\$5,445, and to females, \$34,710. Owing to the irregularities of the present year, already referred to, I have found it quite difficult to make up, with certainty the amount, and more especially the average of salaries,—when the yearly system has been regularly adopted, the estimate becomes quite simple and easy. I may remark, also, that the average and amount of salaries are, of course, affected by the length of the year, an engagement for 7 months, for instance, being proportionately less than for 8 months.

I have visited all the 39 municipalities under my supervision twice during the year, except one, (Auckland—one small school), which I visited only once; and have visited nearly all the schools twice. The winter was even more unfavorable for visiting than the previous one; but I managed to reach most of the schools. At the time of writing, I have not yet been able to hold any teachers' meetings, but have arranged for a number to be held in June.

I have found it quite difficult again, this year, to make the required classification of the municipalities, in accordance with your circular of Nov. 1882, the instructions being to class as "1st. Excellent; 2nd, Good; 3rd. Middling; 4th, Bad, and 5th, Very Bad," (arranging in the order of merit in each class,) taking into account the following particulars:—

- 1. The conduct of affairs by Commissioners and Secretary-Treasurer.
 - 2. The condition of the school-houses.
 - 3. The condition and supply of apparatus.
 - 4. The use of authorized text-books.
 - 5. The efficiency of the teachers.
 - 6. The Salaries of teachers, and mode of payment.

The first point is, of course, a general one. For the others, I have, as last year, adopted a careful and rigid system of marking each school on all the five points, and class the municipality according to results. It will readily be seen that a municipality may mark well on some points; e. g., "2" and "5," and by a poor marking on others, say "3" and "4," average low. In the following arrangement, I have indicated average standing by figures, from 0 to 9; higher figures denoting lower rank,—those averaging lower than 9 going into the next lower class. The comparative standing is thus shewn by the figures, and not wholly by the order