By another order, dated the 24th May, 1902, it is declared that the children under paragraph 5, take vested interests in the income of the estate, and are entitled to have the same or a sufficient portion applied for maintenance respectively. The same order provides that the allowance for maintenance be increased for a period of four years to \$2,500 per annum; this increased allowance to be charged against the respective shares of the infant children other than Gordon Alexander.

On the 23rd February, 1903, an order was made for payment of \$200 for two years for the education of infants. No provision is made how this shall be charged.

On the 27th June, 1905, an order was made directing payment by the trustees of the medical expenses of Gordon Alexander McKay, these expenses amounting to \$555. No provision is made as to how this shall be charged.

On the 23rd of March, 1906, a further order is made for payment of \$600 for medical treatment of Gordon Alexander McKay.

On the 1st June, 1906, the allowance under the 4th paragraph of the will is made \$2,000 for a period of three years, to be charged in equal proportions against the children, other than Gordon Alexander McKay.

On the 30th June, 1906, an order is made providing that out of the share of Gordon Alexander McKay, moneys may be expended for his medical treatment.

On the 10th July, 1909, the annual allowance is continued at \$2,000 for two years; and on the 10th June, 1911, this is continued for a further period of three years; this order providing that the increased allowance shall be charged against the shares of the children other than Gordon Alexander.

I am not called upon to consider the validity of these orders or their propriety. Effect must be given to them according to their terms. The increased allowance must be charged as they direct, against the shares to which, in my view, the children had only a life interest. The annual payments authorized by the testator must be charged to the \$35,000 fund.

The accounts should be made up and taken upon that basis.

On this application the married daughter, Ethel M. Parker, asks for a direction that the trust company should