

BUSINESS NOTION.

The "Business Notice" is published in Chatham, Miramichi, N. B., every Thursday morning in time for despatch by the evening mail.

Advertisements are placed under classified heads. Advertisements, other than queries, and the notices, are inserted at special rates per line, and are charged on a weekly basis for the first week, and on a daily basis for the second week.

Correspondents who wish to communicate directly with the editor of the Advance will, during the Legislative Session, address him at Fredericton.

Miramichi Advance.

CHATHAM, THURSDAY, APRIL 4, 1878.

Legislative Affairs.

Owing to our full report of the Supply debate taking up so much of our space we cannot keep up with current Legislative business at Fredericton. The chief interest there at the present time, however, is centred in the proposed extension of the Chatham Branch Railway. This is a matter of great importance to us, and we are inquiring into all matters connected with Crown Lands, and its researches have not, so far, resulted in anything exciting; Another is investigating a charge formulated by Mr. O'Leary, setting forth that Hon. Messrs. Young and Kelly indeed John O. Brown, in June, 1876, to release them from their contract for the Chatham Branch Railway, the consideration being that they were to use their influence, as members of the Government, to secure for him the contract for the Richibucto Railway. Another committee is just beginning to enquire into the expenditure of certain private large sums of money at and in the vicinity of Bathurst; this committee was moved for by Mr. McKinnon, and Mr. Burns said his place in the House, that it is aimed at him. The fourth committee was appointed on motion of Mr. Burns, to enquire into the affairs of the Chatham Branch Railway; this one has done nothing so far.

The meetings of the committee on the charge against Messrs. Young and Kelly are, we learn, very largely attended, and Mr. Brown was on the third day of his examination, yesterday. We believe that Mr. Morrison, Esq., to be the next witness. Some of the witnesses were very partisan character, in connection with the investigation are finding their way to the press and to private parties also; we are in a position to know exactly what is being done both in committee and, to a large extent, within the circle of its immediate surroundings, and that impressions which are not warranted by the facts are sent abroad, and are being taken up by the press. Until the investigations be complete these committees close we believe it would be very improper to publish anything that might bias public opinion either one way or the other, and both decency and fair play should suggest, especially to those controlling newspapers, the propriety of allowing the gentlemen accused to be heard before indulging in surmises which may turn out to have no tangible foundation.

The Eastern Question.

The ever-changing course of the Eastern Question still excites the attention of the world, now apparently drifting on the rocks of open hostilities, and then, by some sudden turn, gliding for a time into the calmer waters of diplomacy. During the past week the most abstract news has been telegraphed from St. Petersburg, and the British Government has called out the first class army reserves, an order which also applies to the militia, bringing out some 40,000 men for service. In consequence of this course Earl Derby resigned his place in the cabinet which has since been filled by the Marquis of Salisbury, as Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs. The present condition of matters seems of any of the heights of Russia acquiring Bessarabia, and the control of the mouth of the Danube, has even refused to listen to the Russian proposal of friendly neutrality, should she choose to seize the Western Provinces of Turkey. It is also stated that of German approval of the refusal of Prince Charles of Romania to surrender Bessarabia, without the consent of the Powers, however, the latter are gradually bringing matters into shape, and in spite of selfish individual interests and aspirations, it is not likely the Great Powers will allow Russia to have her own way, but will be compelled to united action, if not from inclination, at least from necessity. The latest despatches state that thus far the Porte has not made any of the heights near the mouth of the Danube, and a recent despatch from St. Petersburg says the circular sent to the powers by the Marquis of Salisbury, defining England's position, has caused increased irritation in Russian Government circles, and the Russian imperial staff are ordered to prepare for war with England. It is learned that the Russians offered large contributions to the Sultan for a Turkish alliance.

APPROPRIATE.—Extract from proceedings of Friday last of Dominion Parliament.

Mr. Mitchell protested saying that he wanted to discuss the new law. The Premier said, as next Monday was All Fool's Day, he would surrender it so that our case could be discussed.

A Case of Thirty Years Standing.

East Aton, N. Y. May 22, 1872. Messrs. Seth W. Fowley & Sons. Gentlemen—I was troubled with a severe cold for many years, and tried several medical remedies, but with no success. I was advised to try your Chamberlain's Cough Remedy, and after using a few bottles I feel myself entirely cured.

I saw an advertisement of your Chamberlain's Cough Remedy in a paper, and immediately sent and got a half dozen bottles, after taking which I feel myself a new man again. My weight, which was reduced to 120 pounds, is now up to my usual standard of 162 pounds, and I feel as well as ever, and am afflicted with lung disease.

Yours very truly, JOHN W. FOWLEY. Of the bar of New York. Robinson's Phosphoric Emulsion of Cod Liver Oil with Lecithine and Sugar, is prepared only by J. H. Robinson, Chemist, St. John, N. B., for sale by Druggists and General Dealers. Price 1/6 per bottle; 1/3 for 3 bottles; 1/2 for 6 bottles; 1 for 12 bottles; 1/2 for 24 bottles.

upon the table within the time required by law. The hon. member for Gloucester asserted that the financial condition of this country was most alarming. He thought he had, by the facts presented, shown that there was no justification for the remark. On the contrary the country had every reason to give credit to the Government having kept its financial condition such that there was no necessity for reducing any of the Public Services, though they were no longer \$83,000 Subsidy.

In Nova Scotia they were very much embarrassed in Quebec, they were not in as flourishing a condition as this Province, and he contended that there was hardly a Province in the whole Dominion of Canada that could do-day show as fully as this Province. He would refer to the statements of the Provincial Secretary as to the probable receipts of \$125,000, and would show how receipt of that sum was anticipated. In 1871, the receipts from the Lumber sales and the sale of land were \$1,000,000. In 1872 they were \$457,748. In 1873, \$411,000. In 1874, they were \$457,748. In 1875, they were \$52,000, or an increase of \$72,000, and in 1876 they were \$66,000, and in 1877, \$94,000.

He would now show how the Provincial Secretary was warranted in estimating the receipts from the Lumber sales at \$125,000. In the discharge of the duties of his office he required the Seales throughout the Province to report on the quantity of the various species of Lumber which they were producing for the current year for Northumberland, 59,438,000 superficial feet, for Gloucester, 2,500,000 superficial feet, for Kent, 24,567,000. For the County of York, going down the Miramichi, section, for 3 miles, about 1,000,000. From Grand Falls and Queens County—19,260,000, Carleton and Victoria, 10,000,000, Albert, 4,000,000. These quantities were the estimates of Lumber operations in logs; and would amount to 100,000,000, Albers, 4,000,000. These quantities were the estimates of Lumber operations in logs; and would amount to 100,000,000, Albers, 4,000,000. These quantities were the estimates of Lumber operations in logs; and would amount to 100,000,000, Albers, 4,000,000.

The hon. member for Gloucester had referred to this as a burdensome imposition upon the Province, and he contended that the House would agree with the hon. member, and he thought he had agreed with him. Then he mentioned the fact that the hon. member for Gloucester had referred to this as a burdensome imposition upon the Province, and he contended that the House would agree with the hon. member, and he thought he had agreed with him.

Mr. BROWN—Very mildly. Hon. SURVEYOR GENERAL—Did not want it. Hon. SURVEYOR GENERAL—This system was an unfair or unjust or an unusual one. The hon. member for St. John's Bay had said that the system was an unfair or unjust or an unusual one. The hon. member for St. John's Bay had said that the system was an unfair or unjust or an unusual one.

Mr. BROWN—Very mildly. Hon. SURVEYOR GENERAL—Did not want it. Hon. SURVEYOR GENERAL—This system was an unfair or unjust or an unusual one. The hon. member for St. John's Bay had said that the system was an unfair or unjust or an unusual one. The hon. member for St. John's Bay had said that the system was an unfair or unjust or an unusual one.

Mr. BROWN—Very mildly. Hon. SURVEYOR GENERAL—Did not want it. Hon. SURVEYOR GENERAL—This system was an unfair or unjust or an unusual one. The hon. member for St. John's Bay had said that the system was an unfair or unjust or an unusual one. The hon. member for St. John's Bay had said that the system was an unfair or unjust or an unusual one.

Mr. BROWN—Very mildly. Hon. SURVEYOR GENERAL—Did not want it. Hon. SURVEYOR GENERAL—This system was an unfair or unjust or an unusual one. The hon. member for St. John's Bay had said that the system was an unfair or unjust or an unusual one. The hon. member for St. John's Bay had said that the system was an unfair or unjust or an unusual one.

Mr. BROWN—Very mildly. Hon. SURVEYOR GENERAL—Did not want it. Hon. SURVEYOR GENERAL—This system was an unfair or unjust or an unusual one. The hon. member for St. John's Bay had said that the system was an unfair or unjust or an unusual one. The hon. member for St. John's Bay had said that the system was an unfair or unjust or an unusual one.

Mr. BROWN—Very mildly. Hon. SURVEYOR GENERAL—Did not want it. Hon. SURVEYOR GENERAL—This system was an unfair or unjust or an unusual one. The hon. member for St. John's Bay had said that the system was an unfair or unjust or an unusual one. The hon. member for St. John's Bay had said that the system was an unfair or unjust or an unusual one.

Mr. BROWN—Very mildly. Hon. SURVEYOR GENERAL—Did not want it. Hon. SURVEYOR GENERAL—This system was an unfair or unjust or an unusual one. The hon. member for St. John's Bay had said that the system was an unfair or unjust or an unusual one. The hon. member for St. John's Bay had said that the system was an unfair or unjust or an unusual one.

Mr. BROWN—Very mildly. Hon. SURVEYOR GENERAL—Did not want it. Hon. SURVEYOR GENERAL—This system was an unfair or unjust or an unusual one. The hon. member for St. John's Bay had said that the system was an unfair or unjust or an unusual one. The hon. member for St. John's Bay had said that the system was an unfair or unjust or an unusual one.

Mr. MARSHALL said the matter was one of a purely local character, and it was quite safe to leave it as provided by the Bill in the hands of the local authorities. Mr. PHILLIPS again addressed the House, emphasizing the points raised by him previously.

Mr. SMITH said Mr. Phillips evidently did not understand the Bill. Mr. PHILLIPS said he had no objection to Mr. Smith's remarks, but he would not discuss the matter further.

Mr. PHILLIPS said the Bill was one of a purely local character, and it was quite safe to leave it as provided by the Bill in the hands of the local authorities. Mr. PHILLIPS again addressed the House, emphasizing the points raised by him previously.

Mr. SMITH said Mr. Phillips evidently did not understand the Bill. Mr. PHILLIPS said he had no objection to Mr. Smith's remarks, but he would not discuss the matter further.

Mr. PHILLIPS said the Bill was one of a purely local character, and it was quite safe to leave it as provided by the Bill in the hands of the local authorities. Mr. PHILLIPS again addressed the House, emphasizing the points raised by him previously.

Mr. SMITH said Mr. Phillips evidently did not understand the Bill. Mr. PHILLIPS said he had no objection to Mr. Smith's remarks, but he would not discuss the matter further.

Mr. PHILLIPS said the Bill was one of a purely local character, and it was quite safe to leave it as provided by the Bill in the hands of the local authorities. Mr. PHILLIPS again addressed the House, emphasizing the points raised by him previously.

Mr. SMITH said Mr. Phillips evidently did not understand the Bill. Mr. PHILLIPS said he had no objection to Mr. Smith's remarks, but he would not discuss the matter further.

Mr. PHILLIPS said the Bill was one of a purely local character, and it was quite safe to leave it as provided by the Bill in the hands of the local authorities. Mr. PHILLIPS again addressed the House, emphasizing the points raised by him previously.

Mr. SMITH said Mr. Phillips evidently did not understand the Bill. Mr. PHILLIPS said he had no objection to Mr. Smith's remarks, but he would not discuss the matter further.

Mr. PHILLIPS said the Bill was one of a purely local character, and it was quite safe to leave it as provided by the Bill in the hands of the local authorities. Mr. PHILLIPS again addressed the House, emphasizing the points raised by him previously.

Mr. SMITH said Mr. Phillips evidently did not understand the Bill. Mr. PHILLIPS said he had no objection to Mr. Smith's remarks, but he would not discuss the matter further.

Mr. MARSHALL said the matter was one of a purely local character, and it was quite safe to leave it as provided by the Bill in the hands of the local authorities. Mr. PHILLIPS again addressed the House, emphasizing the points raised by him previously.

Mr. SMITH said Mr. Phillips evidently did not understand the Bill. Mr. PHILLIPS said he had no objection to Mr. Smith's remarks, but he would not discuss the matter further.

Mr. PHILLIPS said the Bill was one of a purely local character, and it was quite safe to leave it as provided by the Bill in the hands of the local authorities. Mr. PHILLIPS again addressed the House, emphasizing the points raised by him previously.

Mr. SMITH said Mr. Phillips evidently did not understand the Bill. Mr. PHILLIPS said he had no objection to Mr. Smith's remarks, but he would not discuss the matter further.

Mr. PHILLIPS said the Bill was one of a purely local character, and it was quite safe to leave it as provided by the Bill in the hands of the local authorities. Mr. PHILLIPS again addressed the House, emphasizing the points raised by him previously.

Mr. SMITH said Mr. Phillips evidently did not understand the Bill. Mr. PHILLIPS said he had no objection to Mr. Smith's remarks, but he would not discuss the matter further.

Mr. PHILLIPS said the Bill was one of a purely local character, and it was quite safe to leave it as provided by the Bill in the hands of the local authorities. Mr. PHILLIPS again addressed the House, emphasizing the points raised by him previously.

Mr. SMITH said Mr. Phillips evidently did not understand the Bill. Mr. PHILLIPS said he had no objection to Mr. Smith's remarks, but he would not discuss the matter further.

Mr. PHILLIPS said the Bill was one of a purely local character, and it was quite safe to leave it as provided by the Bill in the hands of the local authorities. Mr. PHILLIPS again addressed the House, emphasizing the points raised by him previously.

Mr. SMITH said Mr. Phillips evidently did not understand the Bill. Mr. PHILLIPS said he had no objection to Mr. Smith's remarks, but he would not discuss the matter further.

Mr. PHILLIPS said the Bill was one of a purely local character, and it was quite safe to leave it as provided by the Bill in the hands of the local authorities. Mr. PHILLIPS again addressed the House, emphasizing the points raised by him previously.

Mr. SMITH said Mr. Phillips evidently did not understand the Bill. Mr. PHILLIPS said he had no objection to Mr. Smith's remarks, but he would not discuss the matter further.

Mr. MARSHALL said the matter was one of a purely local character, and it was quite safe to leave it as provided by the Bill in the hands of the local authorities. Mr. PHILLIPS again addressed the House, emphasizing the points raised by him previously.

Mr. SMITH said Mr. Phillips evidently did not understand the Bill. Mr. PHILLIPS said he had no objection to Mr. Smith's remarks, but he would not discuss the matter further.

Mr. PHILLIPS said the Bill was one of a purely local character, and it was quite safe to leave it as provided by the Bill in the hands of the local authorities. Mr. PHILLIPS again addressed the House, emphasizing the points raised by him previously.

Mr. SMITH said Mr. Phillips evidently did not understand the Bill. Mr. PHILLIPS said he had no objection to Mr. Smith's remarks, but he would not discuss the matter further.

Mr. PHILLIPS said the Bill was one of a purely local character, and it was quite safe to leave it as provided by the Bill in the hands of the local authorities. Mr. PHILLIPS again addressed the House, emphasizing the points raised by him previously.

Mr. SMITH said Mr. Phillips evidently did not understand the Bill. Mr. PHILLIPS said he had no objection to Mr. Smith's remarks, but he would not discuss the matter further.

Mr. PHILLIPS said the Bill was one of a purely local character, and it was quite safe to leave it as provided by the Bill in the hands of the local authorities. Mr. PHILLIPS again addressed the House, emphasizing the points raised by him previously.

Mr. SMITH said Mr. Phillips evidently did not understand the Bill. Mr. PHILLIPS said he had no objection to Mr. Smith's remarks, but he would not discuss the matter further.

Mr. PHILLIPS said the Bill was one of a purely local character, and it was quite safe to leave it as provided by the Bill in the hands of the local authorities. Mr. PHILLIPS again addressed the House, emphasizing the points raised by him previously.

Mr. SMITH said Mr. Phillips evidently did not understand the Bill. Mr. PHILLIPS said he had no objection to Mr. Smith's remarks, but he would not discuss the matter further.

Mr. PHILLIPS said the Bill was one of a purely local character, and it was quite safe to leave it as provided by the Bill in the hands of the local authorities. Mr. PHILLIPS again addressed the House, emphasizing the points raised by him previously.

Mr. SMITH said Mr. Phillips evidently did not understand the Bill. Mr. PHILLIPS said he had no objection to Mr. Smith's remarks, but he would not discuss the matter further.