Farm Machinery

I am a practising farmer and I realize the important part that money plays in the agricultural world today.

That is an astounding statement in itself and undoubtedly will be subjected to great analysis by historians in years to come. However, in reply to those remarks I should like to say that since that speech he has spoken at least once more and has shown little or no indication that he has studied this bill, although that should not bother us too much. I would suggest to him as his own little centennial project that between now and 1967 he study this legislation, and perhaps when it comes up for review at that time he might be a little more enlightening than he was this afternoon or during his earlier interjections in this debate.

Mr. Eric A. Winkler (Grey-Bruce): Mr. Speaker, I do not wish to take up the time of the house, but when I hear such high and mighty contributions as the last legalistic peroration I think it has gone far enough. I wish to reiterate that we on this side of the house make it very clear that our intentions are to have this piece of legislation placed before the standing committee on agriculture and colonization, and at this time we will terminate this debate in the hope that the government will take the necessary action to see that this piece of legislation is placed before that committee.

Mr. Hays: Mr. Speaker, I suppose I have an opportunity to close the debate?

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The minister has already spoken on the amendment, and I have now to put the question on the amendment. Is the house ready for the question?

Some hon. Members: Question.

Mr. Horner (Acadia): Mr. Speaker, perhaps the minister would like to make a statement on referring the bill to a committee.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The minister has already taken part in the debate on the amendment and therefore cannot speak a second time.

Mr. Horner (Acadia): But his parliamentary secretary could.

Mr. Nielsen: Mr. Speaker, on a point of order, before Your Honour puts the question may I say that perhaps the minister was trying to rise to assure the members of the house that this matter would be going before a committee. If that is the fact there would be no need for a vote.

[Mr. Cashin.]

Mr. Hays: No.

Mr. Danforth: The minister says no.

The house divided on the amendment (Mr. Nugent) which was negatived on the following division:

## YEAS Messrs:

Aiken MacInnis MacLean (Queens) Balcer Macquarrie Bigg MacRae Cantelon McBain Cardiff McCutcheon Chatterton McIntosh Churchill Martineau Coates Millar Cooper Monteith Danforth Moore Diefenbaker Muir (Cape Breton Dinsdale North and Victoria) Fairweather Muir (Lisgar) Fane Nasserden Fleming (Okanagan-Nesbitt Revelstoke) Nielsen Flemming (Victoria-Nugent Carleton) Pascoe Girouard Paul Gundlock Pugh Hales Rapp Hamilton Rhéaume Horner (Acadia) Ricard Horner (Jasper-Edson) Simpson Howe (Wellington-Southam Huron) Stenson Irvine Vincent

Jones, Mrs.

Jorgenson

Kennedy

Lambert

MacEwan

## NAYS

Wadds, Mrs.

Winkler-61.

Webb

Watson (Assiniboia)

## Messrs:

Chrétien Addison Côté (Chicoutimi) Armstrong Asselin (Notre Dame Côté (Longueuil) de Grâce) Crossman Cyr Badanai Basford Deachman Deschatelets Batten Dionne Reaulé Béchard Drouin Beer Drury Benidickson Dubé Dupuis Berger Ethier Blouin Endes Boulanger Favreau Boutin Fisher Brewin Forgie Byrne Foy Cadieux (Terrebonne) Gauthier Cameron (Nanaimo-Cowichan-The Islands) Gelber Gendron Cantin Gordon Caron Granger Carter Cashin Gray Greene Choquette