

RENT?

sources to become the owners Directors of the Canada Per- prepared to make advances payable by small instalments

In 5 Years	In 8 Years	In 10 Years	In 12 Years	In 15 Years
10.00	13.00	15.00	18.00	20.00
12.00	15.00	18.00	22.00	25.00
15.00	18.00	22.00	28.00	32.00

the city and suburbs for sale

er, or personally, at the Office

ector, 14 Toronto-St., Toronto

RD, Yonge St.

it 30 on nt- rnt- of- of-

50 Up. oycle Boots \$2.50 Up.

RD, Yonge St.

PASSENGER TRAFFIC

WHITE STAR LINE

Mail Steamers, New York to Liverpool, calling at Queenstown, etc.

VER LINE TO LIVERPOOL

Winnipeg... AUG. 29, daylight Huron... Sept. 1, daylight Superior... Sept. 3, daylight

General Manager, Montreal.

M. Melville,

General Steamship and Tourist Ticket

tor Rates Now in Force

erpool, London, Lond- nderry and Glasgow

Beaver, Anchor or Allant-

IAL TOURS TO EUROPE AND SOUTHERN STATES.

. Sharp, 65 Yonge St

ESTERN EXCURSIONS

PT. 30, OCT. 1, 2,

FROM TORONTO TO HUDON or OT, Mich.

ARIO JOCKEY CLUB FALL RACES, TORONTO

AN ADRIAN PACIFIC RY.

ESTERN EXCURSIONS

FROM TORONTO TO FROM, Mich.

PORTANT NOTICE

BE DISCONTINUED.

CAN THEY AVOID FIGHTING?

London Spectator on the Spanish-U. S. Situation.

THE ABANDONMENT OF CUBA

Would Simply Mean the Handing Over of Spain to the Carlists.

It is not to be expected that the United States government will be able to prevent the Cuban gangrene from spreading to the rest of the Spanish monarchy.

Spain's Iniquities. After detailing Spain's iniquities in Cuba, the Spectator says: "To prolong the war would be a crime. The Spectator says America's duty is to stop the long agony of Cuba, and if her constitution does not permit her to do so, she should amend her constitution so that she can hold her new acquisitions on a different footing, the better for her and for humanity."

Settlement by Purchase. Cubans are willing to buy the island for one hundred and fifty millions.

Gen. Woodford at Madrid. Madrid, Sept. 26.—The arrival of United States Minister Woodford from San Sebastian has made considerable news in the program of the United States has been ascertained.

Unusual measures were taken to protect Minister Woodford on his journey from San Sebastian to Madrid.

It has been decided by the management of the fall races to begin the first race at 2.45 instead of 2.30 as previously announced.

Ayer's Cathartic Pills were designed to supply a model purgative to people who had long injured themselves with gripping medicines.

It has been decided by the management of the fall races to begin the first race at 2.45 instead of 2.30 as previously announced.

Ayer's Cathartic Pills were designed to supply a model purgative to people who had long injured themselves with gripping medicines.

It has been decided by the management of the fall races to begin the first race at 2.45 instead of 2.30 as previously announced.

Ayer's Cathartic Pills were designed to supply a model purgative to people who had long injured themselves with gripping medicines.

It has been decided by the management of the fall races to begin the first race at 2.45 instead of 2.30 as previously announced.

Ayer's Cathartic Pills were designed to supply a model purgative to people who had long injured themselves with gripping medicines.

It has been decided by the management of the fall races to begin the first race at 2.45 instead of 2.30 as previously announced.

Ayer's Cathartic Pills were designed to supply a model purgative to people who had long injured themselves with gripping medicines.

It has been decided by the management of the fall races to begin the first race at 2.45 instead of 2.30 as previously announced.

Ayer's Cathartic Pills were designed to supply a model purgative to people who had long injured themselves with gripping medicines.

It has been decided by the management of the fall races to begin the first race at 2.45 instead of 2.30 as previously announced.

Ayer's Cathartic Pills were designed to supply a model purgative to people who had long injured themselves with gripping medicines.

It has been decided by the management of the fall races to begin the first race at 2.45 instead of 2.30 as previously announced.

Ayer's Cathartic Pills were designed to supply a model purgative to people who had long injured themselves with gripping medicines.

It has been decided by the management of the fall races to begin the first race at 2.45 instead of 2.30 as previously announced.

Ayer's Cathartic Pills were designed to supply a model purgative to people who had long injured themselves with gripping medicines.

It has been decided by the management of the fall races to begin the first race at 2.45 instead of 2.30 as previously announced.

Ayer's Cathartic Pills were designed to supply a model purgative to people who had long injured themselves with gripping medicines.

It has been decided by the management of the fall races to begin the first race at 2.45 instead of 2.30 as previously announced.

Ayer's Cathartic Pills were designed to supply a model purgative to people who had long injured themselves with gripping medicines.

It has been decided by the management of the fall races to begin the first race at 2.45 instead of 2.30 as previously announced.

Ayer's Cathartic Pills were designed to supply a model purgative to people who had long injured themselves with gripping medicines.

It has been decided by the management of the fall races to begin the first race at 2.45 instead of 2.30 as previously announced.

Ayer's Cathartic Pills were designed to supply a model purgative to people who had long injured themselves with gripping medicines.

It has been decided by the management of the fall races to begin the first race at 2.45 instead of 2.30 as previously announced.

Ayer's Cathartic Pills were designed to supply a model purgative to people who had long injured themselves with gripping medicines.

It has been decided by the management of the fall races to begin the first race at 2.45 instead of 2.30 as previously announced.

Ayer's Cathartic Pills were designed to supply a model purgative to people who had long injured themselves with gripping medicines.

It has been decided by the management of the fall races to begin the first race at 2.45 instead of 2.30 as previously announced.

Ayer's Cathartic Pills were designed to supply a model purgative to people who had long injured themselves with gripping medicines.

WHelan's Money Went in Election Expenses.

Not a Cent Did He Keep But Paid the Whole Five Thousand in the Interest of the Party.

George Demers Gave Mr. Tarte an Order for \$3000 Worth of Printing in Order to Help Him Out of a Pinch, and the Order Was Made Collateral for a Note Which Was Not Paid, and Demers Put the Matter Into Insolvency.

Montreal, Sept. 25.—The hearing of the Tarte-Greider libel case was resumed to-day before Judge Wurtelle. The judge announced that he would sit at half 5 o'clock as he was anxious to get through with the case, he having to go to Quebec on the 20th inst. to sit in the Court of Appeals.

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

Mr. Tarte said: "I employed the money for the political purpose of the party to which I then belonged from the first to the last cent. It was not mine, it was the party's money, and I am not responsible for it."

THE WHEAT STANDARD.

Court Will Decide the Validity of Grain Dealers' Standard and the Method of Test.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

Mr. Christie claims the wheat, weighing only 56 pounds per bushel, was consequently subject to eight cents dockage by the grain dealers.

THE PELLY-YUKON MINING SYNDICATE.

Trustees of Syndicate Jas. Beaty, Q.C., Ex-M.P., Ex-Mayor of Toronto.

Jacob M. Stabler, Esq., Ex-Mayor of Berlin, Ontario, President Board of Trade, Berlin, and

William Roof, Esq., Barrister, of the firm of Roof, Curry & Gunther, ex-Alderman of the City of Toronto.

J. CURRY Secretary.

THE DISCRIMINATING DUTY.

Protect Entered Because of Cargo of Tea From Japan Was Taken Through

Washington, Sept. 25.—The Treasury Department has adopted the views of Attorney-General McKenna, as expressed in his recent opinion on the questions involved in section 22 of the new tariff act and the collection of duties on Philadelphia was informed to-day that a cargo of manganese ore, recently arrived at that port from Chili by a British vessel, was not subject to a discriminating duty of 10 per cent.

With the purpose of assaying on appeals made will take similar action with respect to an invoice of tea recently arrived at Vancouver from Japan.

Interested parties, whose names are being ascertained, are requested to call on the Board of General Appraisers in New York a protest against the non-assessment of the discriminating duty. It is questionable whether the protest has any claim to consideration, as the Board was created by the act of the 22nd of August, 1896, and the tariff act of 1897 is not in force.

Interested parties, whose names are being ascertained, are requested to call on the Board of General Appraisers in New York a protest against the non-assessment of the discriminating duty. It is questionable whether the protest has any claim to consideration, as the Board was created by the act of the 22nd of August, 1896, and the tariff act of 1897 is not in force.

Interested parties, whose names are being ascertained, are requested to call on the Board of General Appraisers in New York a protest against the non-assessment of the discriminating duty. It is questionable whether the protest has any claim to consideration, as the Board was created by the act of the 22nd of August, 1896, and the tariff act of 1897 is not in force.

Interested parties, whose names are being ascertained, are requested to call on the Board of General Appraisers in New York a protest against the non-assessment of the discriminating duty. It is questionable whether the protest has any claim to consideration, as the Board was created by the act of the 22nd of August, 1896, and the tariff act of 1897 is not in force.

Interested parties, whose names are being ascertained, are requested to call on the Board of General Appraisers in New York a protest against the non-assessment of the discriminating duty. It is questionable whether the protest has any claim to consideration, as the Board was created by the act of the 22nd of August, 1896, and the tariff act of 1897 is not in force.

Interested parties, whose names are being ascertained, are requested to call on the Board of General Appraisers in New York a protest against the non-assessment of the discriminating duty. It is questionable whether the protest has any claim to consideration, as the Board was created by the act of the 22nd of August, 1896, and the tariff act of 1897 is not in force.

Interested parties, whose names are being ascertained, are requested to call on the Board of General Appraisers in New York a protest against the non-assessment of the discriminating duty. It is questionable whether the protest has any claim to consideration, as the Board was created by the act of the 22nd of August, 1896, and the tariff act of 1897 is not in force.

Interested parties, whose names are being ascertained, are requested to call on the Board of General Appraisers in New York a protest against the non-assessment of the discriminating duty. It is questionable whether the protest has any claim to consideration, as the Board was created by the act of the 22nd of August, 1896, and the tariff act of 1897 is not in force.

Interested parties, whose names are being ascertained, are requested to call on the Board of General Appraisers in New York a protest against the non-assessment of the discriminating duty. It is questionable whether the protest has any claim to consideration, as the Board was created by the act of the 22nd of August, 1896, and the tariff act of 1897 is not in force.

Interested parties, whose names are being ascertained, are requested to call on the Board of General Appraisers in New York a protest against the non-assessment of the discriminating duty. It is questionable whether the protest has any claim to consideration, as the Board was created by the act of the 22nd of August, 1896, and the tariff act of 1897 is not in force.

Interested parties, whose names are being ascertained, are requested to call on the Board of General Appraisers in New York a protest against the non-assessment of the discriminating duty. It is questionable whether the protest has any claim to consideration, as the Board was created by the act of the 22nd of August, 1896, and the tariff act of 1897 is not in force.

Interested parties, whose names are being ascertained, are requested to call on the Board of General Appraisers in New York a protest against the non-assessment of the discriminating duty. It is questionable whether the protest has any claim to consideration, as the Board was created by the act of the 22nd of August, 1896, and the tariff act of 1897 is not in force.

Interested parties, whose names are being ascertained, are requested to call on the Board of General Appraisers in New York a protest against the non-assessment of the discriminating duty. It is questionable whether the protest has any claim to consideration, as the Board was created by the act of the 22nd of August, 1896, and the tariff act of 1897 is not in force.

Interested parties, whose names are being ascertained, are requested to call on the Board of General Appraisers in New York a protest against the non-assessment of the discriminating duty. It is questionable whether the protest has any claim to consideration, as the Board was created by the act of the 22nd of August, 1896, and the tariff act of 1897 is not in force.

Interested parties, whose names are being ascertained, are requested to call on the Board of General Appraisers in New York a protest against the non-assessment of the discriminating duty. It is questionable whether the protest has any claim to consideration, as the Board was created by the act