

**Mr. Speaker:** I ask the Hon. Member to conclude briefly.

**Mr. Tobin:** Mr. Speaker, this is what the petitioners are saying.

I wish to present a petition from the people of Drummond, who protest the terrible cut-backs in family allowance payments and ask Conservative Members to stand up and to be counted.

[*Translation*]

WITHDRAWAL OF BILL C-70 REQUESTED

**Mr. Jean-Claude Malépart (Montreal-Sainte-Marie):** Mr. Speaker, I have here a number of petitions from residents of some of the major constituencies in Canada asking the House of Commons that the Government withdraw Bill C-70. They are the constituencies of Etobicoke Centre, represented by the Minister of Finance (Mr. Wilson), of St. Paul's and of Manicouagan.

Mr. Speaker, I have another one here from the constituency of Manicouagan. Those people ask and demand that the House of Commons urge the Prime Minister to withdraw Bill C-70 in order to protect family allowances. They are from the constituencies of Champlain, Hochelaga-Maisonneuve, Rosemont . . . the people from the riding of Saint-Jacques are very well represented. The people from the riding of Verchères . . . Mr. Speaker, I have only two other petitions left, from the ridings of Richelieu, and Saint-Hyacinthe-Bagot, and I can assure you that tomorrow we will deal with the rest of them, Mr. Speaker.

## PROCEEDINGS ON ADJOURNMENT MOTION

[*English*]

SUBJECT MATTER OF QUESTIONS TO BE DEBATED

**Mr. Speaker:** It is my duty, pursuant to Standing Order 46, to inform the House that the questions to be raised tonight at the time of adjournment are as follows: the Hon. Member for York East (Mr. Redway)—Environmental Affairs—Task force on chemical accidents; the Hon. Member for Davenport (Mr. Caccia)—Environmental Affairs—Great Lakes chemical toxicity. (b) Government actions; and the Hon. Member for Glengarry-Prescott-Russell (Mr. Boudria)—Trade—Canada-United States negotiations—Agricultural marketing quotas. (b) Quotas—Government position.

*Petitions*

## ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

[*Translation*]

### PETITIONS

FULL INDEXATION OF FAMILY ALLOWANCE

**Hon. Donald J. Johnston (Saint-Henri-Westmount):** Mr. Speaker, I have in hand three petitions coming from ridings in Quebec. I would like to begin with a petition from the federal riding of Richelieu; secondly, with a petition from Champlain, and thirdly, one from Saint-Hyacinthe-Bagot.

Those three petitions, Mr. Speaker, obviously have to do with the indexation of family allowances. Each one of them urges the Government of Canada to restore the full indexation of family allowances.

[*English*]

USE OF NANOOSE BAY TEST RANGE

**Mr. Lorne Nystrom (Yorkton-Melville):** Mr. Speaker, I have a petition signed by people from the Ladysmith and Vancouver areas of British Columbia. They protest the plans which are going on with respect to the test range at Nanoose Bay, British Columbia, which I am told is a beautiful part of the world just north of Nanaimo. They pray we not renew the agreement between Canada and the United States of America to authorize the joint maintenance of the said test range.

The second petition I have concerns Nanoose Bay as well. It is signed by people from the City of Vancouver. They want to see an end to all weapons testing at the said test range brought about.

The third and last petition on this particular topic comes from Vancouver as well. The people who have signed this petition want a public inquiry before the present agreement is negotiated between the two great countries of the United States of America and Canada.

FULL INDEXATION OF FAMILY ALLOWANCE

**Mr. Lorne Nystrom (Yorkton-Melville):** Mr. Speaker, I have another petition signed by people from British Columbia. This petition is with respect to the question of family allowances. The petitioners protest the deindexation of the universal family allowance.

The next petition I have is also from the City of Vancouver. The petitioners protest the deindexation of the family allowance. They say that this will place an extra hard burden on low and middle income households.

The last but not the least petition I have is also on the subject of the deindexation of family allowances. The petitioners protest the fact that this will mean that a very unfair and intolerable burden will be placed upon low and middle income people. I am sure the Minister of National Health and Welfare (Mr. Epp) would agree with that and he would be doing the same thing if he were sitting here in opposition.