

*Point of Order—Mr. Paproski*

What I had to give was evidence of my knowledge of these two individuals which—

**Mr. MacKay:** Lack of knowledge. You said you hardly knew them.

**Mr. Kaplan:**—I gave in open court and which was available to the other side for comment, and I do not feel this was conduct which had anything to do with the conduct of a lawyer. It was the conduct of a person with knowledge of two individuals.

**Some hon. Members:** Hear, hear!

\* \* \*

### PETITIONS

MR. OBERLE—UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE CLAIMANTS

**Madam Speaker:** I have the honour to inform the House that the Clerk of the House has laid upon the Table the two hundred and fifty-fourth report of the Clerk of Petitions stating that he has examined the petition presented by the hon. member for Prince George-Peace River (Mr. Oberle) on Thursday, May 27, 1982, and finds that the petition meets the requirements of the Standing Orders as to form.

\* \* \*

### PRIVILEGE

MR. YOUNG—ASSERTION OF MR. LALONDE RESPECTING ATTENDANCE IN HOUSE

**Mr. Neil Young (Beaches):** Madam Speaker, my question of privilege arises from the assertion of the Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources (Mr. Lalonde) during question period that I was not in attendance in the House when, in fact, I was in my place, as I always am when the House is sitting. It would be appropriate if the minister were to acknowledge that fact.

**Mr. Deans:** Or even to listen.

**Madam Speaker:** The presence of hon. members in the House is not officially or traditionally acknowledged. However, the hon. member has made his point.

\* \* \*

### OFFICIAL REPORT

REMARKS OF MR. McRAE IN DEBATE ON BILL C-112

**Hon. Steven E. Paproski (Edmonton North):** Madam Speaker, my question relates to yesterday's debate, at which time the hon. member for Thunder Bay-Atikokan (Mr. McRae) is reported at page 17853 of *Hansard* as having said this. I do not intend to read the whole paragraph because it is quite lengthy, but there are a couple of lines I would like to

point out to Your Honour, which is why I raise a point of order. I do not think the hon. member deliberately wanted to mislead the House and I do not know whether he knew the facts, but I think this should be brought to your attention. He said with regard to 50,000 barrels of oil which is to be sold to Canada that they were unable—

**Madam Speaker:** Order. Can I find out from the very beginning whether the hon. member is trying to debate what has been recorded in *Hansard*, or whether he really wants to correct a statement that he has made in the House? It is not yet clear to me at this point. I would like him to be correct, so that I know where I am going.

**Mr. Paproski:** Yes, Madam Speaker, I wish to correct his statement. I am sure that he did not want to do this intentionally, and therefore—

**Madam Speaker:** Well, if the hon. member wants to correct a statement of his own, he is perfectly free to do so; but one hon. member cannot correct the statement of another.

**Mr. Paproski:** Well, I could say that the hon. member lied, but I do not want to do that. However, my people in Edmonton would say that he lied, because what he has said—

**Madam Speaker:** Order. Hon. members cannot say indirectly that which they cannot say directly—

**Mr. Clark:** Except the judges.

**Madam Speaker:**—and I am just warning the hon. member of that fact. The problem before me now is that he wants to correct the statement of another hon. member; that privilege rests with the person who made the statement, not with the hon. member for Edmonton North.

**Mr. Paproski:** Madam Speaker—

**Madam Speaker:** No, no. Order. It is over.

### ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

[English]

#### QUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk.)

**Mr. David Smith (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council):** Madam Speaker, the following questions will be answered today: Nos. 2,832, 2,959, 2,981 and 4,134.