er 16, 1990

(inistry)

rdingly.

they fi-

see that

rceptive

superior

he rest of

divine/

need to

9% of us

irm that.

us have

s. Would

conver-

ur rough some of

image of the

ue belonging.

f this sort are

and the FBI.

ly found where

an individuals

an," where its

cture of the in-

the public im-

For some men ic Church have

on which may

of anxiety and

eminine quali-

triggered a

gay men who

or causing and

. A letter from

y Association

your family's

protected these

iately. These

ng you the next

not cause AIDS, s when people

d share needles

some gay men

their sexuality

'70's and early

all homosexuals

promiscuous is

ine stating that

eir sex lives is a e directed at us.

osychiatrist and

BLOOD AND THUNDER

Letters to the Editor reflect the views of our readers and not necessarily those of the Brunswickan. Letters may be sent to Rm. 35, Student Union Building. Deadline: 5 p.m. Tuesdays. Maximum length: 300 words.

A Heterosexual Perspective

Society today holds within it undeniable vast differences in religion, race, and culture. - No wonder no one man/ woman is satisfied totally with what they encounter on a day to day basis. Unfortunately human nature finds me guilty of this as well. On this note, my purpose for vocality evolved. Upon reading the most recent issue of the Bruns, I became somewhat disturbed as I came across an article entitled "Bloody Thunder." The author embarked on a personal vendetta vs. heterosexuals who are supposedly giving

homosexuals a bad rap by writing "defamatory material" and "insane slander" in the Blood and Thunder section of the Bruns.

Personally, I admire having a student publication that enables the entire student body to voice his/her opinion. Quite frankly, if the minority homosexual/lesbian population on campus are granted "abnormal associations" as well as the "Gay Forum" why shouldn't the majority heterosexual student population have the freedom of expression to at least shed a little input into this societal problem called Homosexuality.

In lieu of your "standards of social responsibility", Mr. Vaughan, allow me to throw a couple of questions your

way. Heterosexual relations are in the best interest of sustenance for man's birth and existence. Do Homosexual relations fulfill these aspects of life? If homosexual relations were a social norm wouldn't it be physically possible for a man to conceive a child? These are just a few questions that you might like to ask yourself. Furthermore, why is it that ones opinion contrary to your beliefs or "moral rights" is an outrage to your very existence. It is not your existence that I Question but your principles and your personal enjoyment of finding love in anal sex or whatever it is that you engage in. I myself am a firm believer in God an the Holy Scriptures and might I add that I have never come across a single

biblical passage glorifying homosexual acts. However, I have encountered one that may be unfamiliar to you directly from the "not so thinly veiled" scriptures themselves: (Lev. 18:22 - 20:13): "Homosexual relations are forbidden as an abomination worthy of death." - You my friend may interpret this in any manner that you wish. In my opinion, when I am freely entitled to, homosexuality is merely a poisonous evil that stems from the villainous master himself:

To debate this plight for gays would be an incontestable misuse of my valuable time. Your reasons and rights to be gay are yours, but, the right tokeep driving your problems down the

throats of the heterosexual student population is nonexistent. I am sick and tired of hearing the cry and outrage for understanding and acceptance of homosexuality in the Bruns, but I survive on a daily bias. After all, Rick, my student fees also help to finance the Bruns, and entitle me to an opinion. My morals and upbringing allow me to distinguish between right and wrong; natural and unnatural. I see Homosexuality to be synonymous with wrong and unnatural. In the Bible we read that, (Jude 7), "Sodom and Gomorrah indulged in 'unnatural lust' and were punished by eternal fire." I hope that I have shed a little light on you Mr. Vaughan. No pun intended.

P. Peters

No Support

Dear Sir,

I have been following the College Hill Social Club/Student Union 'debate' very closely over the past few weeks. I wanted to remain "on the fence" with this issue due to my past involvement in Student Union activities while also working very well with the CHSC during the Shinerama '90 campaign. I can no longer support the Student Union on this issue.


I am furious with the Student Union and their new tactics. At the forum, Mr. Bourque stated that the posters suggesting that the Student Union was interested in gaining controlling interest were stated as such as a mere reflection of the rumours that have been circulating. What does the Student Union hope to accomplish by baiting the student body and then changing their story? It will certainly not be trust.

I continuously get the feeling that we are not getting the entire story from the Student Union. At the forum, they concentrated their efforts on their proposed new board structure for the Social Club. This is only one of the many components of their proposal to the College Hill Social club's Board of Directors. Why did they not outline the entire proposal? I think it is about time that the Student Union realize that they have not done their homework and, because of their ill-defined plan of action, have managed to waste their time and our money. Naming it an educational campaign does not wash with me, nor with many of my fellow students.

Finally, I cannot conceive what the Student Union hoped to achieve with the ad that was place on the inside cover last week. This article stated that "Most Students are Down on the Field Beating each Other Over the Heads with Baseball Bats" on the issue of the CHSC. What poor leadership they have expressed by complaining about poor involvement in Student Union activities/marches and then throwing it back in their faces when students start showing an interest in an issue, simply because the students are not supporting the Student Union. Should this not tell the Student Union how unpopular their actions are with the students? Deal with the issue, not

continued on page 23

.... ************



Some of Canada's best computer minds are in the insurance industry.

If you like working with computers, why not consider a career in the industry that uses them most. Surprisingly, perhaps, that's Canada's insurance industry. And what may be even more surprising is that the property/casualty, or general insurance industry offers a wider variety of career choices than you ever imagined. Computer specialists ves, but also marine underwriters, aviation adjusters, managers, lawyers, loss prevention engineers, investigators, investment specialists and many more.

General insurance is also an industry that encourages you to acquire its own levels of professionalism.

As a Fellow or Associate of The Insurance Institute of Canada you would join an educated, experienced and ethical group of professionals equipped to pursue successful careers at the local, provincial, national and even international level.

Choice, challenge, satisfaction and security. They are just some of the rewards you'll enjoy through a career in the property/casualty insurance industry. For more information, contact Les Dandridge, B.A., AIIC at The Insurance Institute of Canada, 481 University Avenue, 6th floor, Toronto, Ontario M5G 2E9 (416) 591-1572 Fax: (416) 591-1678.

Canada's Insurance Professionals

The Graduates of The Insurance Institute of Canada.

ook "Being Hon and Their Des up what . He asserts that e to do with the

hatred of homobe secondary in ar and hatred of being feminine oneself." It is realize that gay kind of perverse some part of the

ia will finally be Richards