## The Address-Mr. J.-R. Roy

Mr. Winch: On a point of privilege, Mr. Speaker; the hon. member has just brought into question the motivation behind not only the speeches but also the votes of members of this House. A vote was taken yesterday. The members of this group did not all vote as one but whether, Sir, as members of the N.D.P. or as members of this House of Commons, we voted one way or the other. We voted according to principle, conviction and conscience. And therefore, Mr. Speaker, when the hon, member says that because certain members of the House of Commons voted in a certain way they have aligned themselves with the murderers in Quebec, I say that that is a shameful statement. That is not permissible in this House of Commons. It should not only be withdrawn immediately but the New Democratic Party and all the members of this House of Commons have the right to insist on an apology as well as a retraction.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel): Order. The Chair is in agreement with the main point made by the hon. member, if not with all of his remarks. I take this opportunity to read Standing Order 35, the latter part of which reads as follows:

No member may reflect upon any vote of the House, except for the purpose of moving that such vote be rescinded.

At this time, I think I should remind the hon. member for Timmins (Mr. Roy) that he may comment on the situation and the events that have occurred, but he may not be permitted to reflect on the vote taken by this House or any position taken by members of the House. I think if he sticks to that he will abide by the rules of the House. As far as the other point made by the hon. member for Vancouver East (Mr. Winch) is concerned about the withdrawal of the remarks, I am sure that the hon. member for Timmins in his further remarks might add some comments that might satisfy the hon. member for Vancouver East.

Mr. Winch: I am sorry, Sir; I am very sorry, but I think you will understand that I must get to my feet again. I am not seeking clarification by any further statements. I believe I have the right, and I must insist, that the hon. member now withdraw the remarks which he made, and the insinuations therein contained.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel): I see the exact point the hon. member for Vancouver East is making and I think I will agree with him. I will ask the hon. member for Timmins to withdraw that part of his remarks.

Mr. Roy (Timmins): Mr. Speaker, I would be pleased to withdraw any part of my remarks that is contrary to the rules of the House, whichever part that may be.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear.

Mr. Roy (Timmins): If this satisfies the hon. gentleman I shall try to continue.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel): The hon, member for Timmins.

[Mr. Roy (Timmins).]

Mr. Roy (Timmins): As I was saying, Mr. Speaker, is it any wonder that this party has never been able to obtain support from the electorate of Quebec? They have never understood the people of Quebec. They have never been concerned about them. They would now abandon them and throw them to the extreme socialists; the anarchists, the Maoists and the FLQ. No doubt chaos and disorder in Quebec would help the socialist cause. They thrive on that sort of adversity, but what a price for Canada to pay for the sake of cheap politics.

In addition, they hypocritically hide this gruesome gesture under the cloak of defence of individual liberties. This attitude is taken by people who have on so many occasions denied to others the rights and liberties which they claim for themselves, who on so many occasions would impose on others the morals that are theirs, who on so many occasions have taken unto themselves the decision of what is just and what is not, confusing justice with their own diabolical designs. Witness the civil disobedience of our laws and governments so often proposed by members of that party. Witness their disregard for our laws in so many labour confrontations and strikes. Witness their callousness and lack of human respect for workers who are not of their unions. Witness their fixation for criticism of non-socialist countries while approving by their silence all socialist dictatorships. Indeed, along with such misguided people as Levesque, Chartrand, Lemieux, Laberge, Pepin, and their leftist socialist friends, their leaders and members are the ones who sow the seed that gives birth and strength to the anarchists, the terrorists, the Maoists and the murderers. They are the ones who fan the flames of dissension and confrontation, who constantly twist the truth, who give tacit support to all sorts of extremes by their silence, who condone violence as a sometimes necessary activity in labour disputes-

## • (12:40 p.m.)

Mr. Winch: On a question of privilege, Mr. Speaker. I am certain you have noted the remarks of the hon. member for Timmins. You have noted his references to the party which I have had the honour to represent in this House for the past 17 years and for 24 years before that in the British Columbia legislature.

An hon. Member: About time you left.

Mr. Winch: Shut up! He implied that because of our policies, our principles and our statements we were responsible for certain things that have taken place in this country.

Mr. Francis: On a point of order-

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel): Order. There is already a point of order before the Chair. If the hon. member for Ottawa West wishes to speak afterwards, he may do so.

Mr. Winch: I say that the allegations which have just been made by the hon. member are not such as can be