

BRITAIN'S HONORS TO LORD KITCHENER

The Crushing of Mahdism Was Due to the Genius of the General.

REMARKS OF MR. BALFOUR

In Introducing the Vote for £150,000 for the Sirdar in the House of Commons.

SIR H. CAMPBELL-BANNERMAN Supported the Motion, While Expressing Regret at the Treatment of the Mahdists.

London, June 5.—Mr. Balfour, in introducing the vote of £150,000 for Lord Kitchener in the House of Commons, said that the fact that the power of Mahdism was crushed was due to the genius of the man they desired to honor and reward. The Government leader expressed the hope that those who objected to the policy of the Sudan advance would not think that was a reason for rejecting the vote, as such a course would be introducing politics into military matters.

He then dwelt with admiration on the way in which the Sirdar had surmounted difficulties and organized victories by "his unswerving and almost superhuman industry." He deserved a signal mark of gratitude and honor and he (Mr. Balfour) believed that in proposing the vote he was carrying out the wishes of the House and the country.

Opposition Leader Supports. Sir Henry Campbell-Bannerman, the Opposition leader, cordially supported the motion, while expressing regret at the treatment of the Mahdists, which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment. Mr. Balfour did not refer to the incident of the Mahdists' bodies, which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment.

Mr. Balfour did not refer to the incident of the Mahdists' bodies, which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment. He wished to withhold from a successful general a merited reward because he had carried out a policy which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment.

Mr. Balfour did not refer to the incident of the Mahdists' bodies, which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment. He wished to withhold from a successful general a merited reward because he had carried out a policy which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment.

Mr. Balfour did not refer to the incident of the Mahdists' bodies, which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment. He wished to withhold from a successful general a merited reward because he had carried out a policy which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment.

Mr. Balfour did not refer to the incident of the Mahdists' bodies, which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment. He wished to withhold from a successful general a merited reward because he had carried out a policy which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment.

Mr. Balfour did not refer to the incident of the Mahdists' bodies, which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment. He wished to withhold from a successful general a merited reward because he had carried out a policy which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment.

Mr. Balfour did not refer to the incident of the Mahdists' bodies, which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment. He wished to withhold from a successful general a merited reward because he had carried out a policy which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment.

Mr. Balfour did not refer to the incident of the Mahdists' bodies, which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment. He wished to withhold from a successful general a merited reward because he had carried out a policy which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment.

Mr. Balfour did not refer to the incident of the Mahdists' bodies, which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment. He wished to withhold from a successful general a merited reward because he had carried out a policy which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment.

Mr. Balfour did not refer to the incident of the Mahdists' bodies, which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment. He wished to withhold from a successful general a merited reward because he had carried out a policy which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment.

Mr. Balfour did not refer to the incident of the Mahdists' bodies, which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment. He wished to withhold from a successful general a merited reward because he had carried out a policy which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment.

Mr. Balfour did not refer to the incident of the Mahdists' bodies, which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment. He wished to withhold from a successful general a merited reward because he had carried out a policy which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment.

Mr. Balfour did not refer to the incident of the Mahdists' bodies, which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment. He wished to withhold from a successful general a merited reward because he had carried out a policy which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment.

Mr. Balfour did not refer to the incident of the Mahdists' bodies, which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment. He wished to withhold from a successful general a merited reward because he had carried out a policy which he regarded as an error of taste and judgment.