Supreme Court or the Privy Council would have a comparatively simple task if decisions were based on whether or not the practice involved conformed to the Golden Rule.

When Roosevelt took office in 1933, America, and, to a greater or less degree, other nations, were confronted with an economic crisis that, at first appearance, seemed to be caused by over-production of the things people were in need of - a ridiculous theory on the face of it. We were told that we had too much wheat, too many automobiles, too many radios, too many hogs (we did have too many hogs), so we had a depression, while millions of our people starved, or suffered for lack of the very things that were being over-produced. Mr. Roosevelt, out of what I believe to be a great heart and a great desire, found the right answer, for, as pointed out before, in his Inaugural speech he said: "I believe in putting first things first, and the first thing to do is to put our people to work." Now when Mr. Roosevelt said that, he said what is known in coloquial Americanism as a "mouthful". But Mr. Roosevelt did not seem to know how to go about doing this. He was right in what he said. The first thing to do was to put the unemployed to work but, unfortunately, neither he nor his advisors had the simple formula of Christism to follow, so they beat all around the bush. Roosevelt's aims and those of his advisors are, I think, in the main, sound: "A planned economy without waste production, giving everyone a chance to make a fair living", but the trouble is, we complicate things so. Moses had the Ten Commandments - Christ reduced these to one. Then we, ignoring Christ's idea, go Moses a million laws better. So Mr. Roosevelt should be forgiven, perhaps, if he forgot to put "first things

Incitifog