be ruled

dering the council body. Its the Commembers, ing papers

he simple little comhave been to refute our doors, at narrawing pro-

with none d deliber-

gs, springoject of a to prejunonorably

cordance nents on

accused he course low, and tives at we are

hey ultive have done our duty and upheld right principles will atone largely for the violence and abuse which our opponents have used in attacking us.

WILLIAM ACKHURST, WILLIAM BARRON, M. J. POWER, J. S. D. THOMPSON, JAMES R. GRAHAM, DAVID ELLIS, C. C. VAUX, DANIEL SULLIVAN, RICHARD T. ROOME.

 ${\bf I}$ endorse the foregoing statements except in the 'following particulars :

I was in favor of the scheme for remodelling the City Market House, believing it to be a practical and economical measure, and gave my support to the Bill in Council, but we could not succeed in carrying it.

I strenuously opposed the City Hall Bill in Council, and also before the Legislature, but in spite of my efforts to defeat it, the Bill passed, and under it the Council were empowered to erect a City Hall on a site to be thereafter chosen. Further opposition on my part would have been only factious and without effect, and when the Council were called upon, by the report of Committee, to select one of three sites—the City Market site having been condemned by the Council, and the Legislature having positively refused the Parade, I felt as free to act in the matter of selection, as if I had advocated the building of a Hall instead of opposing it. It became entirely a different question, and in approving of the site chosen by the Council, I exercised my best judgment, believing it then, as I do now to be the best and cheapest offered, and the Council having unanimously accepted the offer made by Mr. Jennett, I could not, even if disposed, in view of expressed dissatisfaction, honorably reverse my vote.

EDWARD SMITH.