

from Canada and, if so, on what date, where, by whom and to whom were such documents issued?

Hon. Mitchell Sharp (President of Privy Council): 1. The government is aware of the movements of certain FLQ members who left Cuba in recent months. (a) The known details are that Mr. Langlois, Mr. and Mrs. Lanctôt and Mr. Carbonneau arrived in Paris from Prague on June 20; Mr. and Mrs. Cossette-Trudel arrived in Paris from Prague on July 31. (b) All are reported to be living in the Paris area.

2. Yes. The Department of External Affairs held discussions with the competent French authorities. It is not considered in the public interest for reasons of national security to disclose the details of these discussions. The R.C.M.P. liaison officer in Paris contacted the French authorities and was advised of their location.

3. Yes. It is not considered to be in the public interest for reasons of national security to disclose the details of discussions with other governments on this matter.

4. None of the exiles who have been charged with crimes arising from the 1970 kidnapping of Mr. Cross have been issued with Canadian travel documents or passports.

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS—PERSONNEL IN RECEIPT OF REMUNERATION IN EXCESS OF \$20,000

Question No. 528—Mr. Herbert:

In the last year for which the information is available, how many public servants in the Department of Veterans Affairs who received a gross remuneration in excess of \$20,000 also received a veteran's pension in excess of (a) \$5,000 per year (b) \$10,000 per year?

Hon. Daniel J. MacDonald (Minister of Veterans Affairs): As of November, 1974: (a) Three; (b) Nil.

PUBLICITY COSTS FOR DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

Question No. 569—Mr. Symes:

1. What were the amounts of money spent by the Department of Public Works on publicity and/or information in each of the fiscal years 1972-73 and 1973-74?

2. What were the names and addresses of firms and individuals who received these contracts, what amounts of money were spent in each case and what was the purpose of each contract?

3. In the case of expenditures for publicity and/or information made within the Department by its publicity or information division, what was the amount in each case and the purpose of the expenditure?

Hon. C. M. Drury (Minister of Public Works): 1. 1972/73, \$251,000.00; 1973/74, \$348,000.00. These figures comprise everything spent by Public Relations and Information Services, including salaries.

2. Graphic Communications Inc. of Montreal were awarded a contract for marketing of the commercial space for Phase 1 of Place du Portage. 1972/73, \$32,137.94; 1973/74, \$74,545.00.

NOTE: These amounts are not part of the publicity and information expenditures of the department, but are promotional charges in connection with the shopping centre on five lower levels of this building.

3. An amount of \$6,337, included in the answer to Part 1, was spent on the production of French and English bro-

Order Paper Questions

chures which were given to each employee. These brochures presented the reorganization of the department, its goals and aims and a description of the work of each branch following in the reorganization. This brochure has also been used as the major mailing piece in answering enquiries about the department. The brochure was printed in 1972 by Department of Supply and Services' printers.

CNR AND AIR CANADA—DAMAGE COSTS

Question No. 638—Mr. Clark (Rocky Mountain):

In the past fiscal year what was the total amount paid by (a) CNR (b) Air Canada as damages for (i) lost (ii) damaged freight?

Hon. Jean Marchand (Minister of Transport): The management of Canadian National Railways advises as follows: 1. (i) \$1,360,063; (ii) \$8,096,187. In relation to freight revenue this is 1.05 per cent, which is low in comparison to other Class 1 carriers.

The management of Air Canada advises as follows: 1. (i) In the past fiscal year, the total amount paid in claims for lost freight was \$233,720, or 0.41 per cent of total revenue. (ii) In the past fiscal year, the total amount paid in claims for damaged freight was \$303,490, or 0.53 per cent of total revenue.

TRANSPORT CONTRACTS AWARDED BY DEPARTMENT OF SUPPLY AND SERVICES

Question No. 660—Mr. Grafftey:

1. What transport contracts have been awarded by the Department of Supply and Services to Lapointe Transport Ltd., 105 Industriel Blvd., Longueuil, Quebec, since January 1, 1972?

2. What was the amount of the contract in each case?

3. Were public tenders called in each case and, if not, for what reason?

Hon. Jean-Pierre Goyer (Minister of Supply and Services): 1 and 2. The following contracts were placed by the Department of Supply and Services, for amounts shown and on behalf of departments indicated. Fiscal year 1972-73: 58 transactions—\$32,032. Records for this year do not allow for a breakout of individual moves. Fiscal year 1973-74: \$437.39, U.I.C.; \$990.00, MOT; \$36.30, Storage charges MOT; \$30.30, Storage charges MOT; \$204.75, MOT; \$284.76, Agriculture; \$513.57, U.I.C.; \$907.92, Post Office; \$515.61, U.I.C.; \$339.30, MOT; \$524.34, U.I.C.; \$598.58, NHW; (\$517.60, \$1,169.14, \$480.76, \$437.61, \$505.58, \$605.07, \$494.13), Canada Manpower Mobility Program. April 1, 1974 to Sept. 30, 1974: \$512.00, Manpower and Immigration; \$404.83, Agriculture; \$716.70, Manpower and Immigration; \$559.69, National Revenue (Customs); \$317.70, U.I.C.; \$339.88, U.I.C.; \$982.62, DRB; \$1,007.84, Canada Manpower Mobility Program.

3. Public tenders were not called. The government maintains a list of approved movers by region. All movers in a region utilize the same tariff, i.e. identical rates. An equal opportunity for business is afforded each company through an equalization register sourcing system.