

FIX TERMS OF ANNEXATION.

City Council Appoints Committee to Consider Matter.

Mayor Makes Announcement About Minimum Gas Charge.

Informal Discussion About the Car Shed By-law.

When the aldermen filed into the Council chamber last night Mayor McLaren predicted that it would just take two minutes to deal with the business for which the special meeting was called, the formality of appointing a committee to consider terms on which the city will annex the district east of Sherman avenue, including Kenilworth, adjoining Ottawa street. Instead of that it took over two hours. The first half hour was spent discussing the annexation proposition, and the remainder of the time struggling with the car shed by-law. The aldermen thought it advisable, while they had the time to spare, to have an informal discussion on this matter, which has dragged along for nearly a year.

WILL NAME THE TERMS. The committee appointed to consider the terms on which the application of the property owners in Barton east of Sherman avenue will be granted is composed of the Mayor, Aldermen Allan, Clark, Jutten, Peregrine, Cooper and Morris.

Ald. Morris said he doubted if a dozen of the land owners east of Ottawa street signed the petition in Barton east of Sherman avenue will be granted is composed of the Mayor, Aldermen Allan, Clark, Jutten, Peregrine, Cooper and Morris.

THAT MINIMUM CHARGE.

The Mayor made an interesting announcement in connection with the minimum charge made by the Natural Gas Company and which has been complained of by a number of citizens. His announcement said he had waited on Manager Byrnes regarding this monthly minimum of \$1, which it is alleged the company has no right under its franchise to charge. Mr. Byrnes assured the Mayor that from May 1 until the end of the year the company would charge no minimum, and after that reduce it to 50 cents a month. Mr. Byrnes said it would not pay the company to install meters if people were not going to use at least 50 cents' worth of gas a month. In cases where people go away for two or three weeks, the company is willing if notified to extend the minimum charge over two months.

Ald. Milne did not think the company should make any minimum charge, while Ald. Peregrine thought that any one getting off with a gas bill of only \$1 a month was fortunate. Ald. Ellis wanted to know if the company could even impose a 50-cent minimum. The Mayor replied that the company could if it saw fit, but that the meter if a customer did not want to pay the minimum.

APPROVED OF THIS.

The Mayor invited the aldermen to attend the opening of the new additions to the Pictou and Sophia street schools this afternoon, and the new Normal school.

The council authorized the Industrial Committee to rent a hall for a meeting to be held under the auspices of the recently formed Greater Hamilton's Business Men's Association, when a prominent authority on industrial matters and civic "boosting" will be the speaker. It is merely a matter of getting things started and then the association will look after itself.

CAR SHEDS BY-LAW.

After the aldermen adjourned the formal meeting they had an informal session over the car shed by-law. Ald. Cooper criticised a number of clauses. He thought it was giving the railway companies a very valuable franchise, including the right of operating through traffic, for which the city got no revenue. The Mayor suggested that it would not be so bad if the company undertook to build car sheds and shops worth \$200,000.

Ald. Cooper stated that General Manager Hawkins had said they would not employ ten extra men, and Ald. Allan said he had heard since that Mr. Hawkins did not know what he was talking about.

The Mayor pointed out that the company had intimated its intention of taking a passenger to Barton for five cents, of which four cents would go to the railroad and only one cent to the city.

Ald. Cooper admitted the company's right to have connections with its car buildings, but the city should be careful not to grant it a valuable franchise for nothing.

The Mayor said the by-law was somewhat indefinite as far as the connection with the H. G. & B. Objection was also taken by Ald. Cooper to the double tracking of Wentworth street, but the Mayor did not think this request was unreasonable, as the company had consented to a single track on Wentworth street if allowed to double track the avenue.

The Mayor thought the position of the Main street residents was strengthened by the by-law, because it did not permit the companies to run freight cars, except those resembling passenger cars, singly and under their own power.

One of the aldermen explained that the company could not obtain an entrance

SOME NEW LIGHT ON KINRADE CASE.

(Continued from Page 1.)

IS IT SO IMPROBABLE?

Who killed Ethel Kinrade? What was the motive? A tramp or a crazy man, say the family. He was afraid of being caught and shot Ethel Kinrade. That was the only motive.

THE POLICE HAVE NOT PRODUCED ANY EVIDENCE POINTING UNERRINGLY TO THE MURDERER.

They have not produced the weapon. They have not produced a motive.

A WEAK POINT.

One of the weakest points in the case as it stands now is the failure to obtain possession of the revolver. To find the weapon and trace its antecedents would carry up one of the most important questions.

THE POLICE PUT THOSE POSERS WHEN ONE SUGGESTS THAT THE TRAMP OR HOUSEBREAKER, AFRAID OF BEING CAUGHT, WHO KILLED ETHEL KINRADE AND WAITED TO FIRE TWO SETS OF SHOTS INTO HER BODY, WHY DID HE LET FLORENCE KINRADE ESCAPE, WHEN HE HAD HER UNDER HIS HAND?

ART LEAGUE.

Interesting Lecture by H. A. Neyland Last Evening.

At the Municipal Art Gallery last evening, the Art League of Hamilton gave the first of a series of lectures which will be continued throughout the season.

THE ONE WEAK POINT IN THESE STORIES IS THAT THE DESCRIPTION GIVEN BY FLORENCE KINRADE DOES NOT TALLY WITH THE ONE GIVEN BY MRS. KING AND MRS. TAYLOR.

THE INQUEST WILL BE INTERESTING.

The inquest to-night will probably be an interesting and dramatic as any of the sessions held yet.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

SOME NEW LIGHT ON KINRADE CASE.

(Continued from Page 1.)

IS IT SO IMPROBABLE?

Who killed Ethel Kinrade? What was the motive? A tramp or a crazy man, say the family. He was afraid of being caught and shot Ethel Kinrade. That was the only motive.

THE POLICE HAVE NOT PRODUCED ANY EVIDENCE POINTING UNERRINGLY TO THE MURDERER.

They have not produced the weapon. They have not produced a motive.

A WEAK POINT.

One of the weakest points in the case as it stands now is the failure to obtain possession of the revolver. To find the weapon and trace its antecedents would carry up one of the most important questions.

THE POLICE PUT THOSE POSERS WHEN ONE SUGGESTS THAT THE TRAMP OR HOUSEBREAKER, AFRAID OF BEING CAUGHT, WHO KILLED ETHEL KINRADE AND WAITED TO FIRE TWO SETS OF SHOTS INTO HER BODY, WHY DID HE LET FLORENCE KINRADE ESCAPE, WHEN HE HAD HER UNDER HIS HAND?

ART LEAGUE.

Interesting Lecture by H. A. Neyland Last Evening.

At the Municipal Art Gallery last evening, the Art League of Hamilton gave the first of a series of lectures which will be continued throughout the season.

THE ONE WEAK POINT IN THESE STORIES IS THAT THE DESCRIPTION GIVEN BY FLORENCE KINRADE DOES NOT TALLY WITH THE ONE GIVEN BY MRS. KING AND MRS. TAYLOR.

THE INQUEST WILL BE INTERESTING.

The inquest to-night will probably be an interesting and dramatic as any of the sessions held yet.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

SOME NEW LIGHT ON KINRADE CASE.

(Continued from Page 1.)

IS IT SO IMPROBABLE?

Who killed Ethel Kinrade? What was the motive? A tramp or a crazy man, say the family. He was afraid of being caught and shot Ethel Kinrade. That was the only motive.

THE POLICE HAVE NOT PRODUCED ANY EVIDENCE POINTING UNERRINGLY TO THE MURDERER.

They have not produced the weapon. They have not produced a motive.

A WEAK POINT.

One of the weakest points in the case as it stands now is the failure to obtain possession of the revolver. To find the weapon and trace its antecedents would carry up one of the most important questions.

THE POLICE PUT THOSE POSERS WHEN ONE SUGGESTS THAT THE TRAMP OR HOUSEBREAKER, AFRAID OF BEING CAUGHT, WHO KILLED ETHEL KINRADE AND WAITED TO FIRE TWO SETS OF SHOTS INTO HER BODY, WHY DID HE LET FLORENCE KINRADE ESCAPE, WHEN HE HAD HER UNDER HIS HAND?

ART LEAGUE.

Interesting Lecture by H. A. Neyland Last Evening.

At the Municipal Art Gallery last evening, the Art League of Hamilton gave the first of a series of lectures which will be continued throughout the season.

THE ONE WEAK POINT IN THESE STORIES IS THAT THE DESCRIPTION GIVEN BY FLORENCE KINRADE DOES NOT TALLY WITH THE ONE GIVEN BY MRS. KING AND MRS. TAYLOR.

THE INQUEST WILL BE INTERESTING.

The inquest to-night will probably be an interesting and dramatic as any of the sessions held yet.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

SOME NEW LIGHT ON KINRADE CASE.

(Continued from Page 1.)

IS IT SO IMPROBABLE?

Who killed Ethel Kinrade? What was the motive? A tramp or a crazy man, say the family. He was afraid of being caught and shot Ethel Kinrade. That was the only motive.

THE POLICE HAVE NOT PRODUCED ANY EVIDENCE POINTING UNERRINGLY TO THE MURDERER.

They have not produced the weapon. They have not produced a motive.

A WEAK POINT.

One of the weakest points in the case as it stands now is the failure to obtain possession of the revolver. To find the weapon and trace its antecedents would carry up one of the most important questions.

THE POLICE PUT THOSE POSERS WHEN ONE SUGGESTS THAT THE TRAMP OR HOUSEBREAKER, AFRAID OF BEING CAUGHT, WHO KILLED ETHEL KINRADE AND WAITED TO FIRE TWO SETS OF SHOTS INTO HER BODY, WHY DID HE LET FLORENCE KINRADE ESCAPE, WHEN HE HAD HER UNDER HIS HAND?

ART LEAGUE.

Interesting Lecture by H. A. Neyland Last Evening.

At the Municipal Art Gallery last evening, the Art League of Hamilton gave the first of a series of lectures which will be continued throughout the season.

THE ONE WEAK POINT IN THESE STORIES IS THAT THE DESCRIPTION GIVEN BY FLORENCE KINRADE DOES NOT TALLY WITH THE ONE GIVEN BY MRS. KING AND MRS. TAYLOR.

THE INQUEST WILL BE INTERESTING.

The inquest to-night will probably be an interesting and dramatic as any of the sessions held yet.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

THE SLEUTH WENT ON TO CRITICISE THE CASE IN THE FIRST PLACE. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHY A THOUGHTFUL INVESTIGATION OF THE HOUSE WAS NOT MADE THE NIGHT OF THE MURDER.

ACCIDENTALLY SHOT

Revolver Fell From Operator's Belt and Was Discharged.

Toronto, March 19.—F. J. Brown, the C. P. R. operator at Locust Hill, was taken to the Western Hospital late last night, suffering from a bullet wound inflicted by the accidental discharge of his revolver. The bullet entered Brown's left side below the heart, but fortunately was deflected by a rib and came out further up. The wound is not serious.

Brown, who was alone in the station, was wearing his revolver in a case fastened to a belt, and while bending over to lift a coal scuttle the weapon fell. It was discharged by striking the floor, and the bullet struck Brown. He was able to send word of the accident to the dispatcher's office here, and the police were asked to send an ambulance to meet a freight on which the injured man was being brought down.

Press Gallery's Farewell. Ottawa, March 18.—The press gallery to-night gave a farewell smoker to S. D. Scott, who goes to St. John to assume the editorship of the new Conservative daily, and Gerald Brown, who becomes Assistant Deputy Minister of Labor. Both have been many years in the gallery.

G. T. R. Man President. Chicago, March 18.—Wm. McNab, of the Grand Trunk, was today elected president of the American Railway Engineering and Maintenance of Way Association, which has been holding its annual convention in this city.

List of Agencies where the HAMILTON TIMES.

- may be had: G. J. M'ARTHUR, Stationer, Rebecca St., 4 doors from James. F. W. SCHWARTZ, Royal Hotel News Stand. F. W. SCHWARTZ, Waldorf Hotel. THOS. FRENCH, 90 James Street North. C. WEBBER, Terminal Station. H. T. COWING, 126 James North. G. B. MIDDLEY, Printer, 282 James Street North. A. F. HURST, Tobacconist, 294 James Street North. A. A. THEOBALD, Tobacconist, 358 James Street North. JAS. M'KENZIE, Newsdealer, 334 James Street North. ALEX. M'DOUGALL, Newsdealer, 386 1/2 Barton Street East. D. MONROE, Grocer, James and Gilmour. JOHN IRISH, 509 James North. A. F. HAMBURG, 276 James North. JOHN HILL, Tobacconist, 171 King Street East. W. R. FLEMING, Barber and Tobacconist, 243 King Street East. H. P. TEETER, Druggist, King and Ashley. T. J. M'BRIDE, 666 King Street East. H. R. WILSON, News Agent, King and Wentworth Streets. JAS. W. HALLORAN, Groceries and Tobaccos, Barton and Catharine Streets. H. URSCHADT, Confectioner and Stationer, 230 Barton East. ALEX. M'DOUGALL, 386 1/2 Barton East. J. WOODS, Barber, 401 Barton East. H. HOWE, 587 Barton East. J. A. ZIMMERMAN, Druggist, Barton and Wentworth, also Victoria Avenue and Cannon. H. E. HAWKINS, Druggist, East Avenue and Barton. A. GREIG, Newsdealer, 10 York Street. JAMES MITCHELL, Confectioner, 97 York Street. MRS. SHOTTER, Confectioner, 244 York Street. NEW TROY LAUNDRY, 357 York Street. S. WOTTON, 378 York Street. T. S. M'DONNELL, 374 King Street West. M. WALSH, 244 King Street West. D. T. DOW, 172 King Street West. JOHN MORRISON, Druggist, 112 Main Street West. A. F. HOUSER, Confectioner, 114 James Street South. ROBT. GORDON, Confectioner, 113 John Street South. BURWELL GRIFFIN, Queen and Charlton Avenues. MRS. SECORD, Locke and Canada. CANADA RAILWAY NEWS CO., G. T. R. Station. H. BLACKBURN, News Agent, T. H. & B. Station. J. R. WELLS, Old Country News Stand, 197 King Street East. It will pay you to use the Want Column of the Times. BUSINESS TELEPHONE 368.

TRAVELER'S GUIDE

GRAND TRUNK RAILWAY SYSTEM

Table with columns for destination, time, and fare. Destinations include Niagara Falls, Buffalo, Toronto, etc.

CANADIAN PACIFIC RAILWAY.

Table with columns for destination, time, and fare. Destinations include Toronto, Lindsay, Peterborough, etc.

TORONTO, HAMILTON & BUFFALO RAILWAY.

Table with columns for destination, time, and fare. Destinations include Hamilton, Buffalo, etc.

HAMILTON RADIAL ELECTRIC RAILWAY.

Table with columns for destination, time, and fare. Destinations include Hamilton, Barton, etc.

HAMILTON & DUNDAS RAILWAY.

Table with columns for destination, time, and fare. Destinations include Hamilton, Dundas, etc.

HAMILTON, GRIMSBY & BEAUMVILLE ELECTRIC RAILWAY.

Table with columns for destination, time, and fare. Destinations include Hamilton, Grimsby, Beaumville, etc.

BRANTFORD & HAMILTON ELECTRIC RAILWAY.

Table with columns for destination, time, and fare. Destinations include Brantford, Hamilton, etc.

TO BE TRIED FOR BIGAMY.

A. E. Lewis, Who Recently Came From the Old Country. Cobourg, March 18.—A. E. Lewis, arrested here on a charge of bigamy, had his preliminary examination at Campbellford before Magistrate T. J. Herkins and was committed to Cobourg jail to await trial. It is stated that Lewis was married in England on March 28, 1908, to Ada Emily Howard; further, that he and a young lady, Kathleen Mary Blake, sailed for Canada on the same boat, arriving in Campbellford on May 15, 1908, and immediately upon their arrival they proceeded to Christ Church rectory and were married. It is said that wife number two and the young lady are now living in Toronto.

Teacher's Tragic Death.

St. Catharines, March 18.—A particularly sad death is that of Miss Vera Veitch, teacher of S. S. No. 8, Wainfleet, the school near Beckett's bridge. Miss Veitch went to Toronto on Saturday and was seized with a fainting spell. Friends had her removed to the station and she returned to her home. Medical aid was called, and it was discovered that she was suffering from appendicitis. Chance of recovery had been wholly removed by the jarring of the journey home.