Youse of Commons Debates

THIRD SESSION, FIFTH PARLIAMENT.-48 VIC.

HON. MR. BLAKE, M.P., SPEECH of

Canadian Pacific RAILWAY RESOLUTIONS.

OTTAWA, JUNE 16TH, 1885.

the events of this day. Government brought him out before, and specially for the purpose of engineering the last comes no more.

> "Oh, for one blast of Roland's horn On Fontarabian echoes borns! Through the dark Ronces Valles pass."

As he came not, it was necessary to fill his place by a double performance. It was not exactly a duet. I can hardly call it a concerted piece, for there were some discords between several of the expressions and phrases. There was not that degree of harmony which I should like to have observed between the arguments of the hon, gentleman who moved and the hon, gentleman who seconded the resolution. We have hon, gentlemen, who have taken a course unprecedented in gentleman invites us to discharge our duty. Now, Sir, we

Mr. BLAKE. Mr. Speaker, if the rest of the Cabinet do my brief parliamentary experience, on the occasion of a not desire to continue the discussion, I will trouble the Ministerial proposition, of pressing two speeches in support House with some reference to the speeches we have of their measure before a voice from the other side was heard, and the proposals on the table. I congratulate heard; although I have got to meet them both, the same the hon. member for Pictou (Mr. Tupper) upon the events spirit which induced them to think it was necessary that of this day. I congratulate the High Commissioner upon there should be two opening speeches, may, I hope, serve to sustain me in this unequal contest, as I have been sustained in former contests against the eminent statesman Canadian Pacific Railway aid measure through. He came whose place they have attempted to fill. This is a great at their call and spoke, although not qualified to vote. He day for Quebec. Her Ministers have opened the battle. Her Ministers have commenced the war-in the absence, it is true, of the Minister of War, who may have gone away by the Grand Nord, for aught I know; but they have commenced the war. But would not one of them have done? Was it absolutely necessary that they should both speak. Would no one hon, gentleman from Quebec have been adequate to open the Ministerial proposition? Now, the hon. gentleman who spoke last at some length, closed his speech somewhat in the tone of Sir Charles Tupper, and in a course and strain of exhortation which we have heard not unfrequently when from that side of the House, they invite us to discuss the question. had a speech, in at least two volumes, with this pecu- that they invoke criticism, that they challenge liarity about it, that the authors of the speech seemed it, that they are not at all afraid of it, that they to reverse the proper order of these volumes, because rather relish it. If our observations indicate that their the modern history came in the first volume and the policy has been injurious to the country, and that the counancient history came in the second. We have had a try has been injured by their policy, oh, they say, you are joint composition. One was a speech composed of figures, decrying the country, you are injuring the country. Critiand the other was composed of figures of speech. I will cise us as much as you like, but admit that we have caused admit that there were a good many tropes in both. But, the country to prosper, and that our policy has been all that Sir, although I have, upon this occasion, to meet these two it should be. These are the conditions under which the hon.