

preferential tariff reductions to developing countries is part of a liberalizing trade policy and by support of such policies those in favour of liberalizing trade can identify themselves as supporting the legitimate national aspirations of the developing countries.

There is also a case, I suggest, for tempering the effect of changes in established trade patterns. We live in a world of increasingly rapid change and all countries without exception find it necessary to protect their producers from the worst kind of shocks. It would help in resisting the excesses of economic nationalism and help the cause of trade liberalization if internationally accepted mechanisms to deal with such shocks were to be refined.

Perhaps the best example of what I have in mind relates to the operations of what are generally referred to as multi-national corporations, that is corporations that have one or more affiliates outside the country of the parent company.

As one of those who supports liberal trading and investment policies, I find no contradiction in supporting some limitations on the operations of foreign-controlled corporations in Canada. I would see grave dangers, for example, in United States domination of the Canadian banking system, for in any country domestic control of the banking system is a central instrument of economic policy. I would see grave dangers in permitting our daily newspapers, many of them in a semi-monopoly position, to be controlled by non-Canadians. I feel the same as so do my fellow Canadians about television and radio networks and stations.

As a Canadian, I am equally and quite legitimately concerned when a foreign government tries to use its home-based multi-national corporations as a means of implementing its own foreign or domestic policies. That is why, for example, Canada has taken the strongest exception to the efforts of the United States to apply its Trading with the Enemy Act to Canadian subsidiaries of United States corporations, and to apply its anti-trust legislation extraterritorially.

I submit, therefore, that if irrational ideas and policies about foreign investment are to be successfully resisted there must be acceptance of the need to meet legitimate concerns of this kind, concerns shared by many who want, first and foremost, to preserve a liberal environment for investment and trade.

Multi-national corporations are not the old merchant adventurers -- the East India Company that ruled the teeming Indian sub-continent for nearly two hundred years, or the Hudson's Bay Company that for so long controlled the empty vastness of Northwest Canada. They performed tremendous