

errors in printing? On p. 54, 5th and 4th line from bottom, read:—
 “Besides that *Zerene* and *Monticola* are either one species or stand together in a natural series, *purpurascens* is *Zerene* of Behr (*Hydaspe* Bois.)”

On p. 55, erase the reference to Vol. 1, But. N. A., on 22nd line, and insert it at the close of the paper, page 56, after *purpurascens*. The last clause will then read:—

128. ZERENE, Bois., 1852.

Var. HYDASPE, Bois., 1869.

Zerene Behr, 1862.

purpurascens H. Edw., 1876.

Zerene var., Edw., But. N. A., Vol. 1, pl. 32.

Yours truly,

W. H. EDWARDS, Coalburgh, W. Va.

DEAR SIR,—

With infinite mortification, I find that in my article in No. 2 of this volume I committed a blunder bad enough to be considered a crime. Will you permit me to apologise to you, and correct myself? *Papilio brevicauda* is excellent Latin. *Papilio brevicaudus*, which I would have substituted, is a barbarism. I ask such as may have noticed the ludicrous error to take the spirit of what was written and pass by the illustration. The barbarism is itself an apt illustration that something more than an amateur knowledge of a language is necessary in one who would criticise.

None the less, however, is the principle I urge the true one and a necessity.

Very truly yours,

GEO. D. HULST,

Beresford, Volusia Co., Fla., April 8, 1879.

DEAR SIR,—

I beg leave to protest against the publication of such names as appear in Mr. Whitney's recent paper on Tabanidæ. I, for one, will never accept the description of insects baptised with such names as *cudux*, *nigribimbo* and the rest.

Very truly yours,

EDWARD BURGESS, Boston, Mass.