We demand:

1) We demand an immediate and thorough investigation of the financial dealings of the Board of Governors. We think that the appropriate agency for this investigation is the federal Department of Justice, although, being reasonable people, we will settle for the Department of Consumer and Corporate Affairs.

2) We demand that criminal charges be laid against all members of the Board of Governors that the investigation finds to have taken part in illegal activities (e.g., conspiracies in restraint of trade, corruption in a public office, misuse of public monies, etc.)

3) We demand the immediate firing of all Governors whom the investigation finds to have used their offices as Governors of Dalhousie improperly.

On the face of the evidence already presented here, we demand the immediate resignations of Frank M. Covert, J. C. MacKeen, R. G. Smith, R. B. Cameron, Victor de B. Oland, Roy A. Jodrey and Donald McInnes from the Board of Governors.

4) We demand that the Nova Scotia Human Rights Commission launch an immediate investigation into the facts that:

a) There are no blacks on the Board. There have never been any blacks on the Board of Governors, even though Nova Scotia has the largest black population in Nova Scotia, and Dalhousie is supposed to cater to community needs.

b) Apart from three members of the Alumnae Association, there are only two women on the Board. Only one is a Governor-in-Council appointee; the other is Dalhousie's chancellor, a position which is little but a ceremonial remnant of a feudal educational system.

We charge that the Board of Governors of Dalhousie University is racist and chauvinist both in regards to blacks and in regards to women. We charge the Board of Governors because in effect and by statute, they continually appoint and reappoint themselves to the Board.

We suggest that when a new Board is chosen, that it be democratically elected rather than appointed, that its meetings be open rather than in camera, and that it serve the people of this province rather than the tiny minority of businessmen and financiers who currently control Nova Scotia. We suggest that this could be implemented by setting down the following guidelines on how the Board should be structured.

a) at least 50% of the Board should be women. This is a reasonable demand, as over 50% of the inhabitants of Nova Scotia are women.

b) 40% of the Board be students. 40% of the Board be community residents. 20% of the Board be university employees:

These are the people who are struggling to get an education here, or who are paying the taxes to support a college their children cannot attend, or who have to work for this university. This is an eminently reasonable suggestion. Why should these people be denied control over their lives.

c) we suggest that there be no ceiling on the number of people who comprise the Board of Governors.

5) Finally, we demand that all university investments and accounts be made public information. What reason has the university not to comply with this demand? Dalhousie is a public institution paid for by the tax monies of the working people of this province. They have a right to know what this college is doing with their money. The students have a right to know how much profit Dalhousie makes every year by selling them books at inflated prices, a profit that is juggled back into the university books until it is impossible to determine.

To specifically state these demands, we insist that:

a) Dalhousie reveal what stocks and bonds it owns or which are being managed for it.

b) Dalhousie reveal the exact extent of its land ownership.

c) Dalhousie disclose all buildings and houses that it owns, indicating which are being used for housing (student or otherwise), and make public the rent charged for each and every dwelling.

d) Dalhousie open all its financial dealings and the university books to public scrutiny by anyone, anywhere, anytime.

This supplement researched and written by dal ndy