Assembly will not voluntarily introduce.

property in one province a sufficient ground to overturn the system of legislature of the Union.

province, for the purpose of removing that inconvenience?—To the question proposed Do you not consider, that as the whole English population of the Canadas is about 26, in that abstract form I should answer no. If that were the single inconvenience, I would 000, while the French population is about 400,000, that t e disadvantage would be greater not alter the legislative system in order to remedy it. not alter the legislative system in order to remedy it.

Was it not upon that ground principally that you rested your wish to have the legislative decisalisected by the union?—I hold it as a settled point, that whatever you do, or watever system altered?—My reasons for wishing to have the legislative system of Canada altered you decline to do, you must reckon upon a great mass of discontent and measiness. The are many, but chiefly this: If you persevere in the present system, I fear it is but too evident that you are sowing the seeds of separation between the Canadas and this country.

In what way would the continuance of the existing state of things unaltered be likely to lead to a separation between the Canadas and this country?—I cannot too anxiously disbe attributed rather to the administration than to the constitution itself?—Some part has claim the intention of impropriety were I to suggest a doubt of their fidelity to the government under which they live. I have no reason to doubt it; and on the contrary, have many priories on which they are settled. Their national antipathics are whetted by many accireasons to know that a spirit of cordial affection to His Majesty's person and government their respective situations. Bad government may exament and contrary that part of the respective situations. ment under which they live. I have no reason to doubt it; and on the contrary, have many ritories on which they are settled. Their national antipathies are wherted by many accireasons to know that a spirit of cordial affection to His Majesty's person and government dental contrasts but een their respective situations. Bad government may exasperate has been very recently manifested in those provinces. I speak only of what is future and these evis, but the most perfect government, without a radical change in the system, probable. Consider then what is the situation of the Upper Province, and of the English could never cure them.

Do you consider that the difference arising between two nations are better healed by on the one side are the Americans, on the other the French Canadians, who though living bringing t can face to face in the same Assembly, for that is the present system. Uplaws, institutions, habits and prejudices. Nature provided the Hudson to the south, and the st. Lawrence to the north, as the great channels of communication between the English territories and the rest of the world. But the people of New York command the Hudson, and the people of Lower Canada the St. Lawrence. The city of New York on the one stream, and of Quebec on the other, are outposts interdicting all commercial intersect and the American on the other, are outposts interdicting all commercial intersect and the Act of 1791 is founded upon false principles?—I do. The too use with English Canada, except on such terms as the Legislatures of New York and Quebec are pleased to prescribe. Is it reasonable to think that the people of English Capower. Quebec are pleased to prescribe. Is it reasonable to think that the people of English Ca-power.

And will permanently acquiesce in this exclusion? Is it good policy to make them conti
Supposing the measure you contemplate to take place, do not you think one of its effects nels of commercial intercourse, from which your laws have interdicted them?—Can it belt would.

supposed that they will always be content to lose the vast commercial advantages which Would not it also have a tendency to depress the French population of the province supposed that they will always be content to lose the vast commercial advantages which they would derive from their unequalled inland navigation if their intercourse with the who are not commercial men, and people of different habits?—I think that the comparacean were unimpeded? A capitalist in Upper Canada can employ his property only in property only in the engages in trade, it must be either in the United States as an alien, or in Lower Canada under all the restraints which a French Legislature may impose on him. I recommend a union, therefore, as the only effectual mode of rescuing the Upper Propagation of the Union ?—I apprehend them to be in favour of that scheme. I vince and the English townships from disadvantages to which I think it is neither just nor cannot doubt their concurrence in a measure by which their great object of legislating for safe to subject them. But I advise it also on this further ground: It is vain to conceal the the Port of Quebec would be secured. There is also this negative proof of their approbation; that when the scheme of the Union was actual the Union Canadana never sale to subject them. Dut I advise it also on this further ground: It is vain to concear active rort of queene would be secured. There is also this negative proof of their approbafact that the Act of 1791 has established a monarchical government without securing tion of it, that when the scheme of the Union was agitated the Upper Canadians never
any one means of authority or influence to the monarchical branch of it. The Governconcurred in any of the protests against it.
ment can neither control by its prerogative, nor influence by its patronage. The ties by
the ties by Do you think it would be desirable for the Government of this country to propose that
which the people are bound to their Sovereign are not of the same strong and enduring or any other great alteration in the fundamentals of the constitution of the Canadas, withment can neither control by its prerogative, nor influence by its patronage. The ties by which the people are bound to their Sovereign are not of the same strong and enduring or any other great alteration in the fundamentals of the constitution of the Canadas, with-character as the corresponding obligations between the King and the people in the old Eu-lond giving that country full time to express its opinion upon the subject?—Extreme macropean States. It is impossible to suppose that the Canadians dread your power. It issures of that kind are only to be justified by an extreme and evident necessity. If the not easy to believe that the abstract day of logality, as distinguished from the sentime encessity could be made only. I would not hesitate to take the extreme measure, lovally, can be very strongly felt. The right of rejecting European dominion has been soll to find a servered in North and South America, that revolt can scarcely be exteemed in those the continents as criminal or disgraceful. Neither does itseem to methat the sense of automatic as criminal or disgraceful. Neither does itseem to methat the sense of automatic as criminal or disgraceful. Neither does itseem to methat the sense of automatic as criminal or disgraceful. Neither does itseem to methat the sense of automatic as criminal or disgraceful. Neither does itseem to methat the sense of automatic as criminal or disgraceful. Neither does itseem to methat the sense of automatic as criminal or disgraceful. Neither does itseem to methat the sense of interest, will be greatly adaptive. A vour dominion rests upon the labit of subjection; upon the ancientaffection felt by the colonists for their mother country labit or only dependent portion of the New World. Your dominion rests upon the labit of subjection; upon the ancientaffection felt by the colonists for their mother country labit or only dependent portion of the New World. Your dominion rests upon the interest are portion. If ear that all these bonds of union, and especially and prevention of

and permanent accession of power to this country would not be derived from the mild, firm a stronger or weaker hold over the country on that account?—I can hardly anticipate the and just management of the two great parties, equally balanced and counterpoised in the possibility of such a total depression of the French interest as the question supposes. I same assembly.

If the state of colonial dependence is so injurious to the commercial interests of the Canadas, is it evident that a union would improve their condition?—I do not apprehend that equality. But if provision were made for equalizing the two races in the Assembly, then the commercial dependence is injurious to their interest; on the contrary, as the law of on might, I suppose, calculate with great confidence upon either party retaining considering markets which other nations have not. What I said rather was, that the English population of the Canadasare so surrounded by foreign nations that in fact all commerce is should be equalized in point of numbers;—I would have a lepulation of the Canadasare so surrounded by foreign nations that in fact all commerce is should be French and 50 English, and I should expect that under the mediation of a wise they would proceed amicably by compromises, as other legislatures proceed.

to the trade of Great Britain :- I think they have.

Do you think that the Labits of the English population, and their tendency to commerce.

Does not that more than counterbalance any disadvantage that they are under from their make it likely that they will have a superiority over the French population?—I think they exclusion as colonies from a free trade with other countries?—A dry and accurate com-will always form the commercial part of the society, and having the superiority of wealth, putation would, I believe, prove it; but large bodies of men are not much governed by and probably of intelligence, they will gradually obtain (as on that hypothesis they ought to calculations of any kind. You must address their affections or their imagination if you devolution a superiority in all other respects.

Are you acquainted with the Act called the Sedition Act in Upper Canada?—I am active to move them.

Did you in your answer mean to refer to the inconvenience which arises to the Upper quainted with it by having read it some years ago.

Province, from the circumstance of the Lower Province being interposed between them and the port of entry?—From the circumstance of the Lower Province legislating for the late, was passed?—It was passed in the year and the port of entry?—From the circumstance of the Lower Province legislating for the late.

Do you know the circumstances under which it was passed?—It was passed in the year and the port of entry?—From the circumstance of the Lower Province legislating for the late.

Do you know the powers it gives?—It gave very ample powers of imprison-with tollows Cornus Act, and it gave the governor and members of council a power of imprison-

The English Canadians stand towards the Lower Province in a relation not dissimilar tobeas Corpus Act, and it gave the governor and members of council a power of imprison-that in which they stand to the State of New York. That state legislates for the trade of ment in all cases of treason or suspicion of treason.

the Hudson; the Province of Lower Canada legislates for the trade of the St. Lawrence. Is that Act still in force in Upper Canada?—No, it expired at the end of the war. the Hudson; the Province of Lower Canada legislates for the trade of the St. Lawrence. On either side the outlet is barred by laws which they have no share in making.

Is there no mode of reconciling that but by a union ?- I can imagine none.

magnanimity and discretion enough to favour neither party, but who will maintain a just, these. First, various bills of supply were rejected on the ground that the Assembly asserted an equitable, and a benignant mediation between both.

Do not you see very great inconvenience in the circumstance of the immense distance enabling the inhabitants of Canada to maintain suits against the Crown was rejected, beof different points of the Canadas from each other, along a line of country extending no cause as that suit would have been tried before the Governor and Council, the same objects
than 1,500 miles; do you not think that that circumstance would present the greatest could be more readily answered by a petition addressed at once to that body. Thirdly, a bill difficulties to uniting them in one Legislation?—In the first place there is not a line offerregulating fees of offices was rejected because the Council did not think the offices useless inhabited country extending 1,560 miles; it scarcely reaches 1,000. In the next place the fees improper. Fourthly, bills for establishing corporate bodies for the government country is intersected by great navigable waters, and the steam-boats would convey the

Do you think it would be desirable to apply the system acted upon in Upper Canada in degislators backwards and forwards readily enough. But the difficulty anticipated probatory and alteration that may take place in Lower Canada, in the election of the Representative bily is, that a Legislature sitting at Montreal or any other central place, would be inconversabled by its that the two Canadas ought to be re-united. If that plan be rejected, the next adequately acquainted with the localities. Now I assume that the legislature would be disbest measure would, I think, be the introduction of the Upper Canada law.

What steps ought to be taken in order to carry the Upper Canada law into effect; insuch as quarter sessions, corporate towns, and justices of the peace. It would reserve for what way could it best be ascertained into what portions Lower Canada ought to be dividits on interference only the great and more important questions. Just as in this country ed?—Of course it can only be ascertained by persons resident there; and there must be a Parliament does not make laws for every fundred or parish, but establishes a kind of county new Act of Parliament.

Must it be passed by the English Parliament?—Yes; because the existing division of subdivision rather than in favour of analgamation?—The circumstances of the United the authority of the English statute; and because this is an innovation which the existing States and of Canada are so different, that analogies of this kind must be admitted very

the authority of the English statute; and because this is an innovation which the existing States and of Canada are so different, that analogies of this kind must be admitted very ssembly will not voluntarily introduce.

Cautiously. The motives, which in my judgment should lead to a legislative union because the inconvenience arising from having two systems of descent of real tween the Canadas, could not operate on any of the states composing the great American

nually feel that a union with the great republic to the southward would open to them chan-would be to render Upper Canada muc. more physically powerful ?-Yes, I should think

Have they not a great advantage over the states of North America by having a free access government they would preceed amicably by compromises, as other legislatures proceed.

the trade of Great Britain :—I think they have.

Have there not been several bills from time to time which have passed the Legislative Assembly, and have been rejected by the Upper House in Lower Canada?—Several.

Is there any risk under such a system of the party who is not favoured by the Governor Can you give the Committee any information as to the reasons for which those bills were looking to foreign aid?—I assume, as a postulate, that you have a Governor who will have rejected?—The bills that have been rejected, so far as I have any knowledge of them, are