As the long and (as already noted) unexampled struggle approached its climax last summer, the two main contenders for final approval by the Canadian and American Governments were Canadian Arctic Gas Pipeline Limited of Toronto and Foothills Pipe Lines Limited of Calgary. Membership in the Arctic Gas group numbered 15 including the Canadian subsidiaries of Exxon (Imperial Oil Limited), Gulf (Gulf Oil Canada Limited) and Shell (Shell Canada Limited). But participation by these multinationals (Shell is, of course, of Anglo-Dutch ownership) and by a half-dozen American pipeline companies in the Arctic Gas group was not the essential difference between it and the competing Foothills group.

tual int both. I t propo regulat t propo regulat t propo terministic propo biblic; prestages between the competing proposals was the project between the routes they proposed to folber both biblic proposals was the project. There were fundamental differences, too, in the routes they proposed to follow, but even more fundamental was the difference in their ownership designs.

the pa

terests

t togeth

the en Ownership of the Arctic Gas pipeline mic zo would be shared by any or all of its members pass. who wished to participate, whereas ownership of the Canadian sections of the Foothills pipeline would be limited to the l outcon Canadian participants in that proposal, and e pipeli American sections would be owned by the esents American partners. This difference in the nd the two projects, more than their route differcular. It ence or any other, is what had kept the two ts a st groups competing instead of co-operating e, amou with each other. It meant that, if the berta a Foothills group won (as it did), there would Canad be no chance for equity ownership in the nce, Tecanadian sections of the line by the ortance multinationals or other non-Canadian parabout ticipants, though they would be more than 's large welcome to invest in capital bonds and other xon, Ginon-equity financing of the enormous ership project. From the point of view of the Footf the lin hills group, had the Arctic Gas group won n Cana the project would have been dominated by he oil a American and multinational companies ate-entramong the group's membership. n them

emed **Arctic Gas proposal**

as indu The proposal by Arctic Gas was for a single epende pipeline system that would move both tionalis Alaskan gas from the slopes overlooking eign co Prudhoe Bay on the Arctic Ocean and Canaal comp^{dian} gas from the Mackenzie River Delta in power northwestern Canada to markets in southcould ern Canada and the United States. The prime route for the pipeline lay across the North Slope of Alaska and Canada's Yukon Territory, never far from the coast of the Beaufort Sea, which is part of the Arctic Ocean. The line would then have crossed the northern part of the Mackenzie Delta in Canada's Northwest Territories and connected with a line coming down from near Inuvik, on the Delta. From there the line would have run south up the Mackenzie River Valley into Alberta and diagonally across that province to the United States border just inside Saskatchewan and, through a branch pipeline, into the Pacific U.S. from a point in British Columbia.

The Canadian section of the Arctic Gas pipeline would have been about 2,300 miles long, longer than any previously built, including what is currently the longest in the world – the 2,200-mile Trans-Canada pipeline between Alberta and Montreal. It was estimated that it would cost about \$10 billion to bring this project to its full capacity of 4.5 billion cubic feet of gas a day, half from Prudhoe Bay and half from the Mackenzie Delta.

At a point about half-way through the seven-year battle between the two groups, the Foothills proposal began to favour two pipelines – one to bring Alaska gas south to the U.S. and the other to bring Canadian gas south from the Mackenzie Delta. But as finds of gas in the Delta proved disappointingly small, the Foothills emphasis on a separate line up the Mackenzie Valley was dropped and all its attention became focused on a proposal for what has come to be known as the Alaska Highway pipeline.

The Alaska Highway line would be built from Prudhoe Bay south to Fairbanks, Alaska, along the corridor already established for the Alyeska oil-pipeline, which began operating in mid-1977. From Fairbanks it would run southeast along the Alaska Highway route across the Yukon and northeastern British Columbia and into Alberta, and then split to enter the U.S. at the same points in Saskatchewan and British Columbia as the Arctic Gas line proposed.

Both pipelines were planned to be 48 inches in diameter, the largest built to date on this continent, though there are lines of larger diameter in the Soviet Union. Of more crucial concerń was the higher pressure under which, it was proposed, the Arctic gas should move in the pipeline. The highest pressure under which gas was currently moving in pipelines in British Columbia and Alberta, where some of the terrain to be crossed is comparable to that to be traversed by the Alaska pipeline, was between 800 and 1,000 pounds a square inch. The Arctic Gas system proposed to move the gas under an unprecedented 1,680 pounds a

13