

Private Members' Business

While I speak in favour of the sentiment of what the hon. member for Ontario is proposing to us, we cannot just look at it in isolation. I do not think he suggested that. I did not hear him say that in his remarks. He said that we would have to look at the total compensation to make sure that we are being fairly compensated.

• (1850)

The very reason we have to say that is the fact that we do not want just people that can afford to be sent to Ottawa to run for office.

We want to make sure that we have the proper cross-section of our country, one that stretches from coast to coast from various vocations so that our country is represented in a way that reflects the mix of our country.

While I express sentiment to what has been done by the hon. member, I concur with what the member from

New Brunswick has said to us tonight, that we would really need to do a little more studying on this rather than treating this just by itself.

[*Translation*]

The Acting Speaker (Mr. DeBlois): Since no more members wish to speak, the time provided for the consideration of Private Members' Business has now expired.

[*English*]

Pursuant to Standing Order 96(1), the Order is dropped from the Order Paper.

It being 6.52 p.m., this House stands adjourned until tomorrow at 10 o'clock a.m., pursuant to Standing Order 24(1).

The House adjourned at 6.52 p.m.
