carriers and government officials to get together more often to have this kind of useful interchange.

Dr. Camu, noting some hesitation, suggested the motion was about ninety per cent perfect, but like the Constitution, could be changed subsequently. "Better to record the reaction of the group here, for or against!"

Mr. Lochhead supported the addition of this resolution to the five recommendations presented earlier to the meeting by seminar spokesmen, and proposed an amendment to accommodate Mr. Wallace's concerns, namely "to ensure free access for Canadian shipping lines" should be amended to "for all shipping lines, including Canadian shipping lines". Carried.

Mr. McAngus' CTC, made reference to his study on South America, and offered to discuss this with participants. Closing the panel, Dr. Camu thanked all participants for their contribution to a very interesting session.