

of the court. We have reason to believe, however, that such instances of judicial tyranny do not occur at the present day.  
—*Solicitors' Journal*.

---

#### THE LEGAL MIND.

The average man, writes William W. Brewton in the *March Case and Comment*, does not possess the legal mind; and hence the average man is not competent to adjudge cases at law. Because he possesses a fair sense of justice and right by no means establishes his competency to adjudge law. The gist of the competency requisite for the judge is the ability to admeasure the morality of laws. The admeasurement is properly performed, in a particular case, when the judge has interpreted that case in law; that is to say, when he has declared the true status of that case before the laws applicable to it, when he has adduced from those laws the morality justicially applicable to that case—and which, in all probability, is inapplicable to any other case. Not only is legal skill and knowledge necessary for such expert adjudication but the possession of *the legal mind*, the peculiar mental cast which we properly suppose to be an idiosyncrasy and not an acquirement, is necessary, if adjudication is to reach its highest possible validity and merit—

---

#### CARRIER—SLEEPING CAR.

A sleeping car company is held liable in damages to a passenger who is assaulted and robbed when attempting to board the car, if the conductor is present and fails to afford assistance, in *Garrett v. Southern R. Co.*, L.R.A.1917F 885.