

such a table of constant characters it becomes possible however, to fill in the gaps of our knowledge concerning intestinal bacteria, and adopting standard reactions in our descriptions, by means of a comparison of results in different laboratories, to arrive at a more and more complete knowledge of the colon and its allies the paracolibacillary organisms.

I wish to express my thanks to Dr. Adami, under whose supervision the work reported in this paper has been carried out, and to Dr. Harris of Baltimore, who has furnished me with a number of cultures from the Johns Hopkins Pathological Laboratory.

BIBLIOGRAPHY.

- Archard et Renaud—Comptes Rendus de la Soc. de Biol., 1892, p. 983.
 Booker—Trans. of the International Medical Congress, 9th Session, Vol. III., 1897, Trans. of the American Paediatric Society, 1889.
 Cushing—Johns Hopkins Hospital Bulletin, July and August, 1900.
 Durham—The Lancet, Vol. I, p. 154, January 15th, 1898.
 Emmerich—Die Cholera in Neapel, Deutsch. Med. Wochenschr., 1884, No. 50.
 Escherich—Die Darmbakteria des Sauglings, Stuttgart, 1886, and Fortschritte der Medicin, 1885, No. 16.
 Gilbert—Semaine Médical, 1895, pp. 13-16.
 Gordon—Journal of Pathology, Vol. IV., 1897, pp. 438-451.
 Gwynn—Johns Hopkins Hospital Bulletin, March, 1898.
 McCrae—Montreal Medical Journal, June, 1900.
 Peckham—Journal of Experimental Medicine, Sept. 1897, Vol. II., No. 5.
 Smith—American Journal of the Medical Sciences, September, 1898, and Journal of Experimental Medicine, September, 1897.
 Weisser—Zeitschr. für Hygiene, Bd. I., 1886, p. 315.
 Widal and Nobecourt—Semaine Médical, August, 1897, p. 210.