September 11, 1912



agreement would have led to "the enthronement and incarnation of Amerithronement and incarnation of Ameri-can influences in the political life of Canada, gradual separation from the Empire and ultimate absorption in the American Republic." The process would have been gradual and perhaps at times almost imperceptible in its progress and therefore all the more dangerous and certain because the in-dependence of the Dominion would have been sacrificed before the masses of Canadians awoke to the situation. of Canadians awoke to the situation. Or, having altered at the cost of much disturbance of business, our main trade channels from east and west to north and south the American Congress and money interests could have coerced us money interests could have coerced us into further commercial, industrial and political concessions by returning to the policy of tariff pressure which they applied to us after 1866 and again in the eighties. We do not wish to see the protracted strain, which we then underwent, again placed upon this com-monwealth. monwealth.

monwealth. If the tariff were abolished only on the American side of the boundary the results would not be nearly so fatal to this country as under reciprocity or mutual free trade. The Americans could buy our products (and they will take off the duty on our wheat as soon as they themselves cease to grow a as they themselves cease to grow a surplus of that commodity for export) but the Canadian tariff would prevent the American trusts from crippling our industries and impoverishing our indus-trial population. Nor would these for-eign monopolies forever have a voice in fiscal legislation at Ottawa such as the adoption of reciprocity would give the adoption of reciprocity would give them. Lastly, we cannot prevent tariff reduction or abolition at Washington if we would. Our duty and our inter-ests lie in maintaining the National Policy for the protection of our own people and in order that every province may develop a complex industrial fabric and a well-rounded civilization afford-ing ample scope for the varying tastes, energies and capacities of a rapidly expanding population.

Markets for Wheat

The Guide asks:-

st

8.5 ill

er-he

le, ch

to

ny /er

of

his

ind

IH

ing .00

kes

for

1110

100 ngs this

ich

fees

nch

"Where is the ever-growing sur-plus of Western wheat to find a mar-ket? Canada cannot consume it and the British market is already taking all it can absorb. Why should we search the world for a market when it lies right at our door? We do not consider 'mixed farming' or Imperial Preference are practical answers to this question, as Great Britain is not prepared for preference and the West-ern farmers cannot be driven into 'mixed farming' in time to affect the immediate problem.''

How can it fairly be said that the United States is a market for Canadian wheat when it exported 70,000,000 bushels in 1910? Of this 70,000,000 bushels sent abroad from the republic that year, 45,000,000 bushels went in the form of flour. With the American tariff removed nour, with the American tarin removed our wheat would merely be milled at St. Paul and Minneapolis for foreign consumption. Would it not pay Western Canada better to dot the prairies with flour mills giving employment to Cana-dian labor and to use the by-products to stimulate the domestic live stock in-ductor, and consider the stock industry and enrich Canadian soil? It may even be worth while for the gov-ernment to bonus the flour milling in-dustry as The Moose Jaw News has sug-

gested. With Home Rule out of the way England and Ireland are ready to give us a preference on our grain. It is absurd to say that the British market is already taking all of our wheat that it can ab-sorb. In 1910 Great Britain took from all countries for consumption 220,727,934 bushels of wheat including wheat in the form of flour. Of this British statistics show that Canada furnished only 37,-382,806 bushels. Under a preference the Dominion and British India could almost monopolize the Old Country market. is to say, Great Britain would be in a position to consume several times the quantity we now grow for export. In fact British opponents of a preference always argue, with some truth, that the Dominion could not at the prestruth.



profits

0

COOCA-

0

Here is the Plow You Need

YOU are asked to study and examine Oliver plows carefully before you buy, because the Oliver plows has so many good features to recommend it to you. It is the result of more that fifty years of study and experience. It has been tested in your own local-ity and adapted to your work. It is built by men whose slogan for over fifty years has been "The best plow the farmer's money can buy." It is built in Canada, in the largest and best equipped plow works on British soil. on British soil

Oliver Plows Tractor-Gang and Horse-Drawn

have many points of superiority. The tractor gangs are made up of 4, 5, and 6-base sections. With these sections you can build up a gang with any desired num-ber of bases—as few or as many as your tractor or tractors can pull. The sections are joined together flexibly—no matter how large a plow you build up or how rolling your field, it follows the lay of the land and plows to an even depth. The small sections are easily handled and require little room for storage. The swiveled trucks, the rolling coulters that lift the whole plow base over obstructions, the gauge wheels on rock-ing axles, the double latched levers—these are a few of the many features that make the Oliver tractor gang the plow you need. the plow you need.

The plow you need. Oliver sulky and gang plows are built with the same care and thoroughness and also have many distinctive features. The center hitch, an exclusive Oliver feat-ure, equalizes the pull on the horses and enables them to be hitched four abreast and all walking on unplowed ground, eliminating side beam strains which quickly 0

put a plow out of adjustment. The foot lift is so placed that the operator can quickly place his whole weight on the lever in lowering bottoms, easily pene-trating hard ground or soil covered with heavy vegeta-tion. All levers are easy to reach and operate. Oliver sulky and gang plows are easy or men and horses, assuring the greatest amount of work with the least possible effort. If your work is done with a sulky or gang plow, the Oliver is the plow you need. Oliver plows are sold in Canada by 1 H C local agents. You can see the plow at our local agent's place of business and get catalogues and full informa-tion from him, or by writing the nearest branch house. tion from him, or by writing the nearest branch house.

Western Canadian Branches International Harvester Company of America

At Brandon, Man.; Calgary, Alta.; Edmonto ethbridge, Alta.; North Battleford, Saak.; ask.; Saskatoën, Saak.; Weyburn, Sask.; W fan.; Yorkton, Saak.

IHC Service Bureau

The purpose of this Bureau is to furnish, free of charge to all, the best information obtainable on better farming. If you have any worthy questions concerning soils, crops, land drainage, irrigation, fertilizers, etc., make your inquiries specific and send them to I H C Service Bureau, Harvester Building, Chicago, U S A

Queries for The Guide

The Guide accuses the manufacturers of prospering at the expense of the masses. Can The Guide name any industrial concern that is earning more than the Grain Growers' Grain com-pany? According to the annual statement of that enterprise published in The Guide it is making a profit of more than 20 per cent. per annum on its capital. Guide taxes Eastern manufactur-The

specify a single plant thus put out of business? The Guide claims that the binder twine and cream separator industries have prospered without tariff protection. The gradual destruction of one of these industries has been described above. Can The Guide name a single cream separator plant that has been started in Canada since the duty was wiped out? Is The Guide ready to see other Canadian industries follow the road of the binder twine and woollen

ent moment begin to supply the British ing combines with forcing competitors factories? Is The Guide ready to see to close their doors. Can The Guide tens of thousands of Canadian artisans impoverished and expatriated 3.5 employees of these enterprises have been? What has free trade done for the agricultural population of Great Bri-tain and Ireland Would Western farmers like to see the starvation wage sys-tem and the unemployment conditions of free trade labor introduced in this Do-minion As a Saskatchewan farmer has The Guide how many English agriculturists who used to farm in free Continued on Page 22

11