

rapport between our respective leaders and understanding and a compatibility of personal demeanor and thought. And, in that sense, I do not know how we could have expected or anticipated a more successful outcome.

Now, another aspect of these meetings, and when Prime Minister Trudeau mentioned the extensive subjects that have been covered in just one day, a little bit this morning between the Foreign minister and myself, it underlines the importance of the kind of preparatory work that preceded this visit, and that was work done here in Canada between our embassies here, our embassies in Washington, work of the State Department, Department of Commerce, Treasury, the National Security Council staff, and a trip of this kind early on with a history of so many bilateral and multilateral issues is no mean task, and I must say I am extremely pleased, indeed I am more than pleased, I am proud of the work that was done to bring about this very, very successful outcome, and I do not accept the thesis of alternate currents designed to achieve a steadier flow of electricity.

Q. I would like to go back to the question of my Canadian colleague in the beginning, and I do not think you answered it and I would like to broaden it a little bit.

He asked you specifically if your discussions with the Canadians had persuaded you that the