

week," and "can then be checked over in a short time." The week spoken of was now almost ended, and the promised extracts should be ready. If that is so, then the plaintiff should be given ample time next week to satisfy himself of their accuracy. If they were not ready then, it would seem useless to give the defendants any further time, and the order now asked for would have to be made. Except by agreement there is no such practice here as to deposit of documents as is set out in *Bray on Discovery*, pp. 240, 241. Here the order must be followed except as to the documents mentioned in the second part of the first schedule as being in constant use. Then the inspecting party can move as on the present occasion, if necessary. As to all that is mentioned in the first part of schedule I., the order must be complied with, if desired by the opposite party, unless it is varied on the application of the party affected. Neither of these courses having been taken in the present case, the motion was rendered necessary, and the costs of it should be to the plaintiff in any event. F. Arnoldi, K.C., for the plaintiff. F. S. Mearns, for the defendants.