mericans, have forgotten that a man named Rensselaer was one of vour leaders in that war. In this twentieth century, even the cossibility of conflict between your country and ours has receded from the minds of both our peoples. That has not always been so. The scattered British colonies which were united to form the ranadian nation in 1867 were brought together, in large part, to strengthen their defences against possible aggression from the raited States. That attitude was a quite natural outcome of our earlier history. In the background was the memory of two enturies of frequent wars and continuous threats of war.

I have already suggested that the establishment of a satisfactory relationship between great nations and their lessowerful neighbours is one of the most acute problems of our imes. It is a commonplace to say that, in this respect, the tititude of the United States towards Canada has set an example to the world. Certainly Canada has not fallen under your comination and equally certainly you have not threatened our eparate existence as a nation. Although your country is more owerful than it has ever been, the Canadian nation today is ore securely independent and self-reliant than we have ever teen. But it would, I believe, be a mistake to think that the cood relations between the United States and Canada are the nevitable result of circumstances; or that they do not need to be cherished, if they are to persist.

Great powers, like other nations, are concerned about heir own external security. You, in the United States, naturally ant to be assured that your security, and your interests as a corld power, will not be prejudiced by the policies or actions of Canada. Your border marches with ours for 5,526.6 miles. I give you the figure which is given in the Canada Year Book. The penness of this border is a source of great convenience, but it light also be a source of great worry and danger. At least two of the historic approaches to the North American continent, sudson Bay and the Gulf and River St. Lawrence, are approaches through Canada.

Those who lived in Troy and along your Hudson valley wring the first two centuries after the original settlement new all too well what it meant, in terms of insecurity and langer, to have the St. Lawrence and Lake Champlain in unfriendly ands. I am sure you who live in Troy today are glad to take it or granted that the lower St. Lawrence is going to remain in riendly hands.

The present understanding between Canada and the United States for the maintenance of our mutual security is based upon an exchange of pledges made in 1938 by the late President consevelt and by my predecessor, Mr. Mackenzie King. The Cadensburg Agreement of 1940 for the Permanent Joint Board on Defence, the Hyde Park Declaration of 1941, and the agreed statements on defence by your President and our Prime Minister of Peruary 12, 1947, were based upon this common recognition of Intual responsibility for the defence of the whole continent. The agreed statement by President Truman and Mr. Mackenzie King Colared that "in the interests of efficiency and economy, each evernment has decided that its national defence establishment stall, to the extent authorized by law, continue to collaborate for peacetime joint security purposes." One of the principles of Illaboration laid down in this statement of common policy is the neouragement of common designs and standards in arms, equipment, Iganization, methods of training and new developments."