

and his person and race discriminated against; his rights as a British subject unrecognized by the Government of Canada.

The next case that bears out the contention of this paper as to the British subject's being shorn of every semblance of Imperial citizenship, if he happens to be born in India rather than in Canada or in England, is that of Mr. Harnam Singh, who was deported from Vancouver last October. This man had served his country, and hence the Empire at large, as a trooper in the British Indian cavalry, side by side with England's bravest sons. He tried to open a night school, and a home for disabled Hindus in Vancouver, B. C. He was charged with conspiring against the British Government. Evidence that would not be sufficient to convict of petty larceny a common thief, was sufficient in his case to exile him in twenty-four hours.

The next case is that of Mr. Rahim, in June, 1910. Proceedings were taken to deport him because he had not come direct from India to Canada (for Mr. Rahim came from Honolulu), but Judge Murphy, seeing this man was a merchant and a British subject, took the *broad grounds* that a *British subject's rights transcend any Order-in-Council*. This decision has an Imperial ring which is a harbinger of the fair play that is sought by the writers.

The last case to hand, which is not yet completed, is that of Dr. Sunder Singh, an English university man, who, while passing through Canada, saw the many limitations of his countrymen, and the needs of their new conditions in this land. He determined to stay among them and endeavor to uplift and otherwise minister to their needs. He holds a medical licence from the General Medical Council of Great Britain; he has been here two years, a good citizen and generally respected, with a host of friends, medical and otherwise. Now the order is passed that he also must be deported. Offence the same as last case, did not come direct from India; hence unfit to reside in Canada, British Empire subject and professional gentleman to the contrary. We do not think comment is necessary in this case; its gross injustice and the absence of the same standard of British fair play is wholly wanting.