Held, reversing the judgment of the Chancery Division, 27 O.R. 93, that title had not been acquired as against the father and his devisees.

Per Burton, and Maclennan, JJ.A. The execution and registration of the discharge gave, in any event, a new starting point for the statute.

Watson, Q.C., and L. M. Hayes, for the appellants.

E. B. Edwards, for the respondents.

From Q. B. Div.]

[June 6.

Justice of the Peace—Felony—Issue of warrant—Absence of written informa-tion—Notice of All

A justice of the peace, who, knowing that a sworn information is necessary, issues his warrant for the arrest of a person charged with felony without requiring an information. requiring an information, is liable to trespass.

A notice of action alleging that the defendant on the 8th of September, 1893, wrongfully, illegally, and without reasonable and probable cause, issued his warrant and countries. his warrant and caused the plaintiff to be arrested and kept under arrest on a charge of areas. charge of arson, and on said 8th of September, maliciously, illegally and wrongfully and without wrongfully, and without any reasonable and probable cause, caused the plain-tiff to be brought before the plaintiff to be brought before him, and to be committed for trial, and to be confined in the common goal in the in the common gaol, is sufficient.

Judgment of the Queen's Bench Division, 27 O.R. 117, affirmed.

W. R. Riddell, and H. E. Rose, for the appellant.

Clute, Q.C., and J. A. Macintosh, for the respondent.

From Ferguson.

[June 6.

JOHNSTON v. CONSUMERS GAS COMPANY.

Toronto Gas Company—Reserve fund—Plant renewal fund.

The judgment of Ferguson, J., (27 O.R. 9), was reversed on the ground that e being no admission in the there being no admission in the stated case of any over-payment by the plaintiffs, they had no locus start? tiffs, they had no locus standi.

McCarthy, Q.C., S. H. Blake, Q.C., and Miller, Q.C., for the appellants, Robinson, O.C., and I. 26

Robinson, Q.C., and J. McGregor, for the respondents.

From Armour, C.J.]

[June 30.

ROGERS v. TORONTO PUBLIC SCHOOL BOARD.

A person entering upon premises on the express or implied invitation of occupant is entitled to accura the occupant is entitled to assume that they will be in a reasonably safe condition, but one who visits them. dition, but one who visits them for his own purpose and without the knowledge of the occupant does so at his of the occupant does so at his own peril.

The superintendent of a coal company, without the knowledge decide ndants, went to a school bound defendants, went to a school house to look at the coal-bins in order to how he could most conveniently to how he could most conveniently deliver coal ordered by the defendants, and was severely hurt by falling interest. was severely hurt by falling into an unguarded hole in the cellar.