to has issued a
of "Thanksto sufficity,
tment and the
of your next

" submit to," osido, -religihis country is g conflict and Cannot, howfore Almighty ayors, praises, recognize any and claims to nor bears the is not his pro-. as a woman's ind; and as a vful Sovercien. v und devoutly would avoid omise of God's ng and a mere ir own views. inaco it would st and festivals e necessity of ent concession n. I must do in most cuses everence and lt of personal shut, prayers n God's merhus all that I not liave any hanksgiving". rms and fears honest and a sure of God. al errors-for ns a Minister disorders are otom only, not

at there is in

try in trouble.

he Holy One

of Israel to anger;" and he now pauses to vey " Why should ye be stricken any more?"—" Wash you, make you clean, put away the evil of your doing from before mine eyes, cease to do evil, learn to do well." Before; then, we rejoice and have thanksgiving, we should ascertain whether allowed ignorance, or " a histo to be rich," or any other vices are the cause of the disorganization, that has arisen.

1 am.

Mr. Editor.

Yours respectfully,

J. ROAF.

Bishop's Buildings, 1st Fob., 1838.

TO THE EDITORS OF THE "PATRIOT," "CHRISTIAN GUARDIAN," AND "COMMERCIAL HERALD."

GENTLEMEN:

In your strictures upon my letter in the "Palladium," you appear, in several instances; to have mistaken my menning, and in others to have advanced principles in which I cannot silently aquiesce. I therefore be gleave to submit to you a few explanatory remarks—sincerely regretting to associate your very dissimilar articles in one reply, which, however, is rendered inevitable by your fulse for the management of your several papers. The article in the "Patriot" exhibits an anxiety for truth and a Christian courtesy—that in the "Commercial Herald" is apparently the very best the writer could produce—that in the "Christian Guardian" is evidently insincere and spiteful.

Before I proceed to the principal subject in dispute, I must put myself right with respect to His Excellency—for it is insinuated that my letter was an expression of disrespect to the Executive Government. Now I am ready to disavow every such feeling-nor can I see any thing in my expressions to justify the imputation. I honor Sir F. B. Head as the representative of my Sovereign, and if I knew of any thing that I had said or done either contemptatus or hostile to him, I would ut once offer the most ample upology. The religious body to which I belong has been distinguished by a patient and uniform fidelity to the present royal family in Britain; and an equal devotedness to popular liberty and education. My intention in my letter was to explain, that in refusing to observe February 6th as a day of thanksgiving, I withheld from His Excellency only a spiritual allegiance—an allegiance which I maintain was claimed by the Proclamation, and which no min owes to another. So lung as I reside in the Province, its Governors will have my full submission in sit matters within their jurisdiction; and if I do not mistake the character of Sir F. B. Hend, he will give confidence to some who do not claim all the loyalty of the Province, or attempt to insinuate away the innocence of their neighbors.

With regard to my objection to observe February 6th as the day of thanksgiving, I wish to be understood before I offer a further justification. I have before admitted the duty of thanksgiving; I am ready to acknowledge, too.