

Business Notes.

The Montreal Express is on business from 8 a.m. until 6 p.m. every week-day. It is not open for delivery of papers in the evening. Town and local country subscribers will, therefore, please call for their papers at the delivery window before 6 p.m.

Miramichi and the North Shore, etc.

The "Express" was again laid up this week to the inconvenience of travel between Chatham and Newcastle.

CLARE BARNON HISTORIC being sold last week from a schooner at Miramichi wharf in half, quarter and full cargoes. The price asked was as the rate of 80 a ton.

RECORD AT BATHURST.—A good afternoon's reading is in prospect at the opening meeting of the Bathurst Driving Park Association, which is to take place on Wednesday next.

CHALDERA.—Chatham Curling Rink Co. is to hold its annual meeting on Tuesday evening next, and the Curling Club will hold its annual meeting on the following evening—Wednesday.

VALLEY LOST.—Lost between the Canada House and Railway Station, Chatham, a brown valise containing clothing and papers. The finder will confer a favor by leaving it at the Canada House, Chatham.

THE TEACHERS' INSTITUTE is to meet at Chatham, on Thursday and Friday of next week and Mr. A. Crockett, the popular Chief Superintendent of Education, is expected to be present and deliver a lecture on Thursday evening.

The "Advocate" accuses the friends of the Valley Railway—of course, not directly of course, but none the less spitefully—of "jealousy—miserable petty jealousy—and, yet, we have the "Chalmers" making periodical visits to Chatham to bring up people for patronage. Of course, there is no jealousy harbored by Chatham's seamen!

YACHT IN PORT.—The handsome steam yacht, "Falcon," Capt. Kirby, from New York, bound for Detroit, by way of the St. Lawrence, is now in port, having put in for repairs to her boiler, the tubes of which are rusty. The work is being done by Mr. Radford, of the Miramichi Foundry and Machine Works.

A FINE STONE.—Subaltern & Co. have arranged their fine stone from Chatham, which is the finest building stone to be had in New Brunswick, and is well known to all who have seen it.

THE VALLEY.—The abutments for the railway bridge, the Nashweb are now completed, and the stone masonry reach over half the distance. The bridge will be completed in another week. Track-laying is completed to this bridge. The bridge over the Fenimore is also nearly finished. The piers of this bridge were completed Thursday evening—Wednesday.

THE STUBBORN RAIN.—The Globe of 17th says that a diver arrived from Halifax that morning for the purpose of raising, if possible, the N. & W. Ry. Co's steel rail lost at the foot of the falls a short time ago. At black water he proceeded to the falls with his apparatus and secured, but the force of the tide carried him away from the spot where he wished to descend and he accordingly abandoned the attempt.

BEHOLD.—The Telegraph says that a bridge across the Miramichi river, in the course of construction. Our contemporary is in error. The ADVANCE has been working on a paper bridge for nearly a year. It is the one mentioned by the "Telegraph."—Advocate.

The Telegraph, however, confounded the Barnaby River bridge with the one proposed for Derby and which Mr. Mitchell has for a time, prevented from being built.

SUBVERTER P. O.—There is a hum about the new post office at Chatham, or basement in being rapidly excavated—stone-ware are at work—and it is expected that the excavator, who the foundation will rest will be laid this week.—Advocate.

This is just what we told the Advocate and World when, only a few weeks ago, they were casting new reflections on the Government and contractors in connection with the new Post Office.

THE I. B.—Referring to the Indian-town Branch, the Montreal Herald says "Mr. Stoddard has engaged to have the road graded by the city, and the road ready for the rails by the 31st May next. There are not less than sixty stone culverts indicated in the contract. The road will be first-class in all respects and the cost to the extremely low figures for grading, ballasting, etc., it is understood that with the rails laid, station houses and all complete, the branch will not cost over \$60,000 per mile. The branch will be built and will be running by June next."

A SINGULAR FIRE.—An alarm of fire was caused on Tuesday morning about 7.30 by smoke which was discovered issuing from the Golden Ball building, occupied by Messrs. Fotheringham & Co., Boot and Shoe and Furniture dealers. When the fire was reached it was found to be in the cellar of the building, towards the rear, among some sawdust and it was evidently of incendiary origin, some one having effected an entrance for the purpose. It was extinguished by a few buckets of water, although the band engine, under Capt. Brennan and the Steam Fire Engine were both on hand and promptly made ready to throw water. The occasion was improved for testing the engines, both working first rate.

PERSONS.—Hon. Richard Hetchison and the Misses Hetchison have returned home from quite an extended trip to the upper provinces, having included a trip up the Sanguary in their route of travel.

REV. DR. PARTRIDGE OF HALIFAX, left Chatham by yesterday morning's train. His visit has been much appreciated by all who heard him here and especially by the Church of England people.

CHARLES FLYNN and Samuel Akerley, Esq., of P. E. Nelson, were among Chatham's visitors of this week.

Mr. Snowball returned home from Ottawa on Saturday night, having with him several letters to the contractor for building the Indian Branch of the Inter-colonial Railway.

Miss Susie Benson, who has been organist of St. Mary's Chapel, Chatham, for several years, having resigned the position,

with a view, we understand, of entering into new relationships under very happy auspices, involving a future residence in Ontario. At a meeting of the Church Warden and Vestry, held on Friday last, the following resolution, moved by G. A. Blair, Esq., and seconded by Messrs. F. E. Winslow and Richard Carman, was unanimously carried:

Resolved that the thanks of the Corporation of St. Mary's Church be and are hereby respectfully tendered to Miss Susie Benson for her zeal and efficiency in the discharge of her duties as organist of St. Mary's Chapel, coupled with an expression of their cordial good wishes for her continued happiness.

Mr. Sedler has been appointed organist of St. Mary's Chapel, Chatham, in place of Miss Susie Benson, resigned.

R. B. Crombie, Esq., of the Bank of Montreal, Piquet, Ont., is in town, and is to leave by to-day's noon train, taking with him one of our best and fairest young ladies as his bride. The interesting event, we believe, to take place at St. Mary's at 9 a. m.

Hon. Peter Mitchell will, it is again stated, soon visit the County.

LUKEBERG.—Mr. Lynch, who has been a heavy lumber operator on the Miramichi, states that the outlook for the coming season is very poor. He is not aware that any preparation has been made for it.

COMPLIMENTARY SUPPER.—Mr. O. A. Barbara, the I. C. R. Station Agent at Campbellton, and local agent for the manager of the steamer "Admiral," on Friday evening last, 19th, entertained a number of railway men and merchants at Campbellton were present. The usual toasts were drunk, the speech-making being highly complimentary to Messrs. Dupg, Pogue and Barbara, to whose affability and good business habits the steamer service is no doubt largely due.

"Admiral" has been running to Campbellton only three years. The business has in that time increased ten fold, and the present season has been more favorable than any other. All present report having an enjoyable evening, the general feeling being that the host and his guests were not only "jolly good fellows," but useful members of the community.—Times.

St. Mary and St. Paul. At a meeting of the Guild of St. Mary and St. Paul held at the rectory on the 22nd Sept. 1884, the following officers were elected for the ensuing year.

Mrs. W. B. Howard, President. Mrs. D. O. Smith, Vice-President. Miss Williston, Sec. Treasurer.

The Secretary's report showed that the closing year had been most prosperous, while all the members were unanimous as to its being most agreeable and happy one. Based on it is an correct principle we pray that God will bless our labors and suffer not our work to decrease.

The Ladies of the Guild, while thanking their numerous friends in Chatham for their patronage, beg a continuation of their confidence. All orders received will be quickly attended to. An extra charge is made for cutting out work.

Mrs. W. B. HOWARD, Sec. The Municipal Elections. It is said that several candidates for the representation of Chatham in the Municipal Council are on the war path, endeavoring to secure pledges of votes from taxpayers, either for themselves, singly, or other associated with themselves.

So far, we have heard of no serious opposition to the present representation, although there are, doubtless, unexpressed ones. It is not in our matters, however, the people should choose the candidates and not allow men to thrust themselves upon them.

It is also said that some of the outlying parishes are to be visited by a professional politician or two, with a view of the people being represented as to who they should support.

Most parishes would resent that kind of distasteful but we have some peculiar elements in our politics. The intellectual forms which he would have us receive.

Prof. Geo. Stanley, the Author of "Ecc Homo" and of "Supernatural Religion," who has been promoting the world, ever since the publication of "Ecc Homo," a treatise on what the spirit of the times demands as the God, we should be glad and adore, says the real object of worship and trust is Nature with a big N.

"That your net wide" he says "is taken in the entire physical universe. Bring it to the philosophical form of the Bible, and you will see that the name of the Holy Spirit is the name of the Holy Spirit."

"You obtain," he says, "a deity which the senses can perceive and the hands can man the highest grade of a comprehensible theology for as soon as Nature is called God, all the natural sciences, chemistry, astronomy, geology, etc. at once become branches of divinity. You have only to feel admiration of natural beauty and thereby you become worshippers in the Temple of the Highest."

"And if you want a church, why there are all the scientific societies to choose from; join any one of them and there you are!"

If you still hesitate and hint that a religion or theology, a church and a worship, from which God has disappeared is the play of Hamlet with Hamlet left out, and that Nature, when enthroned on the altar before him, is to worship him, look to such like a lay figure, like a puppet, like a man, we are gradually encouraged to accept the tragedy. "Nature," say "deuses up your own in the garb of God—As soon as you become accustomed to see her in her borrowed robes, you will find that she looks the part to admiration and gives every satisfaction."

This is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

It is not the matter of the matter, but the matter of the matter, or, in other words, the matter of the matter, or, in other words, the matter of the matter.

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

God of all the earth is rejected and in his place is offered a name—an idea—an abstraction. Do they think we are going to give up our God for this thing? No, we go to the source of the matter.

But this is the difficulty of unbelief with regard to God. It gives us an absurdity. Can a ridiculous man further go than to give the leading part of a tragedy to a man who is not a man? We believe in a first Principle. Well, what about it? "Oh, a law—principles!"—But define it, what is it? "Well—we cannot tell what it is." Thus, the

IN STOCK AND ARRIVING AND FOR SALE

by the Subscriber: Mess Pork, Clear Pork, Prime Mess Pork, Plate Beef, Roll Beef, Extra Mess Beef, LARD IN TUBS, WHITE BEANS. (in Barrels.) Codfish Large & Medium, MOLASSES (Fancions and Tierces), SUGAR, Granulated and Yellow, Rankine's Biscuits, Mariners' Tobacco, Prince of Wales Tobacco, Napoleon Tobacco, Myrtle Navy Tobacco.

ROYAL CROWN SOAP, PRINCESS LOUISE SOAP, ATLANTIC P. Y. SOAP.

Flavelling's Matches, BROWN'S AXES, Cut Nails all sizes, with usual Supply of CHOICE BRANDS Flour, Cornmeal, Oatmeal, at Lowest Rates FOR CASH.

John McLaggan.

ROXFORD BAKING POWDER Absolutely Pure.

NOTICE. All amounts under twenty dollars due the Miramichi Publishing Co., should please be paid by the 15th October.

CHEAP SALE OF BOOTS & SHOES, AT NICOL'S, To make room for Fall Goods.

WOMEN'S PERILED LEATHER LACED BOOTS, MEN'S PERILED LEATHER LACED BOOTS, MEN'S BROWN, PEGGED, solid leather boots, MEN'S DOMESTIC CALF BOOTS, MEN'S DOMESTIC CALF BATTERS, and Buttoned Boots, all hands sizes, 2.10 and Buttoned Boots, all hands sizes, 2.15

My RHODESIAN PERFUME can always rely on getting from me, as good value for their money as can be obtained.

JAS. NIOOL. Burdock Blood Bitters.

Beef, Iron & Wine. Atwood's Bitters. ROSEMARY HAIR TONIC. Pleasant Warm Syrup.

BOYD'S DIARRHÆA MIXTURE. Ayer's Sarsaparilla.

A Large Supply of the above Just Arrived.

THE MEDICAL HALL, J. D. B. MACKENZIE.

grand distribution of shares, which commenced on 22nd October next. By taking a ten dollar share you receive a registered Certificate, which procures you an interest in a business paying twenty per cent. yearly, and likewise gives you a chance of getting a money prize, ranging from ten dollars to fifteen thousand dollars, there being sixteen hundred and forty-two prizes in all. By enclosing ten dollars with your full address to me, I will send, by return of mail, a registered share for the above. Further particulars can be had on application to

JOHN F. GEMMILL, Chatham, N. B. Sole Agent for the County of Northumberland. No ticket will be sold after 15th October.

BORN.