

TYPHOID SPINE.*

By SIR WILLIAM OSLER, BART., M.D., F.R.S.,

Regius Professor of Medicine, Oxford, and Consulting

Physician to No. 15 Canadian General Hospital.

Honorary Colonel, A.M.S.

LET me begin at the end by reading a letter received January 3.

National Hospital, Queen Square, London.

DEAR SIR WILLIAM,—Sapper C., typhoid spine, was admitted yesterday. You will be interested to know that he is now walking normally. It was a good case, although he walked after ten minutes' treatment.

Yours sincerely,

L. R. YEALLAND.†

Had Sapper C. gone to Lourdes—had he gone to our own Canadian Shrine, St. Anne de Beaupré, what a miracle! Paralyzed for nearly two years! unable to move body or legs! never out of his bed! and yet he walked in ten minutes! Well, it is a miracle all the same, an illustration of the faith that heals—not the same sort of faith, however, that the lame man at Lystra had, the firm persuasion that Paul and Barnabas were able to cure him, for I am afraid from what Dr. Yealland says, and from what we know, Sapper C. was not very anxious to get well.

Now to refresh your memory of the case, which is an important one from many standpoints. I saw the patient in April, 1916, with Dr. Whithall, at the V.A.D. Hospital, Maidenhead. The condition was as follows: Excessive nervousness and apprehension, so that he broke into a profuse sweat, trembled, and was very fearful lest we should attempt to move him. He was well-nourished, no mental disturbance, special senses normal, pupils widely dilated. When stripped a diffuse blush spread over the trunk, and there was an unusually persistent condition of goose skin. He was unable to move the body, any attempt being followed by agonizing pain in the back. The legs looked normal, and there was no wasting, no disturbance of sensation. An attempt to sit up was followed by severe pain in the back; with great difficulty he was turned on the left side, but it was impossible to get him in the sitting posture. The spine was straight, no projection or unusual prominence. Below the mid-dorsal region it was very painful on pressure, and over the lumbar spines the slightest touch caused him to cry out. The examination of the abdomen was negative; nothing could be felt on either side or in the iliac regions on the deepest pressure. The spleen was not palpable. The legs could not be lifted from the bed or drawn up. On making the attempt they went into clonic spasm. The toes could be moved and the ankles flexed. The temperature of the legs was normal, and there were no trophic changes.

Sensation: Normal in hands and face. On the skin of abdomen in a band about a hand's-breadth in width below the costal margin there was extreme hyperæsthesia; the slightest touch caused him to cry out; he could not even bear the weight of the bedclothes. It extended to the back, but was not nearly so marked as in front. Below the navel the sensation was normal. On the skin of the legs he felt the pin-prick everywhere, and recognized the difference between heat and cold.

Reflexes: Knee-jerks exaggerated, slight rectus clonus, no ankle clonus; Babinski sign not present. Cremasteric and abdominal reflexes present. Bowels and bladder normal.

In February, 1916, the patient had an attack of typhoid fever, and was treated in the V.A.D. Hospital, Maidenhead. Though prolonged it was not a severe attack, the temperature never rising above 104° F. The convalescence was slow, and he remained in the hospital all the summer. In October he had another febrile attack which was thought to be influenza. Following this he began to have pains in the back and stiffness; these symptoms have persisted, and he has never been out of bed, and has become more and more incapacitated.

I asked to have the patient transferred here, to the Duchess

of Connaught's Hospital, Clevedon, where he was admitted May 7, 1917. A spinal jacket gave great relief to the pain in the back, and the hyperæsthetic girdle rapidly disappeared. In the eight months the changes have been an improvement in his general condition, manifested in a gain of weight, in less marked basal motor changes, and less apprehension and dread of pain. The area of hyperæsthesia has disappeared. The rigidity and immobility of the back has persisted. We have never been able to get him to sit up. An attempt to move the legs at once brought on the clonic spasm, and there always was an appearance of unusual effort in attempting to make the movement. Night and morning one of the nurses made him draw the legs up and down, and this of late he has been able to do pretty well, and with less tremor. The reflexes have remained the same, and there has been no anaesthesia, though at times the tactile sensations seemed less acute than at others.

Shortly after admission to Taplow an X-ray picture was taken which showed a very dark shadow in front of the lower dorsal and lumbar vertebræ, practically identical with the shadows shown in figs. 2 and 6 of Dr. J. B. Carnett's article in *The Annals of Surgery*, 1915. I submitted the picture to a number of experts, some of whom expressed doubts as to the significance of so large and dark a shadow. Major Morgan, when he took charge of the department, very kindly made a special study of the case, and the subsequent X-ray pictures showed a spine normal in every particular.

The case has attracted a great deal of interest, and in the weekly demonstrations I could not always carry conviction to the minds of visitors that the condition was purely functional, and that the patient would ultimately get well. My personal education in the disease is worth noting:—

The first case one sees of a special disease or complication usually fixes itself in the memory. In 1887 I was asked by Dr. Grasset, of Toronto, to see with him a young officer invalided from India with paralysis after typhoid fever. Healthy-looking, excessively nervous, unable to walk or to move in bed, the striking feature was a painful stiff back, so that any attempt to turn or move made him scream. There was nothing to be made out on examination except tenderness in the dorsal region. The legs were weak, but there was no paralysis, and the bladder and bowels were unaffected. The pain and stiffness had lasted for more than five months, and he was brought home believed to be permanently disabled. He was so nervous that I regarded the whole condition as functional, ordered a jacket with massage to the legs, urged him to get up and go out and gave a favourable prognosis. The improvement was rapid and progressive, and he got quite well. This was my introduction to the condition which Gibney, of New York, first described in 1887 as typhoid spine. In 1890, at a meeting of the Association of American Physicians, Dr. Loomis called our attention to Gibney's observations. In Series I of our "Studies in Typhoid Fever," Johns Hopkins Hospital Reports, vol. iv, p. 73, I wrote a paper with the title "On the Neurosis following Enteric Fever known as the Typhoid Spine" (the first communication on the subject to follow Gibney's), in which I reported two cases, and, in opposition to Dr. Gibney, took the view that it was a functional disturbance, analogous to "railway spine" or "hysterical spine." I was much impressed with the rapidity with which the cases recovered—far too rapidly in Case II for a spondylitis. In Series II of the "Typhoid Studies," J.H.H. Reports, vol v, p. 315, I reported three additional cases, two very mild, all negative on examination, which improved rapidly with the Paquelin cautery. In Series III of the "Typhoid Studies," J.H.H. Reports, vol. viii, p. 485, I reported a mild case of "tender spine." To this time I had seen nothing to make me change my view of the functional character of the trouble. Meanwhile we had seen many cases of the bone lesions following the disease, and it always seemed a strong point in favour of my view that the typhoid spine never presented any swelling, and never went on to suppuration. In 1902 I had to change my mind. I saw a patient of Dr. Reinhardt, in the fourth week of convalescence, with stiff, painful back, weak legs, excessive nervousness, but in addition a well-marked painful swelling just above the right sacro-iliac articulation. Convalescence was slow, but no suppuration followed. Several other cases were seen, and with the help of Dr. T. McCrae I reached the belief that Gibney's original view was correct for some cases. Careful X-ray examinations showed spinal changes, and in a patient at the Clinique in July, 1904, Dr. Baetjer demon-

* Clinical Remarks, January 7, No. 15 Canadian General Hospital, Clevedon, Taplow.

† Let me commend to those interested Dr. Yealland's (just issued) "Hysterical Disorders of Warfare," Macmillan and Co., one of the most remarkable contributions to neurology made during the War. Dr. Yealland is a Canadian, who before the War was in charge of the Out-patient Psychopathic Department of the Toronto General Hospital.