e buggy type learly all rer wheel than tain to keep gth must be

r from an exthing. If it show you the Better spend ruin a good or uses to after getting

stigate many ad study then autos. If posof your choice Just look at

aper and see ing for it.

Wr. Drury, the writer of this article, is a farmer who sives that the day is past when a farmer's interests it bounded by his own line fences. National factors as have a share of the farmer's attention. One of less, the taxation question, Mr. Drury discusses in a strile adjoining, which we take from the Farmers' is attention.



FOR WEEK ENDING AUGUST 7, 1913

No. 32

Only \$1.00

a Year

THE ETHICS OF TAXATION DISCUSSED BY A FARMER *

E. C. Drury, B.S.A., Simcoe Co., Ont.

Boes our System of Levying Taxes Apportion the Burden of Taxation among Citizens in Proportion to the Benefits They Derive from its Expenditure. The Question Answered by One Who Has Given the Subject Mach Careful Thought

THINK it will be generally admitted that the man who improves a farm, builds a barn or house on it, drains it, or plants an orchard

on it; or in a city or town, builds a factory, store or residence on a vacant lot, is doing a service to the public as well as to himself.

Wealth, that is those things that increase the efficiency and happiness of life, comes, it is true, from the land in one form or another, but from the

land improved, not unimproved. The savage cowers, shivering and hungry, in his wig-

wam, in the midst of unlimited land, which could yield many times over, all he could possibly need, had he the industry or knowledge necessary to improve it. He who improves land, creates wealth, not only for himself, but for the whole community. All our national wealth and all the advantages of civilization have their origin either directly or indirectly, in the improvement, the use of land. Yet, how do our laws reward the improver of land? By inflicting on him a heavier burden of taxation.

IN A NEW SETTLEMENT

Let us illustrate. Here is a school section of 4,000 acres in, say, New Ontario. Let us suppose the land is worth, when the section is opened for settlement, \$5 an acre. Of the 4,000 acres 2,000 are held by actual settlers, and 2,000 by absentees who are holding the land for speculation purposis. There are 20 settlers, each holding 100 acres of land, orginally worth \$500. The settlers preceed to improve their land. They build uses and barns, clear, drain and fence the farms, each putting \$1,000 worth of improvements on their farms. Their investment is now \$1,500 mch, \$500 in land, and \$1,000 in improvements.

But meantime their industry has made the secon more desirable as a place of settlement. The values of land have risen. Unimproved land is w worth \$10 an acre, instead of the original 85. Each hundred acres held by a settler is, on this basis, worth \$1,000. The rise of land values, be to the enterprise and industry of the settlers, as increased the value of their investment by 31/2 per cent., while the investment of the specator has increased 100 per cent., for which he as done absolutely nothing, nay more, he has

been a hindrance and a clog to his industrious neighbors.

REAPING WITHOUT SOWING

But now a school must be built. Fifteen hundred dollars is required for this purpose. The land and improvements under our present system, are assessed to raise the money required. On this basis the 20 settlers each holding 100 acres, valued at \$2,000, each are taxed \$50 for this purpose. The 20 speculators are taxed \$25 apiece. But the

Three Kind Friends

The friend of soil and kine,
The proven servant of our race— A precious gift divine. And let the plains the bison trod, A Red Man roamed so long, Be turned to golden corn fields broad— Signs of a new race strong.

And may a wealth of roots abound, Throughout our fair domain!
Where'er these three kind friends are found
Thrift follows in their train.

The farmstead dot with flocks and herds, On pastures green to roam; And make the whole make sweet the words, "Our farm is farm and home."

Then let us build both broad and sure-Twill prove a present meed, And leave a heritage secure, To mark a worthy deed.

ganananananananana presence of the school again raises land values, say \$1 per acre. The settler, who had paid \$50 toward the school, finds his holding increased in value by \$100, by its erection. The speculator's land has also increased \$100 in value, while he has paid but \$25 toward the school.

And so with every municipal improvement which increases land values, the settler receives proportionately less value for the amount paid, than the speculator, for land values are increased by municipal enterprise, while the values of improvements are not so increased. And thus our present system of direct taxation discriminates against the land improver, the maker of wealth, in favor of the land holder, who is not in any sense a maker of wealth, but merely a taker of the wealth which others have, by their industry and enterprise, made.

WE EXEMPT SPECULATIVE CUNNING

It may be said that this is an extreme instance I am not at all sure that it would be extreme in very many of our pioneer sections. But, granted, that it is, the principle illustrated holds good. not only in pioneer farming settlements, but in older localities and in towns and cities. We tax industry, skill and foresight. We exempt idleness, thriftlessness and speculative cunning. One would think that the activity of the land-speculator was that most valued by the state, and must be encouraged, while that of the land-improver must be discouraged. Nothing more grotesque or foolish could be found in the entire kingdom of Topsyturvydom.

Nor can this system be defended on the ground that it taxes men according to their wealth. Quite as often, perhaps oftener than not, it exempts the wealthy and taxes the poor. That land is improved does not necessarily mean that its owner is rich. Quite generally, improvements are made with borrowed capital, while unimproved land is held by the rich as an investment for their surplus money. There might, of course, be individual instances where the introduction of the only sensible system, that of exempting improvements and taxing land values only, would result in a poor man paying a larger share on his unimproved land than he now does, but in general it would undoubtedly be found that more often it would result in the rich man paying a fairer share on his idle holdings. The best that can be said for the present system is that it is a survival of a past age of ignorance, unscientific and inefficient and that in its operation it discourages all good citizenship, and encourages all bad. It surely is not ideal.

EXAMINE THE INDIRECT TAX

But if this can be said of our present system of direct taxation, what shall we say of our system of indirect taxation, by customs tariff? That surely does not discourage industry! Do not its advocates claim that its effect is quite the reverse, that it encourages industry, gives employment to the working-man and raises wages? Does it not keep money at home, and protect us all from devastating deluges of cheap foreign goods? Let us see how much truth there is in these claims.

The direct effects of a customs tax are: First, it raises the price of imported goods by the amount of the tax, the rise in price going into the public coffers through the customs' house, and second, it effects a similar rise in the price of all home-produced goods of the same class as those imported, the rise in price going into the pockets of the producers. Thus it collects two taxes, one for the public and one for certain private individuals. It is this portion of the tax which it is claimed is such an encouragement to industry and enterprise. Let us see how it works out.

The private tax-collected by a customs tariff has several effects. First, it may so raise the price of certain products not normally produced in the country, that it becomes profitable to produce them. O Joy! A new industry is established. and workmen are employed. But this means a loss of wealth to the community instead of a gain. The same men and money employed in other natural forms of industry would produce more wealth than in this more or less artificial one. In the good old days, before the Interests laid their deadly grapple on both political parties, we used to be told that by means of a high enough protec-