

Your Mother Will Be Pleased

with this tea. Tell her that I use it in my own home. She will be glad to try it, and I know she will like it.



"You'll like the flavor"

COMMERCIAL UNION WITH THE WEST INDIES OR CONFEDERATION.

(By Harry J. Crowe, Toronto, in the Canada West India Magazine.)

In a recent issue of my magazine, here appeared a very able article by Mr. T. B. Macaulay, advocating a commercial union between Canada and the British West Indies, but opposing political union. I wish to say with how much interest and profit I have read his views on this vitally important subject, but I would go a step further than Mr. Macaulay; I would include a political union, thus strengthening the commercial bond between the two countries.

A union confined to commerce would be subject to change by future Governments of all countries concerned, therefore lacking permanence necessary to inspire confidence in Canadian capital and enterprise for the development of these islands of the B.W.I. Unless united by a political as well as a commercial tie, these islands would be exposed to demands for concessions from the U. S., accompanied with threats of retaliation which could occur if the B. W. I. were a part of the Dominion.

The cash subsidy that Canada would be obliged to furnish these islands, resulting from the loss of revenue due to the free entry of Canadian products, and the necessity of the B. W. I. making their tariffs conform to the Canadian, for the rest of the world, (which would be necessary under such a commercial arrangement) such considerations would have no compensating advantages to these countries if they were limited to the uncertainty of merely a commercial union.

A commercial and legislative union between all these British possessions of North America would cause Newfoundland to decide more quickly about entering the Confederation. She could not afford, either politically or commercially, to remain outside of such a consolidation of all her sister colonies in North America.

With the support of the Dominion of Canada, the position of all these islands would be strengthened in the markets of Great Britain. Her influence would be most valuable in securing commercial preference as well as political recognition.

It would be impossible within a limited space to enter into details, but I should like to place before my readers a few principles that must underlie a successful working out of any union between Canada and the B.W.I. I am heartily in accord with Mr. Macaulay's strong arguments in favor of a commercial union with these islands. They import largely the very articles which Canada is producing in steadily increasing quantities and for which she must find a more extended market.

Dilatation upon the importance of Jamaica more than seventy years ago, Humboldt drew attention to the political significance of the British West Indies upon the opening up of an isthmian canal. The Panama Canal is now an accomplished fact, and the Caribbean sea is rapidly becoming a thorough sea which must pass an enormous volume of the world's traffic. Canada will do well to weigh carefully the strategic importance

that zone will thus acquire. But how can we adequately share in the resultant benefits if commercial ties only exist? These portions of the Empire and no machinery is provided for adjusting and binding their mutual aspirations?

Other nations will not remain indifferent to the growing importance of these islands, and by making overtures or through threats of retaliation may induce them to enlarge their sphere of preference as to exports into the B.W.I. It is therefore most important that Canada should be able not only to operate in the creation of mutual beneficial tariffs, but that she should be able to resist any such overtures from the West Indies in regard to the inter-colonial and foreign policy. She could never render the service due to them if she had no interest in the B.W.I. Our trade and the raw material we produce are too vital to the future of the development of the United States, and they will never retaliate on any one part of the Dominion, knowing as they do Canada's power to reciprocate.

During a visit to Jamaica last winter, I discussed this question with some of the leading men on the island, and found there was a general objection to granting Canada any trade preference because of the fear of this retaliation on the part of the United States. But when a scheme of political union was submitted to them, they saw that this danger would be removed; also that there would be other advantages in a confederation with the Dominion.

The people of the B.W.I. are now prepared to entertain the expediency of entering upon a conference having for its object a fusion of all interests. Canada should earnestly examine the problem before she decides to reject an opportunity that may never recur, and to rest satisfied with a union that has never yet successfully resisted the ravages of time, and the constantly recurring friction arising from the selfishness and sectional interests (Commercial Pacts). She should have regard to the great political as well as the commercial future of these tropical British possessions, which are larger than the Dominion of New Zealand and have double its population.

The "Daily Gleaner," the most influential journal in the B.W.I., published not long ago a strong article favoring political union. Permit me to quote some of the points from the pen of its able editor: "The war has brought a spirit of oneness—especially that feeling of solidarity of Imperial sentiment—a one-ness of outlook. If the West Indies stood with Canada one political and economic unit, their position would be generally strengthened, they could be a part of a larger Canada, a greater Canada, a Canada that has proved her worth in the battlefield, as well as the peaceful fields of trade and commerce. Confederation is the political and economic watchword of the future."

(Concluded in our next issue.)

What the Germans Expected.

The Berlin paper, Der Tag, says, "We expected that India would rise when the first shot was fired, in Europe, but thousands of Indians came to fight with the British Empire. We thought the British Empire would be torn to pieces, but the colonies appear to be united closer than ever. The Mother Country. We expected a triumphant rebellion in South Africa; it was nothing but a failure. We thought there would be trouble in Ireland, but instead she sent her best soldiers against us. We expected that the peace at any price party in England would be dominant, but, it melted away in the order to fight Germany. We regard England as regenerated, but, she seems to be our principal enemy."

Layless Saloons.

Judge Landis of Chicago, while holding a Court the other day, was impressed by the fact that almost all the cases before him were associated with the saloons. August A. Busch of the great Anheuser-Busch breweries had made a public statement charging that layless saloons were responsible for the increasing opposition to saloons. The Judge found that the Company mentioned controlled thirty-two of the saloons out of which the cases in the city came. He therefore, made a full transcript of the cases and sent them to Mr. Busch.

Great Britain is the only belligerent country which has gold enough to meet demands made by its paper money. All others have paper money in large quantity to meet which they have no gold.

SECOND QUARTERLY EXAMINATIONS IN THE BRIDGETOWN SCHOOLS

The following are the results of the Second Quarterly Examination. The names are published in order of merit, based on aggregates of the six highest subjects. If your child has made low marks, come and talk it over with the teacher. We need your co-operation in order that your child may do his or her best. You will find it worth your while to keep in touch with the teacher. We would like to see more visitors.

R. E. THURBER,

GRADE XI

Eng.	Alg.	Math.	Physics	Hist.	Geom.
Reita Abbott	85	70	78	50	67
Brigitte Hall	75	60	65	55	75
Evelyn Dodge	75	65	60	55	78
Wilfred Brooks	68	66	80	72	40
Robert Bath	65	65	65	65	65
Ruth Fowler	62	78	60	72	57
Nellie Walker	72	53	68	64	60
Rupertia Bell	60	35	66	68	55

GRADE X

Eng.	Arith.	Alg.	Chem.	Hist.	Geom.
Ruth Jackson	82	88	87	90	65
Hazel Freeman	82	81	85	75	62
Susie Hent	78	90	56	55	86
Marion Marshall	61	79	78	50	68
Janina Beardsley	63	71	60	72	56
Carroll Charlton	64	52	78	73	58
Paula Cole	64	52	78	73	58
Bertha Anderson	55	62	45	45	48
Marietta DeVaney	52	42	75	45	55
Walter Rickson	50	55	40	62	53
Borden Miller	53	68	46	54	44
Ruth Burns	45	65	45	45	40
John Longmire	30	25	48	30	42
Arthur Dechman	25	30	34	46	36
Nobelia Joyce	25	30	38	40	35
Gordon Charlton	16	34	30	20	33
Cecil Dickie	16	34	30	20	33

GRADE IX

Eng.	Geog.	Draw.	Sc.	Arith.	Eng.
Frances E. Fowler	82	80	87	76	84
Alice M. Piggott	69	74	96	73	85
Doris L. Weare	70	72	89	70	85
Marion Marshall	68	68	78	70	84
A. Marion Crowe	71	63	61	70	84
E. Pearl Wade	75	60	78	60	82
Barbara L. Hart	65	73	79	61	87
Iva M. Piggott	60	50	53	52	51
Sarah A. Hicks	30	68	88	70	54
Charles L. Dodge	64	62	69	60	50
E. Hattie Anderson	55	62	48	48	49
Owen E. C. Armstrong	51	59	74	42	—
B. Stanley Anderson	59	49	88	40	62
Marguerite A. Palfrey	57	45	88	45	62
Roland W. Pike	45	44	80	40	64
J. Hedley Hall	72	48	50	39	44
W. Alexander Fowler	33	56	83	49	37
Harry Charlton	28	55	50	42	35

GRADE VIII

Eng.	Arith.	Alg.	Chem.	Hist.	Geom.
Ora M. Jackson	99	80	90	95	93
Phyllis L. Harding	87	84	70	89	96
Emelyn A. R. Dickie	79	75	96	88	99
Annie F. Anderson	93	56	76	87	99
Barbara L. Hart	78	78	87	78	80
Kathleen A. Williams	74	68	75	81	100
Flora M. Cole	67	60	72	76	94
Mildred E. Anderson	80	53	83	85	80
William H. Gill	80	32	89	57	94
Alleen C. Freeman	78	56	83	61	99
Ira M. Barnes	64	50	80	60	94
Dorothy C. Bent	68	59	69	72	85
Ardlen Lewis	67	58	66	60	99
Myrtle A. Slauwhite	68	44	74	56	85
Margaret L. Barnes	48	50	56	55	70

GRADE VII

Eng.	Arith.	Alg.	Chem.	Hist.	Geom.
Kathleen Craig	85	80	90	90	88
Sophie Anderson	83	80	91	92	75
Lillian Egan	88	90	91	92	73
Melbourne Lane	88	85	83	—	79
Jack Ware	89	79	86	72	75
Maurice Armstrong	87	90	70	80	46
Charlie Longmire	84	78	76	82	80
Gertie Barnes	76	70	68	89	66
Irene Harding	78	78	80	80	68
Talith Warren	78	90	66	80	76
Hazel Gillis	68	78	59	70	65
Irene Crowe	72	86	60	73	60
Borden Tucker	68	68	62	72	46
James Little	72	80	68	75	62
Ernest Williams	77	69	54	74	72
Viola Tupper	67	60	72	65	46
Ellis Hicks	57	39	49	72	64

GRADE VI

Eng.	Arith.	Alg.	Chem.	Hist.	Geom.
Gordon Norman	80	89	84	80	81
John Roberts	—	82	86	—	80
Arthur Price	89	80	84	88	84
Mildred Egleson	87	78	80	89	82
Preston Carpenter	70	68	69	80	88
Harry Mack	80	87	62	85	66
Anna Marshall	80	85	84	86	72
Walter Dechman	78	80	78	90	65
Viola Slauwhite	60	78	67	82	63
Jack Hoy	70	73	81	90	82
Lynne Anderson	78	78	80	84	58
Dorothy Abbott	79	81	72	83	68
Carl Thies	57	72	65	69	72
Eugene Poole	59	60	53	74	88
Denise Mack	67	60	62	74	80
Rennie Longmire	33	49	68	59	74

GRADE V

Eng.	Arith.	Gen.	Eng.	Draw.	Hist.
Phyllis Little	88	84	75	70	72
Frankly Abbott	90	70	65	70	72
Viola Tupper	82	68	75	90	77
Lester Carpenter	82	66	48	76	85
James Todd	82	76	62	75	77
James Fay	82	68	69	82	68
Edna Miller	82	87	68	35	60
Jean Wagner	86	80	70	70	—
Edna Clark	85	77	75	45	68
Gerald Charlton	83	88	75	86	50
Clarence Wagner	82	56	61	—	47
Harry Troop	78	58	50	70	66
Gertude Hyson	78	72	35	56	50
Hector Barnes	67	66	50	63	20
Stanley Charlton	65	67	69	40	66
Stanley Poole	70	35	51	55	68
Evelyn Barnes	70	41	60	75	29
Roland Cole	60	57	55	35	44
Carolyn Ruffee	—	50	—	38	26
Vernon Slauwhite	59	26	—	25	48
Howard Jackson	75	—	60	—	62

GRADE IV

Read	Spell	Wit.	Arith.	Gen.	Eng.	Draw	Hist.
Mary Anthony	90	100	75	87	82	85	90
Grace Egan	90	90	70	88	—	88	78
Arthur Norman	85	94	66	88	89	65	67
Florence Ruggles	80	85	82	78	89	65	68
August A. Busch	80	72	68	69	55	88	64
Roy Chute	80	83	55	69	60	81	60
Arnold Carpenter	80	56	48	65	58	60	58
Edward Fox	80	55	57	75	61	59	59
John Filipe	70	80	66	30	78	67	68
Harold McLaughlin	60	43	70	54	60	73	60
Hilbert Gatt	68	42	62	46	42	50	41
Magde Goldsmith	80	72	51	35	69	62	45
Douglas Tupper	80	63	55	60	51	50	50
Willie Hyson	70	85	45	55	63	25	60
Kenneth Robinson	65	57	45	61	59	64	60
Ruth Connell	60	57	75	40	53	26	65
Carman Piggott	—	60	65	60	60	—	40
Belle Clark	80	68	60	45	40	50	33
Denise Mack	70	68	65	40	50	50	33
Kenneth Barnes	70	92	60	30	31	30	45
Lois Durling	75	45	68	35	—	57	44
Blanche Watson	65	50	40	40	40	40	40
Kenneth Dargie	78	62	48	40	50	36	20
Hilda Barnes	75	32	60	40	36	40	31
Stewart Slauwhite	50	33	62	35	42	35	40
Jack Lockert	—	53	—	27	20	36	50

Examinations Bridgetown Schools

GRADE III

Reading	Writing	Spelling	Arithmetic
Marie Tucker	76	82	98
Jean Charlton	76	78	94
Harold Whitman	76	82	88
Beatrice Whynot	70	80	94
Clyde Hiltz	75	85	94
Robert Bath	76	80	83
Marguerite Tucker	72	80	80
Evelyn Burns	74	72	90
Maurice Mack	73	79	62
Thelma Egleson	72	73	60
Frances Todd	72	73	60
Raymond Abbott	70	68	76
George Hiltz	75	82	84
Thelma Egleson	70	73	60
Benne Poole	61	55	24
Winnie Durling	62	80	36
George Hiltz	60	75	60
Chester Slauwhite	66	60	50
Douglas Fox	75	50	62
Roxie Barnes	62	60	60
Rhoda Hyson	67	58	25
Goldie Barnes	60	70	42

GRADE II

Reading	Writing	Spelling	Arithmetic
Charlie Anderson	80	81	96
Lillian Whitman	76	84	100
Jack Harding	75	80	100
Jamie Peterson	80	75	100
Theresa Holt	80	75	100
Janice Craig	80	80	100
Paula Cole	80	75	95
Vivian Lewis	80	75	95
Florence Williams	73	72	96
Vera Thurber	76	70	88
Andrew Hiltz	71	72	100
Tommy King	65	73	80
Marion Abbott	75	63	100
Alfie Cole	70	60	100
Nellie Bell	75	83	72
Dorothy Gillis	68	55	100
Howard Lee	70	80	40

GRADE I

Reading	Writing	Spelling	Arithmetic
Mildred Durling	85	80	90
Margaret Peters	90	75	74
Ruth Myers	70	80	80
Beatrice Chute	70	75	65
Edward Platt	70	75	75
Emily Newcomb	65	75	82
Evelyn Wilson	70	60	70
Donald Maxwell	75	75	60
Maud Hiltz	60	75	60
Gerald Weare	85	70	40
Clair Hicks	80	75	45
Margaret Armstrong	75	70	75
Leta Barnes	85	80	49
Frances Anthony	90	65	40
Charlie Hudson	90	80	27
Clarence Vidto			