

The first of these motions was rejected, the second was precluded from consideration by the sudden prorogation, and six days afterwards he was removed from his office in the manner before-mentioned. Such has been the Chief-Justice's conduct in Council; whether any part of it is adverse to the interests of government, or in any degree merits the strange and cruel treatment he has received, he humbly submits to your Lordships.

If it be thought, there are occasions in which it is the duty of a faithful servant of the crown, not only to submit his actions, but even to be silent as to his opinion, when it differs from that of the person who is chief in command. In the present instance, the answer is, that whether the situation of affairs, or the conduct of the person in command be considered, the times required the most explicit frankness, consistent with decency, on the part of those whom his Majesty had been pleased to call into his Council there, and to entrust with a voice in the government of the province. As to the situation of affairs, the detail already gone through, will satisfy your Lordships how improper it would have been for any servant of the crown to have withheld his sentiments; to the conduct of the person in command, the Chief-Justice means to say as little as possible, he wishes not to recriminate; he has throughout his answer, endeavoured to avoid making observations on the conduct of
others