less effective in this connection are the words of Lord

Rosebery when he said: "The Queen represents to us

represents to us an ancient dynasty, a glorious history, a

past which can never be forgotten, and a present which

cannot be surpassed. She represents to us more than that,

viz.: all the English system and home happiness on which in reality British society rests." Firm and yet flexible,

stable and yet submissive to the will of the people, the

British limited monarchy is a system worthy of being

conserved at home and preserved throughout the Empire.

as the local head of our Federal system is the Governor-

the chief practical evidence of continued union between

the countries, and might easily become the medium of

great Imperial services to the Dominion. In the event of

trouble with the United States, difficulties with other

countries, or diplomatic negotiations with foreign powers,

he at once becomes the central figure around which turns

the whole wheel of State, and the somewhat intricate per-

plexities of a vast Imperial system. Raised above local

Jealousies or friction, impartial and representative in Can-

ada of the functions of the Sovereign in Britain, the

Governor-General embodies the principal of responsible

Government, and all that historic value of British institu-

tions which an elective head of the State combined with

Parliamentary procedure and a practically elective Premier

would of course at once destroy. As Lord Dufferin so

Well put it: "He is a person dissociated from all sec-

tional interests, prejudices and passions, who can never

become stronger than the people's Parliament or divide

the national vote; a representative of all that is august,

stable and sedate in the Government, the history and

traditions of the country; incapable of partisanship; with-

out adherents to reward or opponents to oust from office;

docile to the suggestions of his Ministers, and yet securing

to the people the certainty of being able to get rid of an administration or Parliament the moment either had for-

which Mr. Goldwin Smith wrote a few years since: "A

national conflict every four years for the Presidency, and

the enormous patronage that is now annexed to it, must

bring everything that is bad in the nation to the top, and

will end in the domination of scoundrels. The moral atmosphere is darkened with calumny, bribery and corrup-

tion, and all their fatal effects upon national character.

How can the political character of any nation withstand

forever the virus of evil passion and corruption which

institutions was ever penned than that by Benjamin Dis-

raeli in a letter addressed to Lord Lyndhurst some sixty

years ago. It is well worth reproduction and bears the ring of an enthusiastic and eloquent patriotism; "If

neither ancient ages nor the more recent experience of our newer time can supply us with a parallel instance

a free-government founded on the broadest basis

Popular rights, yet combining with democratic liberty,

aristocratic security and monarchical convenience;—if the

refined spirit of Greece, if the brilliant genius of feudal

Italy, if the great Roman soul, alike failed in realizing

this great result, let us cling with increased devotion to the

matchless creation of our ancestors, and honour with still

deeper feelings of gratitude and veneration the English Con-

a rude age, and anticipated by centuries in its beneficent

practice the sublime theories of modern philosophy; hav-

ing made us equal it has kept us free. If it has united

equality with freedom, so also it has connected freedom with glory. It has established an Empire which combines

the durability of Rome with the adventure of Carthage.

It has at the same time secured us the most skilful agri-

culture, the most extended commerce, the most ingenius

manufactures, victorious armies and invincible fleets. Nor has the intellectual might of England under its fos-

tering auspices been less distinguished than its imperial

spirit, its manly heart, or its national energy, and it has

secured to me in common with every subject of this realm

a right—the enjoyment of which I would not exchange for

The ermined stole, the starry breast and coroneted brow

the right of expressing my free thoughts to a free

And so it has been in Canada, and so it will continue

That Constitution established civil equality in

Perhaps, however, no better or more brilliant description of the historic greatness and importance of British

How different is such an official and such a mode of appointment from that existing in the United States, of

feited their confidence."

these vast faction fights infuse?"

Representative of the Sovereign in Canada, and acting

Under existing conditions his appointment is

much in this country, and much all over the world.

1.

ned

who the and has

WAR

ood et's

must either be part and parcel of the British Empire or annexed to the United States." The reasons for such a statement are manifold. Independence, as far as we

to be if we preserve those principles of political action and government embodied in the maintenance of the British system of responsible ministers and a free Parliament, with a Sovereign possessing limited powers, but affording to our constitutional structure that element of stability and solidity which distinguishes such institutions

from those of the American Republic.

But it is asked why these institutions cannot be pre-Berved in a state of complete national independence. Mr. D'Alton McCarthy, M.P., voiced this often unspoken sentiment when he said a couple of years ago in addressing the Young Men's Conservative Association of Collingwood: "I have dreamed, gentlemen, and I suppose some of you have, of Canadian independence, but I have come to recommend the said and the said an

to recognize, and so will you when you are as old as I am, that it is an utter impossibility. Canada in the future

can see at present, would constitute a position of weakness and dependence upon the good or bad will of the Republic; it would involve us in a quarrel with the Mother Country without just cause, because, whatever may have been the state of public opinion twenty years ago, any British Government which permitted Canada to separate from the Empire without the most powerful protests could not continue to hold office a day; it would possibly involve civil war, and perhaps the ultimate armed interference of the United States; it would lessen our material credit to such a degree as to prevent the floating of our liabilities, except under exorbitant interest; and

would render the holding of our Provinces together a

matter of grave doubt. The probabilities are that the whole system of our government would undergo a change in the direction of Republicanism, and our Federal system would snap under the strain of different views and contests regarding different principles of administration and union which would ensue. If free trade with the States accompanied the separation, the consequences of the closer relations and the abrogation of British sentiment would almost assuredly carry us into the Republic, while, if we tried to stand alone without the cohesion of a common French and English allegiance to the British Crown and Constitution, it is probable that the force of circumstances would break up the new Republic and drive its fragments one by one into the

American union. In any case British institutions would be gone, and the liabilities incident to an independent existence would be so great as to seriously retard our progress for many years to come. Far better to follow the eloquent advice of Principal Grant, and "Stand fast, Canada, against all enemies, especially those of our own household, who would strike the flag or stain the national honour; against factions, animated by greed and party spite, reckless of the burdens they impose on the country or the difficulties they are preparing for our children; against the stray bigots who revive the feuds of the old world, and the fanatics who are sleepless enemies of civil and religious liberty; against all who would divide that they might destroy.

Stand fast, Canada." The last two decades reveal a wonderful expansion in Canadian material development, partly as a result of the credit and national confidence created by the maintenance of British Connection, and partly by the evolution of the

tional policy of protection :-		
, , ,	1868.	1888.
Deposits chartered banks	\$32,808,104	\$112,860,700
Deposits savings banks	4,366,692	51,861,984
Letters and post cards sent	18,100,000	96,786,000
Miles of railway	2,522	12,292
Receipts from freight	12,211,158	24,581,047
Fire insurance in Canada	188,359,809	633,523,697
Total imports and exports	131,027,532	201,097,630
Export animals and products	6,893,167	24,719,297
Export cheese	617,354	8,928,242

while the development of our export trade is still better exhibited in the ensuing table of four periods, comprising five year

ars es	ich :		
Total	exports	1868-72	 \$283,410,368
6.			 363,511,828
6.6			 381,402,883

" 1883-87 405,384,877 The succeeding five years, if averaged, will amount to a

total of at least \$460,000,000. British connection, however, affords benefits other than the preservation of free institutions, the consolidation of our present constitution and the growth of commerce. It opens up a prospect of extended interchange and the development of a trade with the Mother Country by means of discriminatory duties which would be impossible were separation to take place or free trade be inaugurated with the United States. Even now we are doing

orts will sh	ow:
1879.	1890.
\$3,082,900 6,928,871 13,261,459 33,729,068 2,700,281	\$4,855,757 8,461,906 26,179,136 37,015,025 5,741,184
	\$3,082,900 6,928,871 13,261,459 33,729,068

Only a pronounced pessimist could detract from these evidences of prosperity, such an one perhaps as Emerson had in mind when he wrote that "the misery of man appears like childish petulance when we explore the steady and prodigal provision that has been made for his support delight on this green ball which floats him through the heavens." Seriously, however, it appears as if events were rapidly approaching a point in England when she will be willing and able to discriminate in favour of Colonial trade, in return for a similar discrimination in favour of British products. The motto of men of action in Britain may now be found in Lord Salisbury's pregnant words at the Mansion House last year: "We are anxious above all things to conserve, to unify, to strengthen the Empire of the Queen, because it is to the trade that is carried on within the Empire that we look for the vital force of the commerce of this country"; and in the remarks by Mr. Gladstone, May 12, 1890: "When we pass over the countries of Europe, together with the great Republic of America, we see that, although the doctrines of free trade have never been unconditionally accepted in any of these countries, yet there was a kind of qualified progress towards them. That progress was then exchanged for a stationary condition of opinion, and of late that opinion has been actively retrogressive."

The McKinley Bill and its restriction of British exports to the United States, Brazil, Cuba, Spain, Venezuela and other countries through the Reciprocity clause; the pend-

ing French tariff; the coming Australian Federal tariff; the proposal in Canada to discriminate against the Mother Country in favour of the Republic; the Russian increase of duties; and the European Trade League, are all fingerposts pointing to the coming time when the trade policy of the Empire will be brought into harmony with circum-

The relative progress of trade in the following countries also reveals a significant condition of affairs:—

9			Each £100
Countries,	1854.	1889.	inc. \mathbf{t} o
Russia	£21,485,000	£119,797,000	£557
Belgium	55,417,000	244,795,000	458
Sweden	8,771,000	37,442,000	426
Spain	18,120,000	69,456,000	380
Holland	52,414,000	193,391,000	368
Norway	5,467,000	18,015,000	329
France	134,875,000	404,952,000	300
Portugal	7,427,000	21,743,000	292
United States	111,335,000	309,903,000	278
GreatBritain	268,210,000	742,344,000	276
Denmark	10,732,000	28,536,000	265
Austria	42,675,000	112,945,000	264

It is therefore not surprising that Sir Michael Hicks-Beach should have observed the other day in addressing the London Chamber of Commerce: "I commend to you, as one who desires above all things to promote and to complete the unity of this disjointed Empire, this great question of the importance of the commercial relations between ourselves and our Colonies; and I do believe that, looking to the means which you have for ascertaining the opinion of the great commercial community of this metropolis, looking to the opportunities which you have of bringing the subject under public consideration, you may, if you choose, do a great work towards that which will be for the permanent benefit of our country." Even now, without any discrimination, the benefit to England of her external empire is obvious as the following table of British exports to certain countries per head of their population will

	Ŀ.	. S.	D.
Russia	0	1	3
Germany	- ()	- 7	4
France.	0	- 8	- 3
United States	-0	10	- 3
British North America	1	13	- 1
Australia	- 6	19	10

It may indeed be well said in the words of the late Lord Carnarvon: "You have, in the first place, a vast Empire, vast in area, population and resources—such as we may honestly say the world's history holds no counterpart. It is the first and foremost of its kind. Within the compass of that great Empire you have all the products of nature which can be named, from the most snowy climates to the most tropical. It is what the old Greek philosophers called a 'self-sufficing world.'

Summing up, it may be pointed out that the present interchange between the ten principal divisions of the

pire is as follows:	
United Kingdom	£220,000,000
Indian Empire	- 140,000,000
Australasia	63,000,000
Canada and Newfoundland	30,000,000
Cape of Good Hope	
Straits Settlements	16,000,000
West Indies, etc	9,500,000
Ceylon	6,500,000
Natal	5,500,000

If this commerce be properly promoted by means of favouring duties, who can be found to deny that a marvellous monetary value will be added to the present benefit of maintaining British connection! Now Britain is our best market; then it would not only be a source of demand for what we produce, but a tremendous incentive to increased production and quadrupled commerce. A British duty upon foreign breadstuffs would make Canada the real,

instead of the potential, granary of the world. Another important consideration in connection with this question is the investment of British funds in Canada, and the golden links of capital and credit which do so much and will do so much more to hold the Empire in unity. The money lent by Great Britain to the Colonial Governments during a period of fourteen years will illus-

| 1871 | Australasia | 239,040,000 | Crown Colonies | 3,663,000 | North America | 16,890,000 | South Africa | 1,850,000 £140,897,000 6,303,000 54,000,000 or an increase from £61,000,000 to £226,000,000.

trate this argument.

1889 the total was £242,000,000. The private investments in colonial stocks had increased according to the income tax returns by £74,000,000, and decreased in foreign stocks by £71,000,000. Could such a result have taken place under independence and insecurity? British connection is therefore obviously promoting the development of the country by the investment of capital and the enhancement of credit and the inevitable inference is that if these premises be correct, if these conclusions are of the slightest value, then the maintenance of British connection, loyalty to Crown and country, faithfulness to our allegiance and institutions, is the truest and best policy for British and French-Canadians, and is indeed the path of honour, of profit, and of material welfare.

Loyalty is no longer a sentiment to be ashamed of; it is now once more as in days of old a proof of honour and honesty, national and personal, and no people are more proud, and justly so, of their allegiance to their country and loyalty to their flag than are the Americans. As President Harrison said recently on his return from a trip through the Republic: "Nothing has been so impressive in all this journey as the magnificent spirit of patriotism which pervades our people. I have seen enough American